The Comprehensive Guide to Economic Damages



FIFTH EDITION

The Comprehensive Guide to Economic Damages

VOLUME ONE

Nancy Fannon and Jonathan M. Dunitz Editors



111 SW Columbia Street, Suite 750, Portland, OR 97201 (503) 479-8200 • www.bvresources.com



Copyright © 2018 by Business Valuation Resources, LLC (BVR). All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America.

No part of this publication may be reprinted, reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except as permitted under Sections 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher or authorization through payment of the appropriate per copy fee to the Publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, Business Valuation Resources, LLC, 111 SW Columbia Street, Suite 750 Portland, OR 97201-5814; (503) 479-8200; fax (503) 291-7955; permissions@bvresources.com.

Information contained in this book has been obtained by Business Valuation Resources from sources believed to be reliable. However, neither Business Valuation Resources nor its authors guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and neither Business Valuation Resources nor its authors shall be responsible for any errors, omissions, or damages arising out of use of this information. This work is published with the understanding that Business Valuation Resources and its authors are supplying information but are not attempting to render business valuation or other professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be sought. In addition, such information is supplied within the thematic context or scope of a given chapter or topic. Readers should approach and interpret information within this context. Information may be subject to change when addressed within a different setting, context, or scope.

Please note that there are several hyperlinks to Internet links within this text and are included for your reference. However, due to the fluid nature of Internet links (i.e., the url can change at any time), some may not be accessed in the same location as they were when this guide was originally published.

Publisher: Sarah Andersen

Managing Editor/Desktop Editor: Monique Nijhout-Rowe

Senior Copy Editor: David Solomon

Chair and CEO: David Foster President: Lucretia Lyons

Chief Revenue Officer: Lisa McInturff

Customer Service Manager: Retta Dodge

PRINT ISBN: 978-1-62150-151-0 EPUB ISBN: 978-1-62150-152-7

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018952308

In memory of my biggest cheerleader and the most powerful influence in my life...my dad.

NJF, 2018

To my parents, for their decades of support.

JMD, 2018

Table of Contents

VOLUME ONE

| About the Editors Acknowledgments Author Bios Foreword | xvi xx |
|--|-----------|
| PART I. EXPERT TESTIMONY IN THE U.S. COURTS | . 3 |
| Chapter 1. Professional Standards for Experts. By Michael A. Crain, DBA, CPA/ABV, CFA, CFE | . 5 |
| Chapter 2. The Process: A Brief Introduction to the U.S. Court Systems, From Filing to Appeal | 11 |
| Chapter 3. Spoliation of Evidence | 33 |
| Chapter 4. A Guide to Electronic Discovery and Evidence | 59 |
| Chapter 5. Expert Depositions: Accountants, Economists, and Appraisers | 73 |
| Chapter 6. The Reasonable Certainty Requirement in Lost Profits Litigation: What It Really Means By Robert M. Lloyd, Esq. | 89 |
| Chapter 7. Motions to Exclude Financial Experts | 19 |
| Chapter 8. Motions to Exclude Expert Testimony | 69 |
| PART II. LOST PROFITS DAMAGES | :03 |
| Chapter 9. Context of the Lost Profits Damages Claim | :05 |
| Chapter 10. Performing Credible Lost Profits Analyses | :17 |
| Chapter 11. Projecting But-For Profits | 41 |
| Chapter 12. Establishing Evidence in Lost Profits Cases | :59 |
| Chapter 13. Lost Profits Versus Lost Business Value | :73 |
| Chapter 14. Discounting Lost Profits in Damage Measurements | :89 |

Note: The title page for each chapter contains a detailed table of contents for that chapter.

| Chapter 15. Discounting Damages: Case Law |
|--|
| Chapter 16. Use of Forensic Evidence in a Lost Profits Case |
| PART III. DAMAGES IN SPECIFIC INDUSTRY SETTINGS |
| Chapter 17. Calculating Damages for Early-Stage Companies |
| Chapter 18. Lost Profits for Physician Practices and Violation of Noncompete Agreements |
| Chapter 19. Lost Profits Issues Unique to the Government Contracts Industry |
| Chapter 20. Construction Claims |
| Chapter 21. Lost Profits for Automobile Dealerships |
| Chapter 22. Lost Profits Analysis in Eating Establishments |
| Chapter 23. Damages and Right of Publicity Infringements |
| Chapter 24. Franchise and Dealership Litigation Damages |
| PART IV. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DAMAGES48 |
| Chapter 25. Intellectual Property Overview |
| Chapter 26. Patent Infringement Damages: Lost Profits and Royalties |
| Chapter 27. Trade Secret Damages |
| Chapter 28. Lost Profits (and Other Damages) in Trademark and Copyright Cases |
| Chapter 29. Uses of Survey Research in Damages Estimation |
| Chapter 30. Using Internet Analytic Tools for Valuation and Damages Calculations in Internet IP Infringement and Defamation Cases |
| Chapter 31. Profit Apportionment in Intellectual Property Infringement Damages Calculations 57. By Brian Buss, CFA, and Doug Bania, CLP |

| PART V. THE UNJUST ENRICHMENT REMEDY599 |
|---|
| Chapter 32. Introduction to Remedies in Equity |
| Chapter 33. Counting the Beans: Unjust Enrichment and the Defendant's Overhead |
| Chapter 34. Restitution Rollout: The Restatement (Third) of Restitution & Unjust Enrichment: Counter-Restitution for Monetary Remedies in Equity |
| PART VI. PERSONAL INJURY/WRONGFUL DEATH723 |
| Chapter 35. Economic Damages From Personal Injury and Wrongful Death |
| Chapter 36A. Damages in Common-Law Employment Cases |
| Chapter 36B. Calculation of Damages in Common-Law Employment Cases |
| PART VII. OTHER DAMAGES ANALYSES |
| Chapter 37. Financial Forensic Services for Internal Investigations |
| Chapter 38. Business Interruption and Damage Claims |
| Chapter 39. An Overview of Accounting and Financial Analysis in Fraudulent Transfer Matters 835 By Jeffrey L. Baliban, CPA, ABV, CDBV |
| Chapter 40. Compensation Forfeiture: Stacking Remedies Against Disloyal Agents and Employees 855 By George P. Roach |
| Chapter 41. Post-Acquisition Disputes and Related Damages |
| Chapter 42. Event Studies in Securities Litigation |
| Chapter 43. Use of Statistical Analysis to Measure Damages |
| PART VIII. INDEX981 |
| Index |
| Index of Cases in Volume One |

VOLUME TWO: CASE LAW DERIVED FROM BUSINESS VALUATION RESOURCES

| Introduction | X |
|---|-----|
| PART VII. CASE DIGESTS | 4 |
| | |
| Court Case Summary Table | 3 |
| Court Case Digests | |
| 3M Innovative Props. Co. v. GDC, Inc. | 17 |
| ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Communications, Inc. | |
| Advanced Drainage Sys. v. Quality Culvert, Inc. | 22 |
| Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc. | 25 |
| Alamar Ranch, LLC v. County of Boise | 26 |
| Alaska Rent-a-Car, Inc. v. Avis Budget Group, Inc. | |
| Allstate Sweeping, LLC v. City and County of Denver et al | |
| American Aerial Services v. Terex United States | |
| American Eagle Waste Industries, LLC v. St. Louis County | |
| American Federal Bank, FSB, v. United States | |
| In re: American Suzuki Motor Corporation | |
| Anchor Savings Bank v. United States | |
| Antioch Co. Litig. Trust v. Morgan | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Motorola Mobility, Inc. (l) | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. (I) | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. (II) | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. (III) | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. (IV) | |
| Apple, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. (V) | |
| Arctic Cat v. Sabertooth Motor Group | |
| Arlington Industries, Inc. v. Bridgeport Fittings, Inc. | |
| AstraZeneca AB v. Apotex Corp | |
| B-K Cypress Log Homes Inc. v. Auto-Owners Insurance Company | |
| Baker v. Seaworld Entm't, Inc. | |
| Banjo Buddies, Inc. v. Joseph F. Renosky | |
| Basile Baumann Prost Cole & Assoc. v. BBP & Assoc. LLC | |
| BC Technical, Inc. v. Ensil International Corporation | |
| Beijing Tong Ren Tang (USA), Corp. v. TRT USA Corporation et al | |
| Bell Atlantic Network Services, Inc. v. P.M. Video Corp | |
| Bellagio Jewelry, Inc. v. Croton Watch Company, Inc. | |
| Belleville Toyota, Inc. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. | |
| Bergquist v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue | |
| Berman v. Unimin Corp | |
| Bero Motors, Inc. v. General Motors Corporation | |
| Bessemer Trust Company, N.A. v. Francis S. Branin, Jr. | |
| Beverly Hills Concepts, Inc. v. Schatz & Schatz (I) | |
| Beverly Hills Concepts, Inc. v. Schatz & Schatz (II) | |
| Blinds to Go (U.S.), Inc. v. Times Plaza Development, L.P. | |
| Bocek v. JGA Assocs., LLC | |
| Michael Bowling v. Hasbro, Inc | |
| Brennan's Inc. et al. v. Dickie Brennan & Company, Inc. et al. | 109 |

| Bricklayers and Trowel Trades International Pension Fund v. Credit Suisse First Boston | |
|--|-----|
| Brighton Collectibles, Inc. v. RK Texas Leather Mfg | |
| Kirk Brisbin v. Superior Valve Company et al | |
| Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc. (I) | 118 |
| Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc. (II) | 120 |
| Bruno v. Bozzuto's, Inc. | 122 |
| Business Machines Sales and Service, Inc. v. Robert E. Murphy | 125 |
| CADCO, Inc. v. Fleetwood Enterprises, Inc. | 126 |
| Camar Corporation v. Preston Trucking Company, Inc. | 128 |
| Cambridge Plating Company v. Napco, Inc | 129 |
| Carlton Energy Group, LLC v. Phillips | 130 |
| Carnegie Mellon University v. Marvell Technology Group (I), (II) | 132 |
| Carnegie Mellon University v. Marvell Technology Group (III) | 132 |
| Carnegie Mellon University v. Marvell Technology Group (IV) | 135 |
| Cartwright v. Jackson Capital Partners, Limited Partnership | 136 |
| Catroppa v. Metal Building Supply, Inc | 139 |
| Celebrity Cruises, Inc. v. Essef Corp. (I) | 141 |
| Celebrity Cruises, Inc. v. Essef Corp. (II) | |
| Cement-Lock v. Gas Technology Institute | |
| Century 21 Real Estate Corp. v. Meraj International Investment Corp | 149 |
| Chambers v. Fike. | |
| Chico's Fas, Inc. v. Clair | 153 |
| Children's Broadcasting Corporation v. The Walt Disney Company, et al. (II) | |
| Children's Broadcasting Corporation v. The Walt Disney Company, et al. (III) | |
| Christou v. Beatport, LLC | |
| Brent E. Clary v. Lite Machines Corporation | |
| ClearOne Communications v. Chiang | |
| Clear-View Technologies, Inc. v. Rasnick (I) | |
| Clear-View Technologies, Inc. v. Rasnick (II) | |
| Club Car, Inc. v. Club Car | |
| Coastal Fuels of Puerto Rico, Inc. v. Caribbean Petroleum Corporation | |
| Collelo v. Geographic Services, Inc. | |
| Collins Holding Corporation v. Scott Landrum, et al. | |
| Comcast Cable Communs. v. Sprint Communs. Co. | |
| Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Research Organisation v. Cisco Sys | |
| Compania Embotelladora Del Pacifico, S.A., v. Pepsi Cola Co. | |
| Conwood Company, L.P. v. United States Tobacco Company | |
| Cortes v 3A N. Park Ave. Rest Corp. | |
| Crocker v. Greater Colo. Anesthesia | |
| CR-RSC Tower I, LLC v. RSC Tower I, LLC. | |
| Crystal Semiconductor Corporation v. Tritech Microelectronics International, Inc. | |
| CSC Holdings, Inc. v. Frank P. Redisi, Sr., et al. | |
| DaimlerChrysler Motors Company v. Manuel | |
| Danmark v. CMI USA, Inc. | |
| Dataquill Ltd. v. High Tech Computer Corp. (II) | |
| Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals | |
| Steve Davis v. Forest River, Inc. | |
| Deflecto, LLC v. Dundas Jafine Inc. | |
| Denny Construction, Inc. v. City and County of Denver | |
| Denny Construction, Inc. v. City and County of Denver Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc | |
| Depuy Spirie, Inc. v. ivieutronic Solamor Danek, Inc. Dering v. Service Experts Alliance, LLC | |
| Defing v. Delvice Experts Alliance, LLC | ∠10 |

The Comprehensive Guide to Economic Damages: Volume One

| Dominion Liquid Technologies, LLC v. GT Beverage Co., LLC | 219 |
|--|-----|
| Douglas Dynamics v. Buyers Products Co | 221 |
| Dynetix Design Solutions, Inc. v. Synopsis, Inc | 222 |
| El Aguila Food Products, Inc., et al. v. Gruma Corporation | 224 |
| In re El Paso Pipeline Partners, L.P. Derivative Litig | 225 |
| Electro-Mechanical Corp. v. Power Distribution Products, Inc. | 230 |
| Electronic Funds Solution, LLC v. Murphy | 232 |
| Elie Abboud, et al. v. Sylvester Robertson, et al | 234 |
| Energy Capital Corp. v. United States | 236 |
| Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp | 237 |
| Ericsson Inc. v. D-Link Corp. (I) | |
| Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Corp. (II) | |
| Ericsson, Inc. v. Harris Corp. | 244 |
| Fabrication & Truck Equipment, Inc. v. Roger M. Powell | 245 |
| Factory Mutual Insurance Co. v. Alon USA | 246 |
| Fairmont Supply Company v. Hooks Industrial, Inc | 249 |
| Fairway Dodge, Inc. v. Decker Dodge, Inc | 250 |
| Feesers, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc. | 253 |
| Fidelity Warranty Services, Inc. v. Firstate Insurance Holdings, Inc | 256 |
| Fifth Third Bank of Western Ohio v. The United States | 258 |
| In re Finisar Corp. Secs. Litig | 260 |
| Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc. (I) | 263 |
| Finjan, Inc. v. Blue Coat Systems, Inc. (II) | 266 |
| First Annapolis Bancorp, Inc. v. The United States | 268 |
| Fisher v. U.S | 272 |
| Fisherman Surgical Instruments, LLC v. Tri-Anim Health Services, Inc | 275 |
| Flood Mobile Homes, Inc. v. Liberty Homes, Inc | 277 |
| Floorgraphics, Inc. v. News America Marketing In-Store Services, Inc | 278 |
| Florafax International, Inc. v. GTE Market Resources, Inc | 280 |
| Fluorine on Call Ltd. v. Fluorogas Limited, et al | 283 |
| Flying J, Inc. v. Department of Transportation | 284 |
| Funai Electric Company v. Daewoo Electronics Corp. (I) | 286 |
| Gallagher & Co. v. Babcock 2 | 288 |
| Gary's Implement, Inc. v. Bridgeport Tractor Parts | 290 |
| Richard S. Gesoff v. IIC Industries Inc., et al | 292 |
| Glattly v. Air Starter Components, Inc | 295 |
| Gold v. Ziff Communications Co | 296 |
| Gordon Partners v. Blumenthal | 299 |
| Great Lakes Business Trust v. M/T Orange Sun (I) | 301 |
| Great Lakes Business Trust v. M/T Orange Sun (II) | 304 |
| Great Pines Water Co., Inc. v. Liqui-Box Corporation | 305 |
| Green v. Polyester Fibers, LLC | 307 |
| In re Groupon Securities Litigation | 308 |
| Oscar Gruss & Son, Inc. v. Yossie Hollander | 312 |
| Robert Haff, et al. v. Richard Augeson D/BA/ Hubbard Concrete Pumping, et al | 313 |
| Hark'n Technologies, Inc. v. Crossover Symmetry | 314 |
| Harrison Manufacturing, LLC v. JMG Manufacturing, Inc | 316 |
| Heritage Operating, LP v. Rhine Bros. LLC | 318 |
| Hinz v. Neuroscience, Inc. | 320 |
| Holder v. Howe | 322 |
| Honeywell International, Inc. et al. v. Air Products & Chemicals, Inc | 325 |

| Horizon Health Corp. v. Acadia Healthcare Co | . 329 |
|--|-------|
| Hubbard v. BankAtlantic Bancorp, Inc | . 331 |
| Humetrix, Inc. v. Gemplus, S.C.A., et al | . 334 |
| i4i Limited Partnership v. Microsoft Corp | . 335 |
| Icare-EMS, Inc. v. Rural Metro Corp | . 338 |
| Ice Corp. v. Hamilton Sundstrand Corp | |
| Illinois Tool Works, Inc. v. MOC Products Co., Inc. | . 342 |
| Imaging International v. Hell Graphic Systems, Inc. | . 343 |
| Immersion Corp. v. HTC Corp | |
| Interactive Pictures Corporation v. Infinite Pictures, Inc. | . 348 |
| Interstate Oil and Supply Company, et al. v. Troutman Oil Company | . 350 |
| lleana Irvine, IRG Research Group, Inc. v. Murad Skin Research Labs, Inc | |
| ITT Corp. v. Xylem Group, LLC | |
| J&M Distrib., Inc. v. Hearth & Home Techs., Inc | |
| Jack Tyler Engineering Co. v. Colfax Corp | . 357 |
| James V. James et al. v. David MacDonald et al | . 360 |
| JGR, Inc. v. Thomasville Furniture Industries, Inc. (II) | . 361 |
| In re Jonatzke | . 363 |
| Joyce v. Armstrong Teasdale, LLP (I) | . 365 |
| Joyce v. Armstrong Teasdale, LLP (II) | . 367 |
| Kairos Scientific Inc. v. Fish & Richardson P.C. | . 370 |
| Kids' Universe et al. v. In2Labs et al | . 374 |
| Kinesoft Development Corporation v. Softbank Holdings Inc. | . 376 |
| Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael | . 379 |
| Kyocera Corporation v. Prudential-Bache Trade Services, Inc. et al | |
| Lane v. Lampkin (I) | |
| Lane v. Lampkin (II) | |
| Lane v. Lampkin (III) | |
| LaserDynamics, Inc. v. Quanta Computer, Inc. (V) | |
| Judith A. Lawton et al. v. Robert Nyman et al | |
| Li v. Aeterna Zentaris, Inc | |
| LifeWise Master Funding LLC et al. v. Telebank | |
| Lightbox Ventures, LLC v. 3 RD Home Ltd | |
| LightLab Imaging, Inc. v. Axsun Technologies, Inc | |
| Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp. (IV) | |
| Edward E. Lucente v. International Business Machines Corporation (II) | |
| Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Elecs. Co | . 414 |
| M & A Technology, Inc. v. iValue Group, Inc | |
| MacDermid Printing Solutions, Inc. v Cortron Corp | |
| In Re: Magna Cum Latte Inc | |
| Manpower, Inc. v. Insurance Co. of Pennsylvania (II) | |
| Manpower, Inc. v. Insurance Co. of Pennsylvania (III) | |
| Marine Travelift, Inc. v. Marine Lift Systems, Inc | |
| Marten Transp., Ltd. v. Plattform Adver., Inc | |
| Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary v. QLT Phototherapeutics, Inc | |
| Mattress Closeout Ctr. IV, LLC v. Panera, LLC | |
| Maytronics, Ltd. v. Aqua Vac Systems, Inc | |
| Mentor Graphics Corp. v. EVE-USA, Inc. (I) | |
| Mentor Graphics Corp. v. EVE-USA, Inc. (II) | |
| Metro Tech Corp. v. TUV Rheinland of N.A | |
| Mifflinburg Telegraph, Inc. v. Criswell, Inc | |
| Miller Bros. Coal, LLC v. Consol of Kentucky, Inc. | . 446 |

The Comprehensive Guide to Economic Damages: Volume One

| 448 |
|---|
| 450 |
| 452 |
| 454 |
| 457 |
| 459 |
| 461 |
| 463 |
| 465 |
| 468 |
| 469 |
| 472 |
| 474 |
| 476 |
| 477 |
| 480 |
| 483 |
| 484 |
| 487 |
| 492 |
| 494 |
| 498 |
| 501 |
| 505 |
| 508 |
| 510 |
| 513 |
| 516 |
| 520 |
| 522 |
| 525 |
| 527 |
| 529 |
| 532 |
| 533 |
| 535 |
| 538 |
| 540 |
| 543 |
| 546 |
| 549 |
| 551 |
| 552 |
| 554 |
| 556 |
| 558 |
| 561 |
| 564 |
| 567 |
| 569 |
| 572 |
| 444444444444444444555555555555555555555 |

| Russell v. Allianze Life Insurance Co. of North America | |
|---|-------|
| Russo v. Ballard Medical Products | |
| Saks Fifth Avenue, Inc. v. James, Ltd | |
| Samsung Electronics Co. v. Apple, Inc. (VI) | |
| Sargon Enterprises v. University of Southern California | |
| Reese Schonfeld v. Russ Hilliard et al | |
| Select Comfort Corp. v. Tempur Sealy Int'l, Inc | |
| Shah v. Steam and Starch Corp | |
| Sherwood Invs. Overseas Ltd. v. Royal Bank of Scot. N.V. (In re Sherwood Invs. Overseas Ltd., Inc.) | |
| Showers v. Pfizer, Inc. (In re Pfizer Inc. Sec. Litig.) | |
| SIHLE Insurance Group, Inc. v. Right Way Hauling, Inc | |
| Silicon Knights, Inc. v. Epic Games, Inc | |
| Situation Management Systems, Inc. v. Malouf, Inc | |
| Skier's Edge Company v. Ladapa Die & Tool, Inc | |
| Delores Skinner, et al. v. Robert Thomas | |
| Slattery v. U.S | |
| Sloan Valve Company v. Zurn Industries, Inc | |
| Sossikian v. Ennis | |
| Guillermo Sostchin v. Doll Enterprises, Inc | |
| Southern National Corporation v. United States | |
| Southwestern Energy Production Co. v. Berry-Helfand | |
| Spencer Franchise Servs. of Ga. v. WOW Café & Wingery Franchising Account, LLC | . 621 |
| Spine Solutions, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc | |
| Springs Window Fashions Division, Inc. v. The Blind Maker, Inc | |
| St. Alphonsus Diversified Care, Inc. v. MRI Associates, LLP | |
| St. Jude Med. S.C., Inc. v. Biosense Webster, Inc. | |
| Structural Polymer Group, Ltd. v. Zoltek Corporation | . 634 |
| Sugar Hill, LLC v. United States | . 636 |
| Synqor, Inc. v. Artesyn Technologies, Inc. (I) | |
| Synqor, Inc. v. Artesyn Technologies, Inc. (II) | |
| Synqor, Inc. v. Artesyn Technologies, Inc. (III) | . 642 |
| System Development Integration, LLC v. Computer Sciences Corp | . 644 |
| Taheri v. Khadavi | . 647 |
| Tax Services of America, Inc. v. Mitchell | . 649 |
| Telxon Corporation v. Smart Media of Delaware, Inc | . 650 |
| Jose M. Terrones, et al. v. Abel J. Tapia, P.E. et al | . 653 |
| Tidwell Industries, Inc. v. Diversified Home Products, Inc | . 654 |
| TiVo Research & Analytics, Inc. v. TNS Media Research LLC | . 656 |
| To-Am Equipment Co., Inc. v. Mitsubishi Caterpillar Forklift America, Inc | . 658 |
| Total Clean, LLC v. Cox Smith Matthews, Inc | . 659 |
| Tri Cnty. Wholesale Distribs. v. Labatt USA Operating Co. LLC | . 661 |
| TruGreen Companies, L.L.C. v. Scotts Lawn Service, et al | . 666 |
| Try Hours, Inc. v. David L. Swartz, et al | . 668 |
| TXCO Resources, Inc. v. Peregrine Petroleum, LLC (In re: TXCO Resources, Inc.) | . 671 |
| Tyco Healthcare Group LP v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc | . 673 |
| Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp. (II) | . 676 |
| United States ex rel. Landis v. Tailwind Sports Corp | . 679 |
| University of Pittsburgh v. David W. Townsend | . 683 |
| University of Pittsburgh v. Varian Medical Systems, Inc | . 687 |
| U.S. Salt, Inc. v. Broken Arrow, Inc | . 689 |
| Utah Medical Products, Inc. v. Graphic Controls Corporation | . 690 |

| | Versata Software Inc. v. SAP America, Inc. (I) | . 692 |
|---|--|-------|
| | Versata Software, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc. (II) | . 694 |
| | Victory Records, Inc. v. Virgin Records America, Inc. | . 697 |
| | Villaje del Rio, Ltd. v. Colina del Rio, LP | . 699 |
| | VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc. (II) | . 701 |
| | Volterra Semiconductor Corp. v. Primarion, Inc. | . 704 |
| | Von der Ruhr v. Immtech International, Inc | . 707 |
| | Waggoner v. Barclays PLC | |
| | Wai Ming Ng v. Connie Tow | |
| | Wallace v. Kalniz | |
| | Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc. | |
| | Washington v. Kellwood Co. (I) | |
| | Washington v. Kellwood Co. (II) | |
| | Washington v. Kellwood Co. (III) | |
| | Washington v. Kellwood Co. (IV) | |
| | Wellogix, Inc. v. Accenture, LLP. | |
| | West Plains, LLC v. Retzlaff Grain Co. (I) | |
| | West Plains, LLC v. Retzlaff Grain Co. (II) | |
| | WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp. | |
| | Whitserve, LLC v. Computer Packages, Inc. Willis v. Big Lots, Inc. | |
| | World Wide Prosthetic Supply, Inc. v. Robert J. Mikulsky | |
| | In re Xcelera.com Securities Litigation. | |
| | In te Acelera.com Securities Litigation. | . 143 |
| | | |
| F | PART VIII. APPENDICES | . 745 |
| | Appendix A. Links to Ethical Guidelines Published by Member Organizations | . 747 |
| | Appendix B. Links to Requirements for Certifications, by Organization | . 748 |
| | Appendix C. Decision Tree to Determine the Application of Professional Standards | . 750 |
| | Appendix D. Comparison of AICPA Professional Standards and Federal Rule of Evidence 702 | . 751 |
| | Appendix E. Federal Rules of Evidence Pertaining to Expert Testimony | . 752 |
| | Appendix F. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Pertaining to Expert Testimony | . 757 |
| | Appendix G. Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence: 3rd Edition (2011), Selected Sections | . 759 |

About the Editors

Nancy J. Fannon is the partner in charge of business valuation and litigation services with Marcum LLP. She is a nationally known author and expert in the area of economic damages and corporate valuation. With over 30 years of experience as a financial analyst, she frequently serves as an expert witness or a financial consultant in matters involving complex corporate litigation for public and private companies and testifies or consults on matters involving economic damages, unjust enrichment/disgorgement, shareholder disputes, and other damages analyses. She has written for numerous valuation and damages journals, has published three books related to valuation and damages, and has been a technical reviewer or contributing author to several others. Fannon is a frequent national speaker, has served on the editorial boards of four of the major valuation and economic analysis industry organizations, and served as co-chair of a joint industry standard-setting board. In 2007, she was inducted into the AICPA's "Hall of Fame," awarded for substantial contributions to the advancement of the business valuation profession.

Jonathan M. Dunitz is counsel in the Portland, Maine, office of the law firm Verrill Dana LLP, where he cochairs both the Breweries, Distilleries and Wineries Group and the Insurance Coverage and Litigation Group. Dunitz has 25 years of experience, including complex commercial and business litigation, appellate advocacy, and insurance coverage. More recently, his practice has expanded to work with breweries, distilleries, and wineries from startup through the life of the company. He is admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court, all state and federal courts of Maine, and the United States 1st Circuit Court of Appeals. Dunitz has written and spoken extensively on the issue of expert exclusion, particularly in the area of financial damages. He is listed in *The Best Lawyers in America* under "Litigation—Insurance." Dunitz has presented at AICPA conferences on damages and expert issues and has been a frequent panelist for the Maine State Bar Association on legal writing issues, commercial litigation matters, and business valuation. He also speaks regularly on the issue of risk management in the breweries, distilleries, and wineries industry, including as a panelist at the Brewers Association's National Craft Brewers Conference. He graduated from Ithaca College in 1988 and is a 1993 magna cum laude graduate of the Syracuse University College of Law, where he was lead articles editor of the *Syracuse Law Review*. Dunitz is also an accomplished photographer and musician. He lives with his rescue tripawd lab mix, Kirby.

Acknowledgments

The ability to create a text with deep insights into the breadth of issues that affect financial damages requires the talents of many individuals, and no group is more qualified than this group of contributing authors. They are specialists in the particular area they have written about. We thank each of them for the time and energy they put into helping others gain from their insights by participating in this book.

Contact information for each of the contributing authors can be found below; each of their biographies can be found in the section starting on page 21.

Jeffrey L. Baliban (Chapter 39)

CPA, ABV, CDBV Jbaliban@nyu.edu Jeffrey.baliban@capitalforensics.com

Doug Bania (Chapters 30 and 31)

CLP

Nevium Intellectual Property Solutions Suite 341 415 Laurel St. San Diego, CA 92101 nevium.com

Neil J. Beaton (Chapters 13 and 17)

CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA

Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services Suite 2450 1111 Third Ave. Seattle, WA 98101

www.alvarezandmarsal.com

Richard F. Bero (Chapters 26 and 27)

CPA/CVA
The BERO Group
N16 W23217 Stone Ridge Drive
Ridgeview Office Center, Suite 250
Waukesha, WI 53188
www.berogroup.com

Jared Bourgeois (Chapter 41)

Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLC 1 N. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 www.pwc.com/us

Brian Buss (Chapters 30 and 31)

CFA

Nevium Intellectual Property Solutions Suite 341 415 Laurel St. San Diego, CA 92101 nevium.com

David B. Chaffin, Esq. (Chapter 3)

White and Williams LLP Suite 1930 101 Arch St. Boston, MA 02110 www.whiteandwilliams.com

Larry Chiagouris (Chapter 29)

Pace University—New York City campus Room W-471 1 Pace Plaza New York, NY 10038 www.pace.edu

Adrian Cowan (Chapter 42)

Ph.D.

Analytic Focus Suite 200 11467 Huebner Road San Antonio, TX 78230 www.analyticfocus.com

Charles D. Cowan (Chapter 43)

Ph.D. Analytic Focus Suite 200 11467 Huebner Road San Antonio, TX 78230 www.analyticfocus.com

Michael A. Crain (Chapters 1, 14, and 25)

CPA/ABV, CFA, CFE Florida Atlantic University College of Business, School of Accounting 3200 College Ave. Davie, FL 33314 business.fau.edu/departments/accounting/

Mark O. Dietrich (Chapter 18)

CPA/ABV 945 Concord St. Framingham, MA 01701 www.cpa.net

Jonathan M. Dunitz, Esq. (Chapters 2, 7, 9, and 41)

Verrill Dana LLP 1 Portland Square P.O. Box 586 Portland, ME 04112 www.verrilldana.com

Rachel Eisenhaure, Esq. (Chapter 3)

White and Williams LLP Suite 1930 101 Arch St. Boston, MA 02110 www.whiteandwilliams.com

Tyler Farmer, Esq. (Chapters 9, 13, and 17)

Harrigan Leyh Farmer & Thomsen LLP Suite 4400 999 Third Ave. Seattle, WA 98104 www.harriganleyh.com

John V. Garaffa, Esq. (Chapter 38)

Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP Suite 2300 400 N. Ashley Drive Tampa, FL 33602 www.butler.legal

Joseph D. Garrison, Esq. (Chapter 36A)

Garrison, Levin-Epstein, Fitzgerald & Pirrotti PC 405 Orange St. New Haven, CT 06511 www.garrisonlaw.com

Daniel K. Gelb, Esq.

Richard M. Gelb, Esq. (Chapter 4)

Gelb & Gelb LLP 84 State St., 4th Floor Boston, MA 02109 www.gelbgelb.com

Mark Glick, Ph.D., J.D. (Chapter 28)

University of Utah Orson Spencer Hall Economics Department 260 Central Campus Drive, Room 343 Salt Lake City, UT 84112 www.utah.edu

Joshua R. Goodbaum, Esq. (Chapter 36A)

Garrison, Levin-Epstein, Fitzgerald & Pirrotti PC 405 Orange St.
New Haven, CT 06511
www.garrisonlaw.com

Joseph Goode, Esq. (Chapter 24)

Laffey, Leitner & Goode LLC

Suite 200

325 E. Chicago St.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

www.llgmke.com

David L. Herzer Jr., Esq. (Chapter 5)

Norman Hanson DeTroy LLC 2 Canal Plaza

P.O. Box 4600

Portland, ME 04112

www.nhdlaw.com

Richard S. Hoffman (Chapter 28)

CPA/ABV

Lone Peak Valuation Group

Suite 500

36 S. State St.

Salt Lake City, UT 84111

www.lonepeakvaluation.com

Cliff T. Hutchinson, Esq. (Chapters 2, 7, and 12)

4222 Bobbitt Drive Dallas, TX 75201

Cliff.T.Hutchinson@gmail.com

Daniel E. Johnson, Esq. (Chapter 19)

Covington & Burlington LLP

1 CityCenter 850 10th St., NW

Washington, DC 20001

www.cov.com

Leon Kaplan (Chapter 29)

Princeton Research and Consulting Center

12 Roszel Road

#C103

Princeton, NJ 08540

Michael Kaplan (Chapter 16)

CPA, CVA, MAFF

Kaplan Forensics

Suite 400

5850 Canoga Ave.

Woodland Hills, CA 91367

www.kaplanforensics.com

James A. Koerber (Chapters 35 and 36B)

The Koerber Co. PA 103 Madison Plaza P.O. Box 18170 (39404) Hattiesburg, MS 39402 Koerbercompany.com

Mark M. Leitner, Esq. (Chapter 24)

Laffey, Leitner & Goode LLC

Suite 200

325 E. Chicago St.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

www.llgmke.com

Jeff Litvak (Chapter 41)

CPA/CFF/ABV, ASA

FTI Consulting Inc.

Suite 900

227 W. Monroe St.

Chicago, IL 60606

www.fticonsulting.com

Prof. Robert M. Lloyd (Chapters 6, 8, and 15)

The University of Tennessee

College of Law

1505 W. Cumberland Ave.

Knoxville, TN 37996

www.utk.edu

Jonathan H. Margolies, Esq. (Chapter 27)

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP

Suite 3300

100 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Kenneth Mathieu (Chapter 41)

CPA, ABV, CFF, CAMS

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC

1 N. Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

www.pwc.com/us

Patrick McGeehin (Chapter 19)

CPA

FTI Consulting

Suite 375

1375 Piccard Drive

Rockville, MD 20850

www.fticonsulting.com

Greg McKinnon (Chapter 20)

CPA/CFF/CGMA CMA

Hemming Morse LLP

2595 Ceanothus Ave.

Chico, CA 95973

www.hemming.com

Greg Naviloff (Chapter 37)

RSM US LLP

84 State St.

Boston, MA, 02109

www.rsmus.com

Autumn Nero, Esq. (Chapter 26)

Perkins Coie LLP

1 E. Main St.

Suite 201

Madison, WI 53703

www.perkinscoie.com

James O'Brien (Chapter 10)

CPA, CFF

Management Planning Inc.

2 Logan Square

Suite 300

100 N. 18th St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

www.mpival.com

Milan Politi, J.D. (Chapter 28)

Milany02@gmail.com

Peter Resnick (Chapter 37)

CPA, CFF, CFE

Charles River Associates

200 Clarendon St.

Boston, MA 02116

www.crai.com

George P. Roach, Esq. (Chapters 32, 33, 34 and 40)

George Roach Litigation Consulting

6820 Meadow Lake Circle

Dallas, TX 75214

www.litigation-consultant.com

Mark Roesler, Esq. (Chapter 23)

CMG Worldwide

10500 Crosspoint Blvd.

Indianapolis, IN 46256

www.cmgworldwide.com

Paul J. Seguin (Chapter 42)

Ph.D.

pauljseguin@msn.com

Ronald L. Seigneur (Chapter 22)

CPA/ABV/CFF, ASA, CVA, CGMA

Seigneur Gustafson LLP

Suite 200

940 Wadsworth Blvd.

Lakewood, CO 80214

www.cpavalue.com

Evan Sherwood, Esq. (Chapter 19)

Covington & Burlington LLP

1 CityCenter

850 10th St., NW

Washington, DC 20001

www.cov.com

Rebekah Smith (Chapter 16)

CPA, CVA, CFF, MAFF

GBQ Consulting LLC

Suite 700

230 West St.

Columbus, OH 43215

www.gbqconsulting.com

Gregory N. Stillman, Esq. (Chapter 10) Hunton Andrews Kurth, LLP

Edward (Ted) Stockton (Chapter 24) The Fontana Group Inc. 3509 N. Campbell Ave. Tucson, AZ 85719 www.fontanagroup.com

Stacey D. Udell (Chapter 11) CPA/ABV/CFF, ASA, CVA HBK Valuation Group 220 Lake Dr. E Suite 205 Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002 www.hbkcpa.com Audrey Wessel, Esq. (Chapter 23) CMG Worldwide 10500 Crosspoint Blvd. Indianapolis, IN 46256 www.cmgworldwide.com

Timothy W. York (Chapter 21) CPA/ABV Dixon Hughes Goodman PLLC Suite 800 4350 Congress St. Charlotte, NC 28209 www.dixon-hughes.com

Please note:

Participation in this guide does not mean that individual contributors, reviewers, or editors agree with everything that has been included in it, including the writings and opinions of other contributors. While we have attempted to present clear guidance on the various topics wherever possible, damages and their proper calculation will always be subject to the facts of the particular matter, the opinion of the expert, the case law, and the law that governs the particular jurisdiction, as well as the trier of fact's ultimate findings.

The information contained in this guide is provided for informational or educational purposes only. It is not intended and shall not be deemed to constitute legal advice.

Author Bios

Jeffrey L. Baliban, CPA/ABV, CDBV, is an economist, a certified public accountant, and business valuation appraiser. In his professional practice, Baliban has, for the last four decades, focused on resolving complex commercial disputes by providing independent evaluation studies of economic impact on businesses and individuals involved. His clients have come to include a diverse group of corporations and their counsel who retain him to value businesses and/or provide an independent measure of expectation, reliance, restitution, statutory, and/or punitive damages. He has, on numerous occasions, provided testimony as an expert witness in accounting, economics, valuation, business interruption, and other finance matters in various federal and state jurisdictions as well as in arbitration. During his career, Baliban has been a managing director at Alvarez & Marsal, a senior vice president at NERA Economic Consulting, and a senior partner in KPMG LLP's Dispute Advisory Services Practice. He is currently an adjunct professor of statistical measurements, analysis and research at the New York University School for Professional Studies and a senior advisor to Capital Forensics Inc. Baliban holds degrees in accounting (B.S., 1977) and economics (M.A., 1995) and has been a CPA since 1981. He holds the ABV and CDBV accreditations in business valuation and completed FINRA Series 63 and Series 79 licenses. Over the years, Baliban has written and spoken widely on fraudulent transfer as well as other complex commercial damages matters and business valuation issues.

Doug Bania, CLP, has 15 years' experience as an intellectual property (IP) and brand licensing strategist and IP valuation professional. Bania is an accomplished writer, speaker, and is part of the International Trademark Association's (INTA) Internet Committee and the ICANN Compliance and Domain Name Industry Subcommittee. He is a Certified Licensing Professional (CLP) and is a founding principal of Nevium Intellectual Property Solutions and a managing director of Brand Finance USA. Bania is also a branding, licensing and IP damages expert and has been named in approximately 50 cases, has been deposed 10 times, and has provided trial testimony five times, including testimony as the damages and apportionment expert in the *Blurred Lines* case. Bania has managed hundreds of projects related to brands, intellectual property, and the related intangible assets. He has assisted clients in intellectual property matters related to acquisitions, litigation, bankruptcy, tax, estate, and licensing. He holds an M.A. in television, film, and new media with an emphasis on marketing from San Diego State University and a B.A. in cinema from San Francisco State University. His writings have appeared in peer-reviewed journals such as the *Journal of Intellectual Property Law* and other publications such as *The World Trademark Review* and panel presentations for the Licensing Executive Society (LES) and the SXSW music festival.

Neil J. Beaton, CPA/ABV/CFF, CFA, ASA, is a managing director in Alvarez & Marsal's Valuation Services Practice. Prior to joining A&M, Neil was the global lead in charge of complex valuation at Grant Thornton LLP's Valuation Services Group. He has over 25 years of experience analyzing both closely and publicly held companies. Beaton has appeared as an expert witness across the country and in international tribunals, is an instructor for the AICPA's business valuation courses, and speaks nationally on business valuation with a special emphasis on early-stage and high-technology companies. He has published two books, contributed chapters to a number of other books, and written many articles on early-stage company valuations as well. He has served on the AICPA's National Accreditation Commission and the Financial Accounting Standards Board Valuation Resource Group. Beaton has a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Stanford University and a MBA in finance from National University. In addition to his formal education, Beaton is a Certified Public Accountant, Accredited in Business Valuation and Certified in Financial Forensics, a Chartered Financial Analyst, and an Accredited Senior Appraiser in business valuation from the American Society of Appraisers.

Richard F. Bero, CPA/ABV/CVA, is the managing director of The BERO Group. The BERO Group specializes in litigation and valuation services. Bero is a Certified Public Accountant, accredited in business valuation, and a Certified Valuation Analyst. Bero received his BBA in accounting and finance from the University of Wisconsin—Madison. He has provided accounting and financial consulting services and expert testimony pertaining to valuation and economic damages issues for more than 28 years. Bero has served as an expert witness in commercial and intellectual property litigations concerning a wide range of industries and technologies including medical imaging, medical devices, financial services, electronics, software, industrial equipment, construction and heavy equipment, automotive, pharmaceutical, consumer products, and many others. Bero has testified as an expert more than 130 times in trials, depositions, arbitrations, and other hearings in federal and state courts across the country. Bero has presented, instructed, and written articles on topics including intangible assets, intellectual property damages, commercial damages, and various accounting issues. He is the lead instructor of the intellectual property damages course for the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts. Bero has also been a member of the damages committee of the Intellectual Property Owners Association since 2004. Prior to founding The BERO Group, Bero was the Wisconsin Practice Leader for Coopers & Lybrand's National Litigation & Claims Services practice and an executive consultant with Peterson Consulting.

Jared Bourgeois, CPA/ABV, CFE, CAMS, is a manager in a large professional services firm in the financial forensics practice and is based in Chicago. Bourgeois has significant professional experience advising clients in commercial disputes, post-merger and acquisition disputes, bankruptcy-related litigation, and forensic accounting investigations. He has performed valuation, solvency, and economic damages analyses in various matters, including breach of contract disputes, earnout disputes, fraudulent conveyance investigations, benefit-of-the-bargain and material adverse change cases, and marital dissolutions. He has served as a consulting expert on various matters involving complex damages, valuation, and accounting issues, including the interpretation of generally accepted accounting principles. He has also conducted a variety of forensic accounting and other internal investigations, including fraud, FCPA, anti-money laundering, auditor malpractice, successor liability, and breach of fiduciary duty investigations.

Brian Buss, CFA, is a founding principal of Nevium Intellectual Property Solutions, a San Diego-based intellectual property (IP) consulting firm specializing in valuing, monetizing, and managing IP. Buss has over 20 years of experience in valuations, financial analysis, and corporate finance both in the U.S. and overseas. He provides strategic advice for intellectual property portfolios and transactions; product pricing and resource allocation strategies for executive teams and corporate boards; and performs valuations of trademarks, patents, copyrights, brand assets, trade secrets, technology assets, marketing strategies, and other intangible assets. Buss has provided expert testimony and opinions regarding the value of intellectual property assets and the contribution of IP to economic profits in bankruptcies, tax court, federal court, and arbitration. He has spoken and wrote papers on topics including damages in internet and social media infringement, IP valuation, and the economic impact of brand disparagement. Buss graduated from Claremont McKenna College with a degree in biology and economics and earned an MBA from San Diego State University. During his career, Buss has developed financial models and forecasts for acquisitions, business valuations, class action litigation, reorganizations and privatization transactions, licensing transactions, and new business ventures. His entrepreneurial experience includes serving as co-founder of a technology startup. Prior to founding Nevium, Buss worked at San Diegobased consulting firms Westpac Institutional Bank and Deloitte & Touche's Financial Advisory Services group in New Zealand and the United States.

David B. Chaffin, Esq., is the managing partner of the Boston office of White and Williams LLP. Chaffin has been a commercial litigator and trial lawyer for over 30 years. He handles complex litigation in the areas of, among others, real estate, intellectual property, employer/employee relations, corporate governance, and insurance coverage. Chaffin is a member of the bars of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the State of New York, as well as the bars of several United States District Courts and the Courts of Appeals for the 1st and 2nd Circuits. He graduated in 1983 from Duke Law School, where he was an editor of the *Duke Law Journal*. Chaffin graduated cum laude from Amherst College in 1979.

Larry Chiagouris, Ph.D., possesses a unique combination of having served as both an executive with *Fortune* 500 companies and also as an accomplished academician. He currently is a tenured full professor of marketing at the Lubin School of Business at Pace University in New York City where he teaches marketing and survey research methods. In addition to his academic work, he is also a principal in the consulting firm BrandMarketing Services. He has been conducting marketing and research assignments for more than 30 years on behalf of *Fortune* 500 companies, startups, and major law firms. He is past chairman of the Advertising Research Foundation and currently serves on the editorial review board of the *Journal of Advertising Research*.

Adrian M. Cowan is co-founder of Analytic Focus LLC and former associate professor in finance in the Business School at St. Mary's University. Dr. Cowan has over 20 years of experience in research and design applied to issues in banking risk. She specializes in the study of derivatives and other forms of investment, as well as operations in financial institutions. Dr. Adrian Cowan has worked in the federal government, academia, and in financial institutions. Her diverse work encompasses: creation of prototypes of term structure and interest rate derivatives models for the OTS risk management model; valuation of bonds and fixed income derivatives; and evaluation of asset and liability policies and procedures and installation of risk measurement software using stochastic term structure models, simulations, binomial models, and option valuation models. Dr. Cowan taught financial risk management, investments and derivatives, money and capital markets, and financial institutions; she also directed the Student Investment Portfolio for the Business School at St. Mary's. She is the author of many articles on credit and interest rate risk, commercial lending, and pricing models.

Charles D. Cowan is managing partner of Analytic Focus LLC. Dr. Cowan has 40 years of experience in statistical research and design. He consults for numerous public- and private-sector entities on the design, implementation, and evaluation of research and the synthesis of statistical and sampling techniques for measurement. Dr. Cowan has designed some of the largest and most complex research programs the federal government has conducted. He has provided expert advice to corporations and government agencies on the incorporation of complex research designs in demographic and economic measurement problems. Dr. Cowan teaches graduate and undergraduate courses in survey methods, statistics, and computer methods for analysis. He is the co-author of two books, one on evaluation of survey and census methods and one on econometric measures related to the welfare of the U.S. economy. He has written numerous articles on statistical methods, sampling, rare and elusive population research, and optimization techniques. Dr. Cowan is also professor of biostatistics at the University of Alabama—Birmingham in the School of Public Health.

Dr. Michael A. Crain, DBA, CPA/ABV, CFA, CFE, is on the faculty at Florida Atlantic University and the director of FAU's Center for Forensic Accounting. He is also senior advisor at Miami-based accounting firm Kaufman Rossin in forensic accounting and valuation. He has over 30 years of experience as a practicing certified public accountant (CPA). Dr. Crain has been a licensed CPA in Florida since 1984 and has the certification Accredited in Business Valuation (American Institute of CPAs); he also has the Chartered Financial Analyst designation (CFA Institute) and is a Certified Fraud Examiner (Association of Certified Fraud Examiners). He has B.A. (accounting) and an MBA from Bellarmine University and a Doctor of Business Administration (finance) degree

from Manchester Business School in England at the University of Manchester. He has previously been approved as an arbitrator for the American Arbitration Association's Commercial Panel. In addition to his academic role, Dr. Crain consults with clients largely in the areas of business/economic damages measurement, valuation of businesses and financial assets, and forensic accounting. He has served as a consulting and testifying expert in many commercial cases in state and federal courts and has also served as an arbitrator in commercial damages and minority shareholder cases and as a court-appointed accountant. Dr. Crain has previously worked in the auditing and consulting areas in public accounting firms, including senior manager at an international firm. He is a past chairman of the AICPA's Business Valuation Committee and has been inducted into the AICPA's Business Valuation Hall of Fame. Dr. Crain's publications include contributing author of *Litigation Services Handbook: The Role of the Financial Expert*, 4th edition, co-author of *Financial Valuation: Applications and Models*, 1st and 2nd editions, contributing author of *The Portable MBA in Finance and Accounting*, 3rd and 4th editions, and articles in the *Journal of Accountancy*. He received AICPA's Lawler Award for best article of the year in *Journal of Accountancy* and AICPA's Sustained Contribution Award.

Mark O. Dietrich is a summa cum laude, Beta Gamma Sigma graduate of Boston University where he also earned an MBA with high honors; he holds a Master in Taxation degree from Bentley College as well. A prolific writer, Mark is editor and contributing author to the Business Valuation Resources/American Health Lawyers' Association Guide to Healthcare Finance and Valuation, 4th edition; editor and principal author of Business Valuation Resources' Guide to Physician Practice Valuation, 3rd edition; co-editor and contributing author to the American Business Valuation Resources/Health Lawyers' Association Guide to Valuing Physician Compensation and Healthcare Service Arrangements, 2nd edition; and co-author with Gregory Anderson, CPA/ABV, of The Financial Professional's Guide to Healthcare Reform. Dietrich is also author of the Medical Practice Valuation Guidebook and co-author of Thomsen-PPC's Guide to Healthcare Consulting, along with more than 100 articles on valuation, taxation, managed care, and the healthcare regulatory environment. His career experience includes serving as partner-in-charge of the annual audit of an 80-physician tax-exempt faculty group practice affiliated with a major teaching hospital, representation of tax-exempt and taxable entities in Internal Revenue Service field audits, participation in the development of a 250-physician network and negotiation of managed care and Medicare Advantage contracts, and more than 450 valuation and litigation support engagements in the healthcare industry. Dietrich has an in-depth knowledge of the healthcare payment and regulatory environment, including such arcane matters as physician billing codes and the impact of local-market provider payment rates on fair market value compensation. A regular speaker at state and national conferences on healthcare reform, valuation, and other topics, he also lectured in the United Kingdom in 2009, 2011, 2012, and 2014 on managed care, healthcare valuation, and valuation of medical practices to Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs. He served as chairperson of the American Institute of CPA's (AICPA) National Healthcare Industry Conference for 2012 and 2013, chaired the AICPA Virtual Conference on the Affordable Care Act in January 2014, and the National Healthcare Industry Conference Affordable Care Act section in 2014. AICPA acknowledged his career achievements at the 2016 National Healthcare Industry Conference.

Rachel J. Eisenhaure, Esq., is an associate at the Boston office of White and Williams LLP. Eisenhaure handles a variety of complex commercial litigation matters in areas such as insurance coverage, environmental, and contract/business-tort matters. She has extensive appellate experience. Eisenhaure is a member of the bars of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and the Courts of Appeals for the 1st Circuit. She graduated in 2005 from Georgetown University Law Center and from Harvard College in 2002.

Tyler L. Farmer, Esq., is a partner at Harrigan, Leyh, Farmer & Thomsen LLP, a litigation firm in Seattle. Tyler has been recognized as a top civil trial lawyer in Washington by Best Lawyers in America (2018), *Super Lawyers* (2012-2018), and Benchmark Litigation (2016-2018) and is an associate fellow in the Litigation Counsel of America. Tyler handles complex cases across the country, including real estate disputes (including condemnation and construction impact), class action litigation, business interruption cases, and shareholder disputes. Tyler earned his J.D. with honors from the University of Notre Dame law school. Before moving to Seattle, Tyler was with the Silicon Valley and Washington, D.C., offices of Jones Day.

John V. Garaffa, Esq., is partner in the Tampa, Fla., office of Butler Weihmuller Katz Craig LLP. He practices in the firm's construction, coverage defense, and cyber losses departments. Prior to joining the firm, Garaffa served on active duty for 21 years as a member of the Judge Advocate Generals Corp, United States Navy, retiring as a captain. Garaffa is admitted to practice law before the state courts of Minnesota and Florida, the United States District Courts for the Northern, Middle, and Southern Districts of Florida, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the 11th Circuit. He has written and spoken widely on property coverage issues, first party bad faith, punitive damages, discovery disputes, and on the application of state-valued policy laws. He is the co-author of Chapter 42 of *New Appleman on Insurance*, concerning legal issues underlying coverage determinations in commercial property insurance. Garaffa received his J.D. from the University of Minnesota, cum laude, in 1982 and a Master of Laws degree, with distinction, from Georgetown University in 1991.

Joseph D. Garrison, Esq., is the senior partner at Garrison, Levin-Epstein, Fitzgerald & Pirrotti PC in New Haven, Conn., where he practices employment law on behalf of employees. Garrison is an elected member of the American College of Trial Lawyers and the American Board of Trial Advocates. He served for three years as president of the National Employment Lawyers Association (NELA) and was a Charter Fellow in the board of governors of the College of Labor and Employment Lawyers, later serving as its national president. As an elected member of the American Law Institute (ALI), he has been substantially involved in drafting the highly influential Restatement (Third) of Employment Law, which was published in 2014. He increasingly focuses his practice on mediation and arbitration and has served since 2009 as a member of the board of directors of the American Arbitration Association (AAA).

Daniel K. Gelb, Esq., a partner at Gelb & Gelb LLP, is a member of the bars of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and State of New York, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and the United States Court of Appeals for the 1st Circuit. He graduated from Tufts University (B.A. in English, 1999), Boston College Law School (J.D., 2003), and Boston College Carroll Graduate School of Management (MBA, 2003). Prior to joining Gelb & Gelb LLP, Gelb was an assistant district attorney with the Norfolk County District Attorney's Office in Massachusetts. Gelb practices at the state and federal levels in the areas of general and white-collar criminal defense, complex civil litigation, arbitration, regulatory proceedings, and academic discipline and student misconduct defense. He is a member of various professional organizations including The Sedona Conference® Working Group on Electronic Document Retention & Production, American Bar Association, Litigation Counsel of America, Massachusetts Bar Association, Boston Bar Association, and the Massachusetts Academy of Trial Attorneys. Gelb is also a member of the Frank J. Murray American Inn of Court, National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers' (NACDL) White Collar Crime Committee, as well as NACDL's Amicus Committee for which he is as a vice chair for the 1st Circuit. For the past several years, Gelb has been a volunteer faculty member for Harvard Law School's Trial Advocacy Workshop. Gelb has achieved the AV Preeminent® peer review rating by Martindale-Hubbell® and is included in Martindale-Hubbell Bar Registrar of Preeminent Lawyers. He is a Louis D. Brandeis Fellow of the Massachusetts Bar Foundation and has been recognized by various legal industry publications such as Massachusetts Super Lawyers, New England Super Lawyers, The National Trial Lawyers, and National Association of Distinguished Counsel.

Richard M. Gelb, Esq., a partner and co-founder of Gelb & Gelb LLP, is a member of the bars of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, State of New York, District of Columbia, United States District Courts for the Districts of Massachusetts, the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of Connecticut, United States Courts of Appeals for the 1st, 2nd, and 11th Circuits and the United States Supreme Court. He is a graduate of New York University (B.A., 1969) and Boston College Law School (J.D., 1973). He is experienced in trying jury and nonjury business and securities cases in federal and state courts and in arbitration and regulatory proceedings, including those before the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, FINRA, and the Massachusetts Division of Securities and Division of Insurance, and represents clients before the Certified Financial Planner Board of Standards Inc. and the Massachusetts Board of Accountancy. Gelb was a member of the board of trustees and is a member of the Leadership Council of the American Inns of Court Foundation, and the state liaison for Massachusetts. On the local level, he was a founder and co-president of the Suffolk University Law School Litigation American Inn of Court and is the past president of the Boston Inn of Court. At Boston College Law School, he was vice president, communications, of the Boston College Law School Alumni Council and co-chair of the Leadership Gifts Committee. Gelb received the Reverend James B. Malley, S.J. Award for service and commitment to the law school and was a recipient of the 75th BC Law Anniversary Awards. Gelb was a member of the Massachusetts Bar Association's Civil Litigation Section Council, a member of the Committee on Ethics, chair of the Business Litigation Committee, and a member of the State Bench-Bar Forum Committee. At the Boston Bar Association, he was co-chair of the Securities Law Committee Broker-Dealer Subcommittee, the Fee Disputes Committee, and a member of the Task Force on Civility in the Legal Profession. Gelb was co-chair of the Trade Secrets Committee of the Boston Patent Law Association. Gelb is included in the Martindale-Hubbell Law Directory ("AV" rating), Martindale-Hubbell Bar Registrar of Preeminent Lawyers, The Best Lawyers in America (for over 15 years), New England Super Lawyers, Massachusetts Super Lawyers, and Who's Who in the World. He is a life member of the Massachusetts Bar Foundation, Fellow of the American Bar Foundation, and Fellow of the Litigation Counsel.

Mark Glick, Ph.D., J.D., has over 20 years of experience as an economist, lawyer, and expert witness. He is a professor of economics at the University of Utah. He also co-wrote *Intellectual Property Damages: Guidelines and Analysis*.

Joshua R. Goodbaum, Esq., is a partner with Garrison, Levin-Epstein, Fitzgerald & Pirrotti PC in New Haven, Conn., where he represents individuals in employee rights and civil rights litigation. A magna cum laude graduate of Harvard Law School and a former editor of the *Harvard Law Review*, Goodbaum previously served as a law clerk to the Honorable Neil M. Gorsuch of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 10th Circuit.

Joseph S. Goode is the managing partner of Laffey, Leitner & Goode LLC. He approaches client problems with passion and intensity as if those problems were his own. The day he graduated from Syracuse University College of Law, Goode received the George and Evelyn Marcus Award for being the graduate who best demonstrated the qualities of good judgment, ambition, understanding, compassion, and an appreciation of learning. In the more than two storied decades since, those exact qualities have become synonymous with Goode. They've not only contributed to his countless successes as an attorney both inside and outside of the courtroom, but also to the standards by which he sets professionally for those he works with at LLG. As the last of five children, he honed his advocacy skills early in life and became very good at letting people know where he stands. He's a tireless leader who is intense and demanding (mostly of himself). He maintains the exacting eye of an old-school newsroom editor. Joe's joie de vivre is infectious to everyone around him, and his passion for people is routinely used to speak for those who require his advocacy.

Dave Herzer, Esq., is a trial attorney with substantive litigation experience in general insurance defense litigation, insurance coverage issues, product liability defense, lead poisoning claims, construction disputes, employment law, and medical malpractice claims since 1992 representing a variety of clients in trial courts throughout Maine, both state and federal, and in appeals to the Maine Law Court, the 1st Circuit Court of Appeals, and the U.S. Supreme Court. Herzer also practices before the Maine Public Utilities Commission as counsel for trade organizations. He received his undergraduate degree from the University of Pennsylvania and is a cum laude graduate of the University of Maine School of Law, where his Moot Court team was a national champion. An active member of local, state, national, and international bar associations or attorney organizations, Herzer has held leadership positions in the Defense Research Institute and the Northern New England Defense Counsel Association (now known as The Tri-State Defense Lawyers Association). Since 2010, he has been a member of the Advisory Committee for the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure that develops rules of civil court, and he chairs the Maine Professional Ethics Commission that advises attorneys on issues of ethics for 2017. Herzer has been a featured speaker at seminars conducted for hospitals, risk managers, private medical practices, insurance companies, attorneys, and the construction industry on matters of insurance, ethics, risk management, claim investigation, professional liability, and civil litigation. Herzer has achieved Martindale Hubbell's highest peer review rating (AV® PreeminentTM), has been recognized as a New England Super Lawyer, and has received special mention as a "Future Star" in the firm's listing as one of five "Highly Recommended Firms in Maine" by Benchmark Litigation. His peers nominated him for The Best Lawyers in America® in the fields of defendant's personal injury litigation, insurance law, and defendant's professional malpractice law. The Best Lawyers in America® named him "Lawyer of the Year" in the field of insurance law in 2014 and again in 2017 for defendant's professional malpractice law.

Richard Hoffman, CPA/ABV, has nearly 20 years of experience in performing damage calculations, business valuations, and other forensic accounting projects for several international consulting firms. He is a frequent instructor on the proper methods of measuring damages resulting from intellectual property disputes. He cowrote *Intellectual Property Damages: Guidelines and Analysis* and has taught many courses for NACVA. He has testified in state and federal courts, including bankruptcy courts, on many occasions. He has also acted as the Special Master in a number of matters. He is a co-founding partner of Lone Peak Valuation Group in Salt Lake City, Utah.

Clifton T. Hutchinson, Esq. is a litigation partner in the Dallas office of K&L Gates LLP, where he focuses on disputes involving complex technical and scientific issues and evidence. Hutchinson has tried cases in state and federal courts around the U.S. and has argued before state and federal appellate courts, including the United States Supreme Court. He has written and edited several books and book chapters on the subject of expert witnesses and is a frequent speaker on experts and related topics. He is an adjunct professor of law at Southern Methodist University School of Law, teaching expert evidence. Hutchinson received an undergraduate degree in industrial engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology in 1969 and his J.D. from Southern Methodist University School of Law, cum laude, in 1980, where he was Texas survey editor of the Southwestern Law Journal. He is admitted to the State Bars of Texas and California and to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Daniel E. Johnson, Esq., is an attorney and partner in the international law firm of Covington & Burling LLP, practicing in its Washington, D.C., office. He has over 30 years of experience litigating lost profits claims arising from government contracts, commercial disputes, and business torts. He represents parties in lost profits litigation at the trial and appellate levels and appears before administrative agencies and arbitration panels. Johnson graduated magna cum laude from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University and received a J.D. from the University of Virginia.

Leon B. Kaplan, Ph.D., is the president and CEO of the Princeton Research & Consulting Center LLC (PRCC), a firm he founded in 1979. PRCC is a social-science research company specializing in marketing research, advertising research, and intellectual property research. Prior to founding PRCC, Dr. Kaplan worked at The DuPont Co. and Opinion Research Corp. (ORC). Dr. Kaplan has been involved in over 200 IP research projects spanning over 25 years. He has designed and implemented his own IP research, implemented research for other testifying experts, consulted on IP research projects, and evaluated the work of others. He has conducted research on likelihood of confusion, secondary meaning, genericness, fame, dilution, claim substantiation, design patents, and damages estimation. He is a past president of the Society for Consumer Psychology of the American Psychological Association.

Michael Kaplan, CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA, CFFA, is the principal of Kaplan Forensics, Forensic Valuation Consultants (Woodland Hills, Calif.). He has provided services in numerous litigation matters, including business litigation, professional malpractice matters, fraud, embezzlement, intellectual property, marital dissolution, loss of earnings, employment matters, and partner and shareholder disputes. Kaplan has qualified to testify in court as an expert witness in approximately 250 matters and has served as a court-appointed expert and accounting referee. Kaplan has served on the faculty of the Marshall School of Business and Leventhal School of Accounting (University of Southern California) and the National Judicial College. He has written numerous publications on business valuation and litigation practice marketing. Kaplan is a managing member of Courtroom Boot Camp LLC and a principal member of the training development team for the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts (NACVA). He is the recipient of NACVA's Magna Cum Laude Award and NACVA's 1997 Circle of Light Award (the highest distinction awarded to NACVA instructors). Kaplan received his B.S. in business administration and MBA degree from the University of Southern California.

James (Jim) A. Koerber, CPA/ABV/CFF, is a shareholder in The Koerber Co. PA and is responsible for valuation and litigation services for attorneys, CPAs, and their clients. Koerber has over 40 years of experience in public accounting. Koerber attended Mississippi State University (banking and finance) and Louisiana State University (accounting) and is licensed as a Certified Public Accountant in Mississippi, Louisiana, and Alabama. He is Accredited in Business Valuation (receiving the 2013 Accredited in Business Valuation Champion of the Year Award) and Certified in Financial Forensics by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. As for professional organizations, Koerber is a member of the National Association of Forensic Economics, the American Academy of Economic & Financial Experts, the Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants (including past chairman of the Business Valuation and Litigation Services Committee), the Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants (including past chairman of the Litigation Services Committee), the Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants, and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (including the Forensic & Valuation Services Section). Koerber has qualified as an expert witness in state courts in Mississippi, Louisiana, Florida, and Texas and United States District Court in Mississippi.

Mark M. Leitner, Esq., has been successfully trying and arguing cases in Wisconsin and throughout the United States for three decades. Inspired to attend journalism school by Woodward and Bernstein's relentless Watergate reporting, his training armed him with the investigative and fact-finding skills needed to shine as a trial lawyer. Earning his J.D. (cum laude) from the University of Wisconsin, Leitner combines blue-collar street smarts with an outstanding academic background to create a persuasion style that gets through to judges and juries alike. Now a seasoned veteran, he has taken dozens of cases to verdict at trial and has handled countless injunction matters and other summary proceedings. His appellate work includes several precedent-setting cases in Wisconsin, and he is one of the very few lawyers nationwide to have won both a jury verdict and a settlement of more than nine figures. Along with his colleague, Joseph S. Goode, Leitner is a founding member of Laffey, Leitner & Goode LLC, a Milwaukee-based trial boutique.

Jeff Litvak, CPA/CFF/ABV, ASA, is a senior managing director in FTI's Forensic and Litigation Consulting practice and is based in Chicago. Litvak is a Certified Public Accountant, Accredited in Business Valuation (ABV), an Accredited Senior Appraiser (ASA) in business valuation, and is Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF). Litvak specializes in forensic accounting investigations and valuation matters, assessment of economic damages, analysis of lost profits, and unity of interest/separateness matters. Litvak is FTI's national product leader in merger and acquisition disputes. Recently, he was designated as co-chair of the AICPA task force on merger and acquisition disputes. Litvak received the 2009 AICPA Award for Volunteer of the Year for his achievements regarding the efforts of the AICPA's Task Force on Mergers and Acquisition Disputes. Litvak has experience in a cross-section of industries: real estate, manufacturing, wholesaling, construction, high-tech, automotive, and pharmaceutical. His extensive experience in accounting and auditing, market penetration analysis, and corporate finance provide a strong background for analyzing damages in many types of business litigation. He has worked on significant matters for the United States Department of Justice and Internal Revenue Service on valuation and other corporate finance matters.

Professor Robert M. Lloyd is Professor Emeritus at The University of Tennessee College of Law, where he taught courses in contracts, commercial law, and finance. His primary research interest is damages for lost profits. Professor Lloyd has three times been honored the Harold C. Warner Outstanding Teacher Award and once with the Student Bar Association Outstanding Teaching Award. In 1996, he received the University of Tennessee National Alumni Outstanding Teacher Award. Professor Lloyd is a Fellow of the American College of Commercial Finance Lawyers. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in aerospace and mechanical engineering from Princeton University and a law degree from the University of Michigan. Prior to his teaching career in Tennessee, he practiced law with a large firm in Los Angeles.

Jon Margolies, Esq., has practiced at Michael Best & Friedrich LLP in Milwaukee for over 26 years. He graduated from Princeton University in 1985 and the University of Michigan Law School in 1988. Jon concentrates his practice on intellectual property litigation, on both the trial and appellate level. He has worked on cases in a wide variety of technology areas, including medical equipment, generators, locks, trailers, and animal monitoring equipment. Margolies has taught as an adjunct professor at Marquette University Law School for more than 15 years on topics related to intellectual property litigation. He is also the General Counsel of Michael Best and a frequent lecturer on legal ethics.

Kenneth Mathieu, CPA, ABV, CFF, CAMS, is a director with a large international professional services firm and is based in Chicago. Mathieu provides financial, economic, and accounting services to attorneys and a variety of public and private companies in various industries. His areas of practice include conducting analyses for dispute-related damages involving accounting disputes, business valuation, fraudulent conveyances, fair market value analysis, post-acquisition disputes, lost sales, lost profits, incremental profits, product line profitability, reasonable royalty, and interest on damages. He also conducts complex investigations related to accounting irregularities and management conduct. He is a Certified Public Accountant, Certified in Financial Forensics, Accredited in Business Valuation by the AICPA, and a Certified Anti-Money Laundering Specialist.

Patrick A. McGeehin, CPA, is a senior managing director with FTI Consulting Inc. (FTI) (www.fticonsulting.com) and is head of FTI's Government Contracts and Construction Solutions practice in the Americas. He consults and provides expert testimony on government contract and litigation support matters and provides contract claims assistance and services relating to the calculation of and approach to damages, including breach of contract, lost profits, requests for equitable adjustment, delay and disruption cases, and fraud-related issues. McGeehin has provided expert testimony, both in deposition and trial proceedings, on over 150 occasions and has published related articles and book chapters in various professional publications. He graduated summa cum laude from the University of Scranton and received an MBA degree from George Washington University.

Greg A. McKinnon, CPA/CFF/CGMA, CMA, is a partner in the Litigation and Forensic Consulting Group of Hemming Morse LLP, a California-based certified public accounting firm. Having been a CFO and general manager in private industry as well as an accountant, auditor, and consultant, he has more than 30 years of experience in accounting, specializing in the long-term contracts, construction, and real estate industries. Currently, McKinnon applies this experience to matters in long-term contract disputes including claims for delay, disruption, and loss of productivity; long-term contract; construction and real estate accounting; commercial economic damages (including lost profits); partnership; shareholder and joint venture disputes; embezzlement, fraud, and false claims; lost wages; and business valuation. McKinnon also provides project contract audits and consulting on construction claims and troubled projects and provides advice on effective systems for project management and administration. McKinnon has testified as an expert in court and at national and international arbitrations and presents his findings in mediation. He has lectured on construction claims and industry best practices at local, regional, and national construction conferences and seminars. McKinnon is a Certified Public Accountant, Certified Management Accountant, and Certified Financial Forensics. He earned his Bachelor of Science in business administration from California State University (Chico).

Greg Naviloff, CPA (NY), CFF, CFE, ABV, is the New England leader of RSM US LLP's Financial Investigation and Disputes Services practice. Prior to joining RSM, Naviloff worked at a Big Four accounting and consulting firm, specializing in assisting large global corporations with investigations and fraud and corruption risk mitigation needs throughout the world (Asia, Africa, Middle East, Eastern Europe, and South America). Naviloff assists clients with Securities and Exchange Commission, Department of Justice, and other regulatory inquiries. He has significant experience in forensic accounting, corporate investigation services, forensic data analytics, asset tracing, anti-bribery and anti-corruption compliance and fraud risk management services, and dispute and litigation support services. Naviloff also is a Financial Advisory Services leader within RSM's Life Science industry practice group, a dedicated team of professionals focused on delivering strategic innovative solutions to companies ranging from startup to emerging and prominent public companies. Naviloff has assisted in a variety of business disputes involving asset and company value, generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), labor and employment, professional malpractice, damages stemming from breach of contract, antitrust, bankruptcy and reorganizations, intellectual property, securities, and other corporate litigation-related matters. Naviloff has drafted expert reports, affidavits, and rebuttal reports for use in court and dispute resolution forums.

Autumn Nero, Esq., is a partner at the Madison, Wis., office of Perkins Coie LLP. Nero focuses her practice on intellectual property litigation, including patent, trademark, trade secret, and copyright actions; breach of contract and licensing disputes; privacy and right to publicity suits; and breach of fiduciary duty claims. Her clients have included major consumer products, pharmaceutical, telecommunications, entertainment, and biotechnology companies, as well as nonprofit organizations. She received her J.D. from the University of Wisconsin in 2006 and her B.A. from New York University in 1996.

James O'Brien, CPA, CFF, is a managing director with Management Planning Inc. (MPI) in its Philadelphia office. MPI is a national consulting firm that provides forensic investigations, business valuations, and litigation support services to its clients. MPI has offices in New York City; Boston; Chicago; Philadelphia; Hartford, Conn.; and Orlando, Fla., with its home office located in Princeton, N.J. O'Brien has provided a variety of financial consulting and financial services to attorneys, insurance companies, governmental agencies, and public and private corporations throughout his career. Prior to joining MPI, he spent almost three decades with international and national public accounting firms. O'Brien specializes in calculating lost profits and forensic financial analyses related to disputed matters, the majority involving complex commercial litigation and/or insurance claims matters, as well as performing financial and forensic investigations of financial statements, tax returns, relevant contracts/agreements, and corporate data. He has spoken before professional and educational groups

on various aspects of economic damages, complex financial analysis, litigation consulting, and providing fraud investigations. The AICPA selected O'Brien to provide thought leadership on the "Forensic Accounting – Fraud Investigations Practice Aid" and "Serving as an Expert Witness or Consultant Practice Aid."

Milan Politi, Esq., is a management consultant and attorney specializing in expert testimony support in litigation for technology firms. Her primary focus is intellectual property damages, consumer perception surveys, and business strategy related to these areas. Milan received her J.D. from Southwestern Law School in Los Angeles.

Peter Resnick, CPA, CFF, CFE, is the national leader of the Investigative Solutions team at Charles River Associates. Previously, Resnick was the co-national leader of investigations services at a global accounting firm and the co-national leader of the Disputes & Investigation practice of a nationally recognized consulting firm. He is a Certified Public Accountant (MA), Certified in Financial Forensics by the AICPA, and a Certified Fraud Examiner. Resnick has significant experience in forensic accounting, valuation, and fraud investigations. His clients have included law firms from throughout the country, *Fortune* 500 companies, high-tech, manufacturing, software companies, healthcare providers and insurers, and not-for-profit organizations. He has provided expert testimony and analysis at trial, deposition, arbitration, and mediation proceedings.

George P. Roach practices damages law and provides consulting or testimony on corporate damages and valuation in Texas. He is also a senior adviser to the litigation consulting firm of Freeman & Mills Inc. in Los Angeles. Prior to establishing his own practice in Dallas, he directed a consulting group for a corporate litigation boutique in Dallas, operated a cattle ranch in East Texas, and worked for a major bracket investment bank in New York City. The *Business Valuation Review* and a variety of law reviews have been published several of his articles, including "Counter-Restitution for Monetary Remedies in Equity" (*Washington & Lee Law Review*, Vol. 68, No.3, page1271 (Fall 2011)) and "Rescission in Texas, A Suspect Remedy" (*The Review of Litigation*, Vol. 31, Summer, 2012). His background includes an MBA (Harvard, high honors), J.D. (University of Texas—honors) and an A.B. in economics (University of California, Davis—high honors).

Mark A. Roesler, Esq., is the founder and CEO of CMG Worldwide, which protects and licenses the intellectual property rights of hundreds of entities, primarily including celebrities and the estates of deceased celebrities. Roesler is known as one of the foremost authorities on the subject of the right of publicity in the United States, having been involved in the passing of various states' right-of-publicity statutes and certain landmark right-of-publicity decisions. Roesler has also been called upon to provide right-of-publicity and trademark valuation services for celebrities in tax matters, infringement matters, and celebrity divorce cases. Roesler graduated from DePauw University. He has an MBA from the Indiana University Kelley School of Business and a J.D. from the Indiana University School of Law in Indianapolis (now the Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law).

Paul J. Seguin, Ph.D., has over 30 years of experience in consulting and expert witness work in finance and statistics. Dr. Seguin is an internationally recognized expert in estimating cost of capital; mergers and acquisitions; valuation of publicly and privately held corporations using accounting, cash flow, and multiple-based methods; estimation of damages including those from improper disclosure; derivative; and employee stock option valuations. Dr. Seguin has consulted on the calculation of damages for RMBSs and alternative investments including indexed ETNs, leveraged ETFs, and nontraded REITs. Dr. Seguin has applied econometric approaches to 10b-6 fraud-on-the-market cases (event studies), FACTA analysis, and class-action gender discrimination and MDL antitrust litigation. Dr. Seguin also has decades of experience at the University of Georgia, Vanderbilt University, the University of Minnesota, the University of Michigan, and the University of Rochester. Dr. Seguin taught graduate and Ph.D.-level courses in the areas of finance and statistics. Dr. Seguin has been recognized as one of the "Top 1,000 for All Economists by Productive and Impact," a recognition rarely earned by a finance

academic, and has published in the leading peer-reviewed journals on finance, economics, and real estate. He received his M.S. and Ph.D. in finance and applied statistics from the University of Rochester and a B.Comm. in finance and accounting from Carleton University.

Ron Seigneur, CPA/ABV/CFF, ASA, CVA, CGMA, is managing partner of Seigneur Gustafson LLP CPAs, in Lakewood, Colo. Ron holds the Accredited in Business Valuation (ABV) and Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF) designations from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA) designation from the National Association of CVAs, and is a senior appraiser with the American Society of Appraisers (ASA). He is the co-author of the 1,300-plus page treatise on business appraisal titled *Financial* Valuations: Applications and Models, 4th edition, published by John Wiley & Sons in 2017, Reasonable Compensation: Applications and Analysis for Management, Valuation and Tax, published by Business Valuation Resources in 2010, and the *Ultimate Cannabis Appraisal and Financial Management Guide*, published by LuLu in 2018. Seigneur has served on the board of directors of the Colorado Sports Hall of Fame and the Colorado Association of Business Intermediaries. Ron is co-founder of YS Advisory, a consultancy focused on advising practitioners and attorneys on practice management and technical issues in the areas of business and IP appraisal, economic damages, financial forensics, malpractice issues, and related concerns. He has been an adjunct professor at either the University of Denver, Sturm College of Law and Daniels College of Business for over 25 years, where he has taught law firm finance, leadership, and business valuation classes. He is a fellow of the College of Law Practice Management and a frequent speaker and author on valuation, economic damages, leadership, and other topics. Seigneur was the 2009-10 chair of the Colorado Society of CPAs and was inducted into the AICPA Business Valuation Hall of Fame in 2006.

Evan Sherwood, Esq., is an associate at Covington & Burling LLP, where he practices government contracts law. He graduated magna cum laude from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 2015, and he clerked for the Honorable Charles F. Lettow of the United States Court of Federal Claims from 2015 through 2016.

Rebekah Smith, CPA, CVA, CFF, MAFF, is a member of and director of forensic and dispute advisory services with GBQ Consulting LLC in Columbus, Ohio. Rebekah focuses her practice on economic damages, forensic accounting, fraud, litigation consulting, and business valuation. She has rendered expert opinions in various courts for a variety of purposes including business disputes, economic loss claims, shareholder disputes, intellectual property lawsuits, asset tracing, embezzlement, and fraud. She is a member and chair of the National Association of Certified Valuators and Analysts' (NACVA) Executive Advisory Board and a past chair and member of NACVA's Litigation and Forensics Board.

Gregory N. Stillman, Esq., joined the Richmond-based law firm of Hunton & Williams in 1973 and was elected to the partnership in 1980. In that same year, he founded the firm's Norfolk, Va., office. His practice has focused on business litigation, particularly corporate governance, intellectual property, and patent litigation. Stillman is a fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a fellow of the Virginia Bar Foundation, a past chairman of the Virginia Beach School Board, and a past chairman of the Hampton Roads Chamber of Commerce. He is an adjunct professor at Washington & Lee University School of Law. Stillman received his Bachelor of Arts from the University of Richmond (1969) and his J.D. from Washington and Lee University School of Law (1974).

Edward Stockton is the vice president and director of economics services for The Fontana Group Inc. in Tucson, Ariz. Stockton's 20 years of professional experience include studies of complex economic problems across multiple industries where manufacturers and distributors sell through networks of authorized franchisees. Stockton has also served as the economic expert for large classes of consumers and retailers in matters involving complex issues of economic harm, including the Volkswagen Diesel emissions matters in the United States and Canada

and the Wells Fargo unauthorized accounts matter. He has analyzed manufacturers' methods for franchisee performance measurement and has had courts cite his testimony in contested decisions and in approval of settlement agreements on nearly two dozen occasions. Stockton has studied and lectured on the economic implications of the use of leverage in franchisee/franchisor relations and has developed models for evaluating these effects. He received his B.A. in economics from Western Michigan University and his M.S. in agriculture and resource economics at the University of Arizona with an emphasis on applied econometrics.

Stacey D. Udell, CPA/ABV/CFF, CVA, is the director of valuation and litigation services in the mid-Atlantic region in HBK Valuation Group. In her previous position as a partner in the public accounting firm of Gold Gerstein Group LLC, in addition to valuation and litigation support services, she provided accounting, tax, and consulting services for closely held and family businesses. She is currently a member of the AICPA's Forensic and Litigation Services Committee and chair of the AICPA's Family Law Task Force. Udell was a member of the planning committee for the 2013 and 2014 AICPA Forensic and Valuation Services Conferences, vice co-chair of the 2015 AICPA Forensic and Valuation Services Conference, and co-chair of the 2016 and 2017 conferences. She was a founding member of the AICPA's CFF examination development committee from its inception through 2014. She is on the panel of experts of the Financial Litigation and Valuation Expert publication. She is a contributing author to the BVR/AHLA Guide to Healthcare Valuation, Family Law Services Handbook, and a co-author of Financial Valuation: Applications and Models.

Audrey Wessel, Esq., is in-house counsel at CMG Worldwide, where she works closely with various clients to protect and license intellectual property and also supports outside counsel on litigation matters. Wessel graduated with a B.S. from Purdue University and received her J.D. from the Indiana University Robert H. McKinney School of Law.

Timothy W. York, CPA/ABV, is the managing partner of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP's (DHG) national dealerships practice, which provides services to more than 2,500 rooftops in all 50 states, including six of the country's top 10 dealership groups. York has served in a number of leadership positions for national organizations. In 2014, he began a term on the AICPA Auto Dealer Committee, has been the chair the National Auto Dealer Conference in 2015 and 2016, and will chair the conference again in 2017. He completed a three-year term as a member of the Business Valuation Committee for the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and was the 2004 Chair of the National Business Valuation Conference for the AICPA. Furthermore, York has been featured in a variety of industry publications, including *Automotive News* and *Automotive Buy Sell Report*, and is a frequent speaker on automotive topics. His participation with Business Valuation Resources includes contributions to *Key Trends Driving Auto Dealership Value*, various editions of the *Comprehensive Guide to Lost Profit and Other Commercial Damages*, webinars, and more.

Foreword

"It costs us nothing to be just." 1

This book is about financial evidence: how to gather it, interpret it, and tell its story in a lawsuit or litigation setting. This is the task that the attorney typically retains the financial expert to accomplish. In this comprehensive guide, we bring together the financial expert's knowledge of accepted methods and procedures with the attorney's knowledge of legal issues and insights. This includes a summary of the applicable rules of civil procedure and of evidence, as well as the rich and continually expanding case law that interprets what courts have accepted as evidence for damages claims—and what the courts have rejected and why. This guide contains representational analyses as well as excerpts and abstracts of case law on financial damages. However, like any guidance, it is a tool and not a substitute for the professional's knowledge of a particular case, the potential impact of local rules regarding discovery, and the jurisdictional nuances that may affect the damage calculations.

The attorneys and financial experts who have participated in putting together this text are among the best in the country in their respective areas of expertise. Our heartfelt thanks go out to all of them for their generous and substantial contributions. Each of their chapters served to make this a better, more comprehensive work covering a range of neverbefore-addressed topics—including extensive analysis of concepts and case law that lawyers and experts have to grapple with when faced with a damage analysis.

This fifth edition includes materials on a variety of damages measures, including lost profits or value, unjust enrichment, intellectual property damages, other commercial damages, and an expanded chapter on personal injury and wrongful termination. There is also comprehensive and expanded analysis of motions to exclude experts and an in-depth review of the concept of reasonable certainty, the result of significant research on the topic.

Much of the text's material has been substantially enhanced for this edition, and we thank the continuing efforts of the panel of contributing authors for their efforts. Additionally, many case digests have been added.

In addition to these expanded materials, new topics have been added to this edition, including theft of trade secrets, apportionment, and damages in cases involving rights of publicity and for franchises.

Finally, this edition also includes new digests of many of the damages cases found throughout the book.

Once again, Business Valuation Resources LLP has provided extraordinary support, and, in particular, we thank Sarah Andersen, publisher at BVR, whose assistance has been irreplaceable, as well as Sylvia Golden for her valuable assistance with case digests.

To all our new contributors to the guide, a warm welcome, and to all who participated in this edition, a heartfelt thank you.

We hope you find this text to be a rich resource for your practice and welcome your suggestions and input for our continuing updates and for new topic areas that would benefit your work as an attorney or expert.

Nancy J. Fannon and Jonathan M. Dunitz 2018

¹ Henry David Thoreau, "A Plea for Captain John Brown" (1859).

| | Part VIII. |
|--|------------|
| | Index |

Index

| A | American Arbitration Association rules | |
|---|---|--|
| Ability to pay debts, 852–853 | discovery pursuant to, 23–24 Rule R-22 and R-23, 23–24 Associate Association for Bubble Opinion Beasands (AAROR) | |
| Acceleration, construction projects, 391 | | |
| Acceptable noninfringing substitutes, 499 | American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR), survey-based research guidelines, 550 | |
| Accountants. <i>See also</i> Certified Public Accountants (CPA) discovery depositions of, 75–87 experience in, as expert qualification, 172–174 professional standards for, 7–9 Accounting. <i>See</i> Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) | American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) audit standards, 766 conflict of interest guidance, 771 objectivity and integrity guidance, 771–772 professional standards, 7–9 | |
| Accounting in equity, 886 | Analytic techniques, for internal investigations | |
| but-for profit causation and, 605–606 disgorgement, 609–610 historical perspective of unjust enrichment, 627–629 opportunity cost adjustment and, 606 remedies in equity, 864–865 | analytical review of financial statement balances, 793–795 Benford's law, 792–793 cash sources and uses, 790 chronology of events and facts sheet, 790 data-mining procedures, 792–793 journal entry/subledger reviews, 795 | |
| Accounting profit, 850 | net worth method, 790 | |
| Accounts receivable turnover, 849 | proof-of-cash method, 790 | |
| Accused sales, 536–537 | ratio analysis, 793–795 reperformance, 792 | |
| Acquisitions, insights/observations in disputes of, 936 | reverse proof, 793 | |
| Activity ratios, 849 | sampling techniques, 790–791 | |
| Acts of civil authorities, business interruption, 807–808 | Ancillary jurisdiction, of unjust enrichment, 619-620 | |
| Actual cost pricing method, for construction claims, 382 | Ancillary testing income, 353 | |
| Actual damages defined, 291 | Answer, in lawsuit filing, 15 | |
| plaintiff's, in trademark cases, 531–532 | Anticipatory profit, 373 | |
| Actual lost damages, trade secret misappropriation, 523–524 | Anti-kickback statute, 364 | |
| Adjacent property, 807 | Anti-netting doctrine | |
| Admissibility, survey-based research, 546 | characteristics of, 698 as genetic marker for monetary remedies in equity, 689–690 | |
| Admissible evidence, 27 | Anti-netting rule | |
| forensic evidence admissibility, 322–323 | Levin case, 665 | |
| Admissions, as admissible evidence, 28 | in unjust enrichment, 638–640 | |
| Admission-seeking interviews, 768, 782–783 | Antitrust cases, inconsistent facts and, 200 | |
| Advantage, 623, 641–643 profit vs., 692–694 | Apparel manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 325–326 Appeal, process of, 26 | |
| Advertising, Internet and social media tools, 566 | Apportionment | |
| Advertising Research Foundation (ARF), survey-based research guidelines, 551 | in compensation forfeiture, 905–908 profit apportionment analysis for intellectual property | |
| Affirmative defenses, 15 | infringement, 577–597 royalty damage, 510 | |
| Agent, as fiduciary, 895–898 | unjust enrichment and, 646–648 | |
| Alabama, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 140–141 | Appraisal, business interruption claim, 829 | |
| Alaska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 141 | Appraisers, discovery depositions of, 75–87 | |
| Alaskan Method, 736 | Aramony, William, compensation forfeiture case, 867–871 | |
| Albritton, Judge, 865–866 | Arbitration, business interruption claim, 829–830 | |
| Alcohol distribution, franchise litigation and, 465 | Arithmetic average of growth rate, 251–253 | |
| All-risk policies, 809–810 | Arithmetic returns, versus continuously compounded returns, 954 | |
| Alternative market indexes, for robustness test, 951 | Arizona, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 141 | |
| Alternative securities, event studies, 959–960 | Arkansas, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 141–142 | |
| Alternative tests, 956 | As-built schedule method, 386, 387–388 | |
| | As-planned schedule, 384–385, 386 | |

| Asset approach to purchase price valuation, 921 | Baseline schedule, 384–385 |
|---|--|
| Asset forfeiture, 912–913 | Bastiat, Frederic, 473 |
| Asset misappropriation, 765404 | Before-and-after method |
| Assumptions, of experts cases that were not excluded, 189–190 as disputed facts, 194–195 duration of loss period, 195–197 as grounds for exclusion, 190–194 sanction for unsupported, 197–198 | advantages/disadvantages of, 247 example of, 246–247 lost revenue estimates, 225–227, 245–246 for proving lost profits, reasonable certainty requirement and, 96 Below-market discount rate method, 736 Reposit in unjust enrichment 623, 626 |
| Attorney fees damages for trade secret misappropriation, 526–527 franchise litigation and, 452–453, 465–466 | Benefit, in unjust enrichment, 623–626 excluded expenses, 625–626 ways of establishing, 624–625 Benefit of the bargain damages, 930–931 |
| Attributable, defined in relation to unjust remedy, 622–623 | Benford's law, 792, 793 |
| Attributes sampling, 791 | Best available evidence, reasonable certainty requirement and, 111–113 |
| Attribution sampling, avoided cost estimation, 236 | Bildman, Lars, compensation forfeiture case, 871–875 |
| Audited financial statements, 183 | Billing practices, as form of disloyalty, 903–904 |
| Auditors, forensic, 317 | Blameworthiness, reasonable certainty requirement and, 106–111 |
| Audits procedures, compared to financial forensic investigation, | Blanket policy, 812–813 |
| 765–769 | Bonding capacity, lost profits impairment on, 395–396 |
| Autocorrelation, 955–956 | Book ends method, 225 |
| Automobile dealerships, lost profits, 399–410 dealership business factors complexity of business, 405 facilities, 405 financial and operational reporting, 406–407 franchise type, 406 location of, 406 | Book of wisdom, 285, 508 discounting future lost profits, 297–298 Bowles, Tyler J., 183 Brandeis, Louis, 425–426 Breach of contract |
| franchise relationship franchise agreements, 400 franchise damages, 401–403 franchise laws, 401 information sources for financial and operational data, 408–409 understanding business, 408 valuation-related guidance, 409 lost profit considerations, 403–404 | damage claims, 277–278 employment cases, 1109–1111 lost profit claims, 208 measurement for damages, 649–650 in post-acquisition disputes, 928–931 determining damages in, 929 measuring damages, 930–931 pitfalls to avoid in assessing, 931 types of damages, 929–930 |
| overview, 399–401 | Breach of fiduciary duty, 879–889. See also Compensation forfeiture |
| Avoidable consequences, doctrine of, 215 Avoided costs, estimations, 234–236 cost structure analysis, 235–236 fixed and variable costs, 235–236 | categories of remedies available, 884–887 causation and burden of proof, 887–889 claims against lawyers, 913–915 defining who is fiduciary, 895–898 dual goals and stacked remedies, 880–884, 915–916 unjust enrichment remedy, 613 |
| В | Breach of sales contracts, lost profit claims, 210 |
| Background investigation, by forensic specialist, 767–768, 780–781 covered peril, all-risk policies, 809–810 | Breach of warranty agreements, lost profit claims, 210 Bribes, 894, 902 |
| Back pay, 755 | Burden of proof, breach of fiduciary duty, 887–889 |
| Bad faith spoliation, 40–41, 41–42 | Business experience, as evidence for lost profit |
| Balance sheet, disputes over post-closing adjustments and, 924–925 Balance sheet test, defining insolvency and, 844 | at other locations, 263–264 subsequent, 263 |
| Bank fraud, 765 | Business interruption, calculating loss due to, 978–980 |
| Bankruptcy. See Fraudulent transfer claims | |
| Bankruptey, see Fraud 765 | |

Bars. See Eating establishments lost profits analysis

Base earnings, personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 730--731

| Business interruption insurance coverage, 799–833 | C |
|---|--|
| choice-of-law and forum clauses, 830 | California |
| coinsurance clause, 813–814 | expert gatekeeping opinions of, 142 |
| covered peril, specified peril policies, 808–810 | right of publicity infringement, 428 |
| extra expense coverage, 799, 818–819 | |
| making a claim | Capacity, patent infringement cases, 501–502 |
| access to damaged property, 820–822 | Capacity limitations, as evidence, 262–263, 265 |
| access to records, 822–824 | Capital, unreasonably small capital, 851–852 |
| appraisal, 829 | Capitalization of earnings, 921 |
| arbitration, 829–830 | |
| duties after loss, 819–820 | Car dealerships. See Automobile dealerships, lost profits |
| examination under oath (EUO), 826–829 | Cardinal changes, 392–393 |
| independent and public adjusters, 828–829 | Cash flow forecasts, defining insolvency, 843, 845 |
| prompt reporting, 820 | Cash sources and uses, for internal investigation, 790 |
| proof of loss, 825–826 | |
| mitigation of loss, 824–825 | Causation |
| overview of, 211, 799 | breach of fiduciary duty, 887–889 |
| period of restoration | as element of lost profit case, 211–212 |
| extended period of indemnity, 817–818 | establishing, for eating establishments lost profit, 415–416 |
| initial period of restoration, 815–817 overview of, 814–815 | Celebrity endorsement, relief from pay-per-click claim, 572–573 |
| waiting period, 815 | Celebrity name and likeness claims, using Google analytics to defend |
| policy limits | against, 571–572 |
| blanket policies, 812–813 | Certified Public Accountants (CPA) |
| designated limits, 811 | professional standards for, 7–9 |
| sublimits by peril, 812 | as qualified expert, 173 |
| sublimits by property/schedules of value, 811–812 | - |
| triggers of coverage | Changes clause, 378 |
| acts of civil authorities, 807–808 | Cheit, Earl, 735 |
| covered property, 804–807 | Choice of law, 26 |
| direct physical damage, 802–804 | business interruption claims, 830 |
| interruption, 800–802 | Chronology of events and facts sheet, 790 |
| time element provision, 800–802 | |
| valuing business interruption | Chrysler, 402, 403 |
| history of business, 831–832 | Ciecka, James E., 734 |
| market forces, 832–833 | Circuit Court opinions in unjust enrichment |
| new businesses, 832 | 1st Circuit, 672 |
| new facilities/product lines, 832 | 2nd Circuit, 672–673 |
| Business records | faulty baseline, 661–666 |
| access to records in business interruption claim, 822–824 | jurisprudence by adjective, 666–669 |
| as admissible evidence, 29 | Levin case, 664–666 |
| summary of, 31 | Sheldon case, 661–664 |
| But-for profit causation | 3rd Circuit, 673–674 |
| fixed costs in unjust enrichment, 621 | 4th Circuit, 674–675 |
| patent infringement cases, 495–502 | 5th Circuit, 675 |
| remedies in equity and, 605–606 | 6th Circuit, 675–676 |
| | 7th Circuit, 676 |
| But-for profit projections. See also Lost profits analyses | 8th Circuit, 676 |
| before-and-after method, 225–227 | 9th Circuit, 677 |
| forecasting expense methods, 258 | 10th Circuit, 677 |
| growth considerations, 255–257 | 11th Circuit, 677 |
| historical information | Class certification, 940–941 |
| averages, medians and compound annual growth, 251–253 | Clawback, unjust enrichment, 647 |
| when past is indicator of future, 251 industry and economic information considerations, 257 | |
| methods for determining | Clawback agreement, 66–67 |
| before and after method, 246–247 | Clean-up doctrine, 628 |
| lost market share method, 249–250 | Client-provided data, reliability of, 183–186 |
| sales projection method, 249 | Coinsurance clause, 813–814 |
| yardstick method, 247–249 | |
| new business rule and, 254 | Collateral sales |
| projections prepared in ordinary course of business, 254–255 | patent infringement cases, 505 |
| unjust enrichment, 643–646 | royalty damages, 511 |
| yardstick method, 227–231 | Colorado, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 142-143 |
| | Commercial publications as admissible evidence 30 |

| Commodities fraud, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 | Confounding events, events studies and, 957–958 |
|--|---|
| Communication plan, internal investigation, 774–780 | Confounding informational event, 945–946 |
| Comparability, yardstick method and, 227–229 | Conjoint analysis, in survey-based research, 555–556 |
| Compensable delays, 383 | Connecticut, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 143 |
| Compensation forfeiture | Consequential damages |
| apportionment, 905–908 | breach of fiduciary duty, 885 |
| asset forfeiture, 912–913 | in employment cases, 747 |
| breach of fiduciary duty, 879–889 | foreseeability and, 213 |
| categories of remedies available, 884–887 | franchise litigation, 444–445 |
| causation and burden of proof, 887–889 | in post-acquisition disputes, 929 |
| claims against lawyers, 913–915 | Consequential gains, 623–624 |
| dual goals and stacked remedies, 880-884, 915-916 | Consistent statements, 28 |
| case examples | |
| Dennis Kozlowski, 877–879 | Constant growth model, 282 |
| Ian Gittlitz, 875–877 | Construction claims, 377–396 |
| Lars Bildman, 871–875 | acceleration |
| Richard Grassgreen, 860–867 | defined, 391 |
| Richard Scrushy, 909–911 | pricing impact of, 391 |
| William Aramony, 867–871 conclusion, 915–916 | causes of, typical |
| counter-restitution, 905 | cardinal change, 392–393 |
| damages at law or remedy in equity, 893–895 | defective specifications, 392 |
| defining who is fiduciary, 895–898 | defective work, 393 differing site conditions, 392 |
| forms of disloyalty | extra work, 391 |
| billing practices, 903–904 | termination, 393–395 |
| confidentiality, 902–903 | claim pricing methodologies |
| conflicts, 898–899 | actual cost method, 382 |
| disloyal competition, 900–901 | jury verdict method, 382–383 |
| duty to disclose, 902 | modified total cost, 381–382 |
| secret profit, 902 | total cost method, 380–381 |
| self-dealing, 901 | delay claim |
| nonforfeiture clauses, 909 | defined, 383–384 |
| origins and foundations for, 890–893 overview of, 857–859 | determining responsibility for delay, 384–388 |
| professional liability insurance policies, 893 | pricing impact of delay, 388–390 |
| as shield or sword function, 892–893 | project schedule, 384–388 |
| types of compensation included, 904–905 | disruptions |
| | defined, 390 pricing impact of, 390–391 |
| Compensatory damages breach of fiduciary duty, 881, 884 | lost profits impairment on working capital and bonding capacity |
| calculating for right of publicity infringement, 431–433 | 395–396 |
| defined, 291 | overview of, 377–380 |
| franchise litigation, 444–445 | changes clause, 378 |
| objective of, 291–292 | reasonable certainty, 378–379 |
| statistical analysis for calculating, 964 | steps in preparing, 379–380 |
| Competition | summary, 396 |
| impact of lost profit analysis, 265 | Construction defects damage computation, 967–970 |
| physician practice and noncompete violations, 355–356 | differences in designs for units, 968 |
| Competitor traffic source tools, 565 | double counting of repair costs, 969 |
| Compiled financial statements, 182 | overestimation problems from high/low defect rates, 968–969 |
| - | subsets of items used for testing, 968 variability of costs of repair, 970 |
| Complaint, in filing lawsuit, 14–15 | - |
| Computer forensic expert, ESI testimony by, 68–70 | Construction equipment, franchise litigation and, 465 |
| Computer fraud, 765 | Constructive fraud, 844 |
| Confidential information, protections, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 | Constructive trust, 865, 886, 904 |
| Confidentiality, breach of, as form of disloyalty, 902–903 | Consumer Expenditure Survey, 735 Consumer fraud, univer enrichment remody, 613 |
| Confidential sources, forensic investigation and, 768 | Consumer fraud, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 |
| Conflicted transaction, 894 | Consumer Price Index, 737 |
| | Contingent liabilities, 845 |
| Conflicts, as form of disloyalty, 898–899 | Continuously compounded returns, versus arithmetic returns, 954 |
| Conflicts of interest, forensic specialist and, 771 | |

| Contracts. See also Breach of contract | total equity principle, 698 |
|--|---|
| common-law employment cases, 745–748 | two-step process of measuring for, 698-699 |
| foreseeability and, 212 government contracts, 366–370 | Counter-restitution in unjust enrichment, defendant as quasi-trustee origins of, 631–636 |
| lost profit claims, 210 | Courts in equity, 604, 618 |
| Contractual limitations on damages, 929 | Court system |
| Controls, in survey-based research, 549 | overview of, from filing to appeal, 13–26 |
| Copyright Act, 582, 628, 669, 695 | rules of evidence, 27–31 |
| Copyright damages, 540–541 | Covenants, 920 |
| defendant's profits, 541 | Cover, 215 |
| example cases, 541 | Covered peril |
| historical perspective on, 627–629 | all-risk policies, 809–810 |
| Internet related, 569 | specified peril policies, 808 |
| overview of, 539–540 plaintiff's actual damages, 540–541 | Covered property, 804–807 |
| lost profits, 540 | property by description, 805–806 |
| reasonable royalty, 540 | specified property, 804–805 |
| summary, 542 | CPA. See Certified Public Accountants (CPA) |
| Copyright infringement, lost profit claims, 208–209 | CPT billing codes, 352–353 |
| Corporate depositions, 19 | Critical path method (CPM) scheduling techniques, 384–385 |
| Corporate officer, extending Lanham Act liability in franchise litigation, 452 | Cross-examination, 25–26 |
| Corporations | Curative measures, for ESI spoliation, 53–54 |
| as citizen, 15 | Current ratio, 848 |
| claiming punitive damages against, in franchise litigation, 462–463 deposing, 19 | |
| Corruption schemes, 765 | D |
| COSO Framework, components of internal controls, 769 | Damage calculation |
| Cost analysis, of government contracts, 371–372 | experience in, as expert qualification, 176 |
| Cost of capital, 842 | for franchises and guiding principles for, 474–478 |
| Cost plus award fee contract, 370 | initial disclosure, computation of damages, 21–22 right of publicity infringement, 427–436 |
| - | statistical analysis for, 963–980 |
| Cost plus fixed fee contract, 370 | questions of |
| Cost plus incentive fee contract, 370 | how different, 964–965 |
| Cost reimbursable government contracts, 367, 369–370 | how many, 965–966 |
| Cost sharing contract, 369 | how much, 964 scenarios for |
| Costs of repair | loss due to business interruption, 978–980 |
| double counting of, 969 | observational data for, 971–978 |
| variability of, 970 | sampling process to measure losses, 967–970 |
| Cost structure analysis, avoided cost estimation, 235–236 | survey-based estimation, 545–557 |
| Council of American Survey Research Organizations (CASRO), survey- | Damages |
| based research guidelines, 550 | alternative methods to compensation for, 115–118 |
| Counterfeit marks, franchise litigation, 450, 451 | amount at stake, and reasonable certainty requirement, 113–115 |
| Counter-restitution for monetary remedies in equity, 687–721 | certainty of, and reasonable certainty requirement, 101–106 in common-law employment cases, 745–749 |
| anti-netting doctrine, 689–690 | copyright damages, 540–541 |
| compensation forfeiture and, 905 | discounting future lost profits to measure, 291–298 |
| conclusion, 720–721 disgorgement by default, 701–703 | fact and amount rule, 101-106, 110 |
| FTC claims for gross disgorgement, 707–721 | franchise damages, 401–403 |
| gross disgorgement cases, 704–707 | in post-acquisition disputes, 928–931 |
| fraud, 704–706 | determining damages in, 929 measuring damages, 930–931 |
| outlaws, 706–707 | types of damages, 929–930 |
| groups that do not warrant, 699–700 | trademark damages, 531–539 |
| importance of, 697–698 introduction, 687–689 | trade secret, 519–527 |
| monetary remedies in 19th century IP case opinions, 691 | whole and partial, 334, 345–346 |
| quasi-trustee, 695–697 | Damages at law, compensation forfeiture, 893–895 |
| semantics of, 691–694 | Damages period, determining relevant, 222-224 |
| profit vs. advantage, 692–694 | Damodoran, Aswath, 253, 255 |
| restitution 691–692 | |

| Data | Defend Trade Secrets Act (DTSA) |
|--|---|
| adequate consideration of all data, 270–271 cherry-picking, 187–188 | available damage remedies under, 522–527 overview, 520–522 |
| data and information generally available from parties | Delaware, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 143 |
| business history and experience, 262–263 | Delay claim, construction project |
| capacity limitations, 265 competition's impact, 265 | as-built schedule method, 386, 387–388 |
| other sources of evidence from parties, 265–266 | as-planned method, 384–385 |
| plaintiff's/defendant's subsequent experience, 263 | defined, 383–384 |
| plaintiff's experience at other locations/similar business, 263–264 | determining responsibility for delay, 384–388 Eichleay formula, 389–390 |
| prelitigation profit projections, 264 | pricing impact of delay, 388–390 |
| data gathering/analysis in survey-based research, 549 | types of, 383 update impact method, 388 |
| industry and economic data, 268–270 internal investigation | |
| key word searches, 787 | Demand, patent infringement cases, 497–499 |
| preliminary data gathering, 777 | Dependent property, 806–807 |
| processing, sorting, dissecting and drawing conclusions from, | Depositions |
| 787 | cost of, 76–77, 80–81 |
| market and demand for plaintiff's product, 267–268 | defined, 19 of experts, financial |
| for new business lost profit damages, 337–338 | decision not to take deposition, 80–81 |
| other unverified data, 186–187 problems caused by opposing party, 187 | decision to take deposition, 81 |
| reliability of | example questioning scenario, 83–86 |
| financial statements, 182–183 | issues of privilege in, 86–87 |
| generally, 180–183 | motions to exclude testimony, 81–83 |
| supplied by client, 183–186 | of experts, general considerations |
| restoration of, 52–53 | discovery of files of testifying expert, 77–78 |
| sanctions for relying on improper data, 188 | just and reasonable fees, 76–77 |
| Data analytics, 768 | notice duces tecum, 78 |
| Data economy, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 | practice of taking discovery depositions, 78–79 procedural vehicle for discovery depositions, 75–76 |
| Data-mining procedures, for internal investigation, 792–793 | scope of disclosure and immunity, 77–78 |
| | trial depositions, 79 |
| Daubert case impact of, on survey-based evidence, 545 | using discovery deposition at trial, 79 |
| trends in motions to exclude financial testimony, 81–83 | ground rules for, 20 types of |
| Daubert challenge | corporate depositions, 19 |
| based on selection of discount rate, 311–312 | expert depositions, 20 |
| discount rate and, 302 | nonparty witness depositions, 19 |
| remedies in equity, 607 | party depositions, 19 |
| Daubert test, 121–123, 171, 270 | witness conduct, 20–21 |
| adequate consideration in all available data, 270–271 computer forensic expert testimony, 69 | Designated limits, business interruption insurance, 811 |
| D.C. District Court, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 140 | Design-bid-build project system, 378 |
| Deal negotiation, in transaction process, 919–922 | Design-build project method, 378 |
| determining purchase price, 921 | Design patent infringement, 554–555 |
| indemnification provisions, 920 | Differing site conditions, construction claims and, 392 |
| losses/damages definitions, 920 | Digital stored information disclosure rule, 23 |
| purchase price valuation approaches, 921–922 seller assurances, 919–920 | Dilution, franchise litigation, 450 |
| | Diminution in value after negative event, 972 |
| Debt discount rates, 284 | Direct damages, in post-acquisition disputes, 929 |
| Debts ability to pay, 852–853 | Direct examination, 25 |
| entity's debt in defining insolvency, 844–845 | Direct physical damage, as trigger for business interruption coverage, |
| Debt-to-equity ratio, 849 | 802–804 |
| Declarant unavailable, admissible evidence and, 30–31 | Discounted cash flow (DCF) model, 282, 921 |
| | formula for valuation, 293 |
| Defamation damages for costs expended to mitigate, 285–286 | intellectual property valuation, 485 |
| Internet and social media analytic tools for damage calculations, 561–575 | Discounting future lost profits to measure damages, 291–298 calculating, 293–296 |
| Defective specifications, construction claims and, 392 | investment rate of return, 294–295 |
| Defective work, construction claims and, 393 | risk adjusted discount rate, 295–296 |
| = 11111. C Trong conduction cumin unity 070 | safe rate of return, 294 |

| discounting to date of trial or injury, 296-297 | Discretion |
|---|---|
| discount rate as safe rate of return, as matter of law, 294 | equitable discretion, 706, 707 |
| in nominal and real dollars, 298 | measuring unjust enrichment and, 682 |
| objective of compensatory damage, 291-292 | Disgorgement, 653 |
| prejudgment and post-judgment interest, 292 | breach of fiduciary duty, 881–883 |
| time value of money, 291 | by default, 692, 701–703 |
| using hindsight (Book of Wisdom), 297-298 | gross |
| Discount methods, personal injury and wrongful death economic | cases of, 704–707 |
| damages, 736–737 | FTC claims for gross disgorgement, 707–721 |
| Discount rates | gross, 692 |
| alternative to challenging | profit vs. advantage, 692–694 |
| appellate review of discount rate, 311 | as term, 620, 692 |
| Dubert challenges based on selection of, 311–312 | |
| judgment as matter of law, 310–311 | Disgorgement of profit |
| based on commensurate with risk receiving lost profits, 295–296 | in IP damage valuation, 488–489 in remedies of equity, 604, 605, 606 |
| define, 292 | term of, 609–610 |
| defining insolvency, 846 | |
| in lost business value vs. lost profit claim, 283–284 | Disloyal agents, indemnity, 632, 696–697 |
| mentioned in opinions but not discussed, 310 | Disloyal competition, 900–901 |
| other opinions discussing, 309 | Disloyalty, forms of |
| personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 736 | billing practices, 903–904 |
| as rate of return from investing award, 294–295 | confidentiality, 902–903 |
| reinvestment rate, 309 | conflicts, 898–899 |
| risk adjusted discount rate, 295–296 | disloyal competition, 900–901 |
| risk-free rate, 306–308 | duty to disclose, 902 |
| cases allowing, 301–304 | secret profit, 902 |
| cases requiring, 304–309 | self-dealing, 901 |
| yield on Treasury securities as, 303–304 | Disruptions, construction projects, 390–391 |
| as safe rate of return, as matter of law, 294 | - · · |
| Discovery | District of Columbia, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 144 |
| American Arbitration Association rules, 23–24 | Diversity jurisdiction, defined, 15 |
| devices of, 17–24 | Dobbs, Dan B., 624, 634, 636 |
| depositions, 19–21 | Doctrine of adequate remedy, 608–609 |
| interrogatories, 18 | - |
| requests for admissions, 19 | Doctrine of avoidable consequences, 215, 236–237 |
| requests for production of documents, 18 | Documentation |
| of expert's report, 43–46 | economic damages in employment litigation, 753–754 |
| financial experts assistance with e-discovery, 70-72 | personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 728–730 |
| notable federal rules on | Documents |
| digitally or electronically stored information, 23 | access to records in business interruption claim, 822–824 |
| expert designations, 22–23 | for construction claims, 379 |
| expert report, 22–23 | for internal investigation |
| initial disclosures, 21–22 | categories of, 776–777 |
| privilege, 21 | establishing control of, 778–779 |
| purpose of, 17 | public document review, 780–781 |
| Discovery depositions | requests for production of, 18 |
| of experts, financial | Dollar-for-dollar damages, 930 |
| decision not to take deposition, 80-81 | _ |
| decision to take deposition, 81 | The Dollar Value of a Day, 738 |
| example questioning scenario, 83–86 | Domicile, determining, 427 |
| issues of privilege in, 86–87 | Double counting of repair costs, 969 |
| motions to exclude testimony, 81–83 | Dow Jones Industrial, robustness test and, 951 |
| of experts, general considerations | |
| discovery of files of testifying expert, 77–78 | Drexel Burnham Lambert Inc., 861, 862 |
| just and reasonable fees, 76–77 | Duces tecum, 78 |
| notice duces tecum, 78 | Duplicativeness, 914 |
| practice of taking discovery depositions, 78–79 | Duties after loss, 819–820 |
| procedural vehicle for discovery depositions, 75–76 | |
| scope of disclosure and immunity, 77–78 | Duty to disclose, breach of, as form of disloyalty, 902 |
| trial depositions, 79 | Duty to preserve |
| using discovery deposition at trial, 79 | ESI and litigation hold, 49-52 |
| | spoliation of evidence and, 39–40 |

| E | recommendations, 57 |
|---|---|
| Early-stage companies. <i>See</i> New businesses, lost profits; New business rule | restoration of data, 52–53 safe harbor rule, 47–48 |
| Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA), 850 | sanctions/remedies, 53–56 storage locations, 61 |
| Earnings growth rate, personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 731–732 | Electronic evidence, analysis of, by forensic specialist, 768 Electronic medical records, value of, 362 |
| Earnout payments | 11th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 137–139 |
| characteristics of, 922–924 | Elizabethan fraudulent transfer, 837–838 |
| disputes over | · |
| measurement of performance, 925–926 | Emergency assistance standard, 651–652, 700 |
| post-closing accounting methodologies, 926–927 post-closing operation of business, 927–928 | Employees, fiduciary duty of, 895–898 |
| | Employment Cost Index, 732 |
| Easterbrook, Frank, 177, 305, 306–307 | Employment damages, in common-law cases |
| Eating establishments lost profits analysis, 413–422 establishing causation for losses, 415–416 | contract damages breach of contract other than termination, 746 |
| examples cases of, 417–418 | consequential damages, 747 |
| financial performance measures, 414–415 | duty of mitigation, 747 |
| forensic considerations, 416 | injunctive relief, 748 |
| general background of restaurant industry, 413–414 | noneconomic damages, 747 |
| introduction, 413 notable case law relating to, 419 | termination in breach of contract, 745–746 damage calculations |
| restaurant industry resources, 418–419 | conclusion, 756 |
| valuation information request (VIR) checklist, 420–422 | documentation, 753–754 |
| Economic data, lost profit analyses and, 257, 270 | fringe benefits, 755 |
| Economic profit, 850 | loss period, 755 |
| Economic Report of the President, 732 | lost earnings, 754–755 |
| | medical expenses, 756 mitigating earnings, 755 |
| Economists discovery depositions of, 75–87 | overview of, 753 |
| experience in, as expert qualification, 172–174 | references, 756–757 |
| professional standards, 9–10 | taxes, 755 |
| Effective tax rate, 732 | promissory estoppel, 748 |
| Efficiency ratios, 849 | taxation, 748–750 tort damages, 748 |
| Efficient market hypothesis, 941–944 | Engagement acceptance, internal investigation, 771–772 |
| Eichengrun, Joel, 640 | Engineer, procure, and construct (EPC) project, 378 |
| Eichleay formula, 389–390 | Engineering applications, avoided cost estimation, 236 |
| 8th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 135–136 | Enstar Group, 860–867 |
| Electronically stored information (ESI) | Entire market value rule |
| business records, 29 | patent infringement cases, 497 |
| circumstances for preservation, 62-65 | royalties, 510–511 |
| claw back agreement, 66–67 | Entity's debts, in defining insolvency, 844–845 |
| cost of producing, 65–66 custody and control issues of, 49 | Equitable claim, franchise litigation, 456–457 |
| defined, 61 | Equitable recoupment |
| disclosure of, 23 | as counterclaim, 459 |
| financial experts assisting with e-discovery, 70-72 | franchise damages, 458–459 |
| inadvertent disclosure of privileged information, 66–67 | Equitable remedy, 718–719, 859 |
| management of, during litigation, 67–68 metadata of, 66 | Equity discount rates, 284 |
| motion to suppress, 67 | Escalation, construction claims, 388 |
| preservation letter, 63 | Established royalties, 506–507 |
| production procedures for, 65–67 | Estimate of loss, reasonable certainty requirement |
| protocol for preserving, 63–64 | certainty injured party has suffered some damage, 101–106 |
| requirements of, as trial evidence, 68–70 Sedona Principles, 62, 67 | within defined range, 99–101 |
| spoliation issues, 46–57 | new business rule, 94, 97 |
| concerns and obligations of counsel, 56–57 | number of difficult-to-quantify risks in, 98–99 |
| duty to preserve and written litigation hold, 49-52 | track record of plaintiff, 97–98 |
| failure to preserve evidence, 52 | verifiable data to support, 95–97 |
| reasonable requirement, 48 | Estimation period, 947 |

| Estimation window, 947, 955 | Examination under oath (EUO), 826-829 |
|--|---|
| Ethical concerns, internal investigation and, 784 | Ex ante approach, discounting future lost profits and, 296–297 |
| Evans, Cyndi, 875–876 | Excusable and compensable, 383 |
| Event studies in security litigation, 939–960, 964–965 | Excusable but not compensable delays, 383 |
| efficient market hypothesis, 941–944 | Exemplary damages, damages for trade secret misappropriation, |
| impact of Halliburton II on, 939–941 | 526–527 |
| methodology basics | Expectancy damages, in post-acquisition disputes, 929 |
| common error in inference, 949–950 | Expectation damages, 277–278 |
| Fifth Crammer factor, 950–951 | - |
| goal of study, 944–947 inference and significance in, 948–949 | Expense-based metrics for eating establishment, 414 |
| performing study, 947–948 | Expenses |
| methodology complications | deductibility of, in lost business value vs. lost profit claim, 279–280 expense reimbursement in compensation forfeiture, 904 |
| alternative securities, 959–960 | forecasting, 258 |
| confounding events, 957–958 | |
| subjectivity of study, 958–959 | Expert depositions, 20 financial experts |
| trading halts/trading delays, 958 robustness tests | decision not to take deposition, 80–81 |
| alternate market indexes, 951 | decision to take deposition, 81 |
| alternative tests, 956 | example questioning scenario, 83–86 |
| arithmetic vs continuously compounded returns, 954 | issues of privilege in, 86–87 |
| autocorrelation, 955–956 | motions to exclude testimony, 81–83 |
| estimation windows, 955 | general considerations |
| heteroskedasticity, 956 | discovery of files of testifying expert, 77–78 just and reasonable fees, 76–77 |
| industry indexes and market bubbles, 951–952 | nontestifying experts, 75–76 |
| multiple indexes, 952–953 outlier analysis, 955 | notice duces tecum, 78 |
| | practice of taking discovery depositions, 78–79 |
| Event window, 947 | procedural vehicle for discovery depositions, 75–76 |
| confounding events and, 957–958 | scope of disclosure and immunity, 77–78 |
| Evidence. See also Federal Rules of Evidence; Rules of evidence | trial depositions, 79 |
| adequate consideration of all data, 270–271 | using discovery deposition at trial, 79 |
| admissible evidence, 27 best available, and reasonable certainty requirement, 111–113 | Expert report, 22–23 |
| data and information generally available from parties | Experts. See also Expert testimony |
| business history and experience, 262–263 | assumptions of, in testimony, 188–198 Daubert test, 121–123, 171 |
| capacity limitations, 262–263, 265 | ESI and assisting with e-discovery, 70–72 |
| competition's impact, 265 | expert's report |
| other sources of evidence from parties, 265–266 | discovery of, 43–46 |
| plaintiff's/defendant's subsequent experience, 263 | required contents, 44 |
| plaintiff's experience at other locations/similar business, 263–264 | trial-preparation protection, 45 |
| prelitigation profit projections, 264 | failure to deal with inconsistent facts, 198–201 |
| duty to preserve, 39–40 | Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26, 21, 22–23 financial, motions to exclude, 121–168 |
| ESI requirements for trial evidence, 68–70 | basic legal predicate, 121–123 |
| failure to preserve, 52 | expert gatekeeping by jurisdiction, 126–168 |
| forensic | by Federal Circuit, 127–140 |
| admissibility of, 322–323 | by state, 140–168 |
| cases demonstrating use of, 323–327 conditions that require, 318–322 | factors to consider in making motion, 123–124 |
| defined, 317 | format for pretrial gatekeeping, 123 |
| overview of, 317–318 | methodologies flaws in expert opinion, 124–126 methodologies for expert gatekeeping, 123 |
| gathering, during internal investigation, 780 | methodology used by, 177–180 |
| hearsay, 322–323 | nontestifying, 75–76 |
| industry and economic data, 268–270 | privilege and, 21 |
| laboratory analysis of physical and electronic evidence, 768 | professional standards for, 7–10 |
| market and demand for plaintiff's product, 267–268 | qualifications of |
| reasonable certainty requirement, 261 relevant, 27 | damage calculations experience, 176 |
| spoliation of | experience in accounting, finance, economics, 172–174 |
| defined and explained, 35–43 | necessity of financial experience, 174 |
| electronic stored information (ESI) issues, 46–57 | plaintiff's industry experience, 174–176 in relation to amount at stake, 176 |
| expert-related issues, 43–46 | spoliation of evidence and, 43–46 |
| survey-based evidence, 545–557 | trial preparation evidence rules 71 |

| Expert testimony | Federal courts |
|---|--|
| bases of opinion testimony by experts, 322–323 | jurisdiction of, 13, 15 |
| checklist for testing admissibility of, 81–82 | lawsuit initiation in, 15 |
| disclosure of, 22–23 | organization of, 13–14 |
| motions to exclude assumptions, 188–198 | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 653 |
| data, 180–188 | Federal question, defined, 15 |
| expert qualifications, 172–176 | Federal Rules of Civil Procedure |
| failure to deal with inconsistent facts, 198-201 | disclosure of digitally or electronically stored information, 23 |
| methodology, 177–180 | disclosure of expert testimony, 22–23 |
| success rate for, 82–83 | electronically stored information, 62, 64, 66 |
| trends in, 81–83 professional standards for experts, 7–10 | expert designations, 22–23 expert report, 22–23, 44–45 |
| when admissible, 27–28 | initial disclosure, 21–22 |
| Ex-post approach, discounting future lost profits and, 296–297 | Rule 26, 21–23, 44–45 |
| | Rule 26(b)(2)(C), 64 |
| Extended period of indemnity, 817–818 | Rule 26(b)(4), 71, 76 |
| External validity, in survey-based research, 556 | Rule 30, 78, 79 |
| Extra expense coverage, 799, 818–819 | Rule 30(b)(6), 19 Rule 32, 79 |
| Extra work, construction claims and, 391 | Rule 34(a)(1)(A), 62 |
| | Rule 35(b), 76 |
| F | Rule 37(e), 47–48, 52–53, 53–56 |
| Facebook, 565 | safe harbor rule, 47–48 |
| advertiser charges, 573 | trial preparation, 71 |
| analyzing responses and impressions, 573, 574 | Federal Rules of Evidence |
| Fact and amount rule, 94, 101–106, 110 | admissible evidence, 27 |
| Fact-finding and analysis phase of internal investigation, 780–786 | bases of opinion testimony by experts, 322–323 electronically stored evidence, 66–67 |
| addressing ethical concerns, 784 | expert testimony, 27–28, 171 |
| determine order of interviews, 781 | hearsay, 28–29 |
| evidence gathering, 780 | exceptions to, 29–31 |
| execute investigation plan, 780 | leading questions, 25–26 |
| interview knowledgeable persons, 781–784 | progress of trial, 24–25 |
| interview steps, 781–782 public document review and background investigation, 780–781 | Rule 502, 66–67 |
| structure of interviews, 781 | Rule 611, 25–26 Rule 701, 31 |
| types of interviews, 781–784 | Rule 702, 31, 69–70, 171, 270–271 |
| Fact-finding interviews, 781–782 | Rule 703, 180, 184, 185, 270–271, 322–323 |
| Facts | Rule 803, 29, 30 |
| assumptions on disputed facts, 194–195 | Rule 804, 30 |
| experts failure to deal with inconsistent, 198–201 | Rule 902, 29 |
| Failure to preserve evidence, 52 | Rule 1006, 31 |
| Fair market value | Federal Trade Commission (FTC), claims for gross disgorgement, 707–721 |
| calculating damages for right of publicity infringement, 431–433 | |
| defining insolvency, 845 | Fees, attorneys', franchise litigation and, 465–466 |
| in estimating reasonable equivalent value, 840-842 | Fiduciary duty. See also Breach of fiduciary duty |
| Fair market value standard, 276–277 | defining who is fiduciary, 895–898 |
| Fair value option for financial assets and liabilities, 844–845 | 5th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 131 |
| Faithless servant doctrine, 878, 879, 890 | Fifth Cammer factor, 950–951, 959, 960 |
| False designation of origin, franchise litigation, 450 | Finance, experience in, as expert qualification, 172–174 |
| | Financial analysis, for profit apportionment analysis for IP |
| Farm equipment, franchise litigation and, 465 | infringement, 590–591 |
| Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), 367 | Financial experts |
| cost reimbursable contracts, 369–370 fixed priced contracts, 368–369 | depositions |
| Termination for Convenience clause, 373, 374 | decision not to take deposition, 80–81 |
| unallowable cost under FAR Part 31, 372 | decision to take deposition, 81 example questioning scenario, 83–86 |
| Federal agency, unjust enrichment claims, 652–660 | issues of privilege in, 86–87 |
| Federal Arbitration Act, 829 | motions to exclude testimony, 81–83 |
| | motions to exclude, 121–168 |
| Federal circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions, 126–140 | basic legal predicate, 121–123 |

| expert gatekeeping by jurisdiction, 126–168 | Fixed price with economic price adjustment contract, 368–369 |
|---|---|
| by federal circuit, 127–140 | Fixed price with prospective price redetermination contract, 369 |
| by state, 140–168 | Florida, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 144–145 |
| factors to consider in making motion, 123–124 format for pretrial gatekeeping, 123 | Flow down clauses, 373 |
| methodologies flaws in expert opinion, 124–126 | Food and Drug Administration (FDA), gross disgorgement, 709–710, |
| methodologies for expert gatekeeping, 123 | 713, 718, 719 |
| professional standards, 7–10 | Ford, 402 |
| Financial forecast | Forecast, financial |
| defined, 243 | compared to projection, 244 |
| effective, 245 | defined, 243 |
| Financial forensic services, 763–795 | Forensic accounting, defined, 317 |
| forensic defined, 763 | Forensic auditors, 317 |
| indicators of fraud, 769 | , |
| internal investigation analysis of financial transactions, 768 | Forensic economist, professional standards, 10 |
| audit procedures compared to, 765–769 | Forensic evidence, 317–327. <i>See also</i> Financial forensic services |
| confidential sources, 768 | admissibility of, 322–323 cases demonstrating use of |
| defined, 763 | apparel manufacturer, 325–326 |
| forensic specialist role in, 770–771 | metal products manufacturer, 326–327 |
| interview of knowledgeable persons, 768 | restaurant case, 323–325 |
| laboratory analysis of physical and electronic evidence, 768 | conditions that require, 318–322 |
| physical and electronic surveillance, 768 public document reviews and background investigation, | defined, 317 |
| 767–768 | eating establishments lost profits analysis, 416 |
| purposes of, 763–764 | employment litigation, 753–754 forensic defined, 763 |
| undercover operations, 768 | overview of, 317–318 |
| overview of, 763–764 | personal injury and wrongful death, 728–729 |
| white-collar crimes and, 764–765 | Forensic expert, computer, ESI testimony by, 68–70 |
| Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989 | Forensic specialist |
| (FIRREA), 265 | engagement acceptance |
| Financial projection, 243. See also Projection, financial | conflicts of interest, 771 |
| Financial ratios, 847–850 | integrity and objectivity of, 771-772 |
| efficiency, 849 | fact-finding investigation phases, 780-786 |
| liquidity, 847–848 return, 850 | initial allegation response, 772–773 |
| solvency and leverage, 848–849 | role of, in internal investigations, 770–771 |
| Financial statement fraud, 765 | Foreseeability, as element of lost profit case, 212–213 |
| Financial statements | Former employees, internal investigation and, 784 |
| analytical review of balances, for internal investigation, 793–795 | Forum clause, business interruption claims, 830 |
| audited, 183 | 4th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 130–131 |
| automobile dealerships and, 406-407, 408-409 | Fracturing, 914 |
| compiled, 182 | Franchise, 437–480 |
| information that can be extracted from, 847 | compensatory and consequential damages, 444–445 |
| reliability of data, 182–183 reviewed, 182 | economics analysis of litigation damages for, 466-473 |
| | equitable recoupment, 458–459 |
| Financial transactions, analysis of, by forensic specialist, 768, 786–787 | franchise registration and relationship state laws, 455–458 |
| Firm fixed price contract, 368 | damages for equitable claims, 456–457 fraudulent inducement, 457–458 |
| Firm fixed price level of effort contract, 369 | quantum meruit, 457 |
| 1st Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 127–128 | unclean hands, 457 |
| Fixed ceiling with retroactive price redetermination contract, 369 | guiding principles for economic damage analysis |
| Fixed compensation, 964 | mind the money factors, 476 |
| Fixed costs | profit contribution, 474–476 |
| avoided cost estimation, 235–236 | ride the escalator, 476 |
| breach of contract damages, 649 | understand abnormal, study normal, 477–478 hypothetical parts and services case, 439–444 |
| construction projects and, 378 | injunctions, 460–462 |
| defined in relation to unjust remedy, 620-622 | mandatory, 461–462 |
| emergency assistance standard, 651 | permanent, 461 |
| Fixed priced government contracts, 367–368, 368–369 | preliminary, 461 |
| Fixed-price incentive contract, 369 | |

| Lanham Act | Fringe benefits |
|---|--|
| attorneys' fees and injunction relief, 452-453 | economic damages in employment litigation, 755 |
| calculating damages, 450–451 | personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 733–734 |
| counterfeit marks, 450 | Front pay, 755 |
| dilution, 450 | Fruits of advantage gained, 623, 641-643. See also Advantage |
| extending liability to corporate officer, 452 false designation of origin, 450 | Frye rule, 122 |
| recent and definitive ruling on interpretation, 449–450 | |
| treble damages, 451 | Full-absorption approach of unjust enrichment, 619, 692, 694 attributable expenses, 622–623 |
| liquidated damages and penalties, 454–455 | breach of contract damages, 650 |
| lost future profit, 445–448 | compared to incremental income approach, 678–682 |
| estimation of future lost profit, 447–448 | emergency assistance standard, 652 |
| Sealy and franchise law, 446-447 | Levin case, 664 |
| opportunity cost and compulsion, 473–474 | overview of, 614–615 |
| punitive damages, 462–463 | paradox of offsetting allocated overhead, 616-618 |
| references, 479–480 | Full absorption cost allocation, 371–372 |
| relationship in automobile dealerships franchise agreements, 400 | Full function life expectancy, 738 |
| franchise damages, 401–403 | |
| franchise laws, 401 | Functional patent infringement, 552–554 |
| rescission, 459–460 | Funeral expenses, wrongful death economic damages, 739 |
| unique regimes | Future lost earnings, 738 |
| alcohol distribution, 465 | Future lost profit, estimation of, and franchise litigation, 447-448 |
| attorneys' feed, 465–466 | Future lost profits, 291 |
| construction and farm equipment, 465 | r, |
| damages under PMPA, 465 | G |
| injunctions under PMPA, 464–465 | Gatekeeper role, of judges |
| Frank, Jerome, 426 | Daubert test, 121–123 |
| Fraud | Daubert test and, 171 |
| categories of, and statutes pertaining to, 765 | Geiger, Judge, 614, 695 |
| counter-restitution and, 635–636, 704–706 | |
| fraud triangle, 769 | General damages, foreseeability and, 212–213 |
| gross disgorgement, 704–706 | Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) |
| indicators of, 769 lost profit claims, 207–208 | balance sheet test and, 844 |
| mitigate risk of, 769 | contingent liabilities, 845 |
| | disputes over post-closing adjustments and, 924–925 |
| Fraud on the market, 940, 941. <i>See also</i> Event studies in security litigation | earnout disputes, 926–927 fair value option for financial assets and liabilities, 844–845 |
| | - |
| Fraudulent conveyance, 837 | General Motors, 400, 402, 403 |
| Fraudulent inducement, franchise litigation, 457–458 | General sign test, 956 |
| Fraudulent transfer claims, 837–853 | Geometric average or compound annual growth rate (CAGR), 251-253 |
| background of, 837–838 | steps in calculating, 252 |
| defining insolvency, 842–853 | Georgia, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 145-146 |
| ability to pay debts, 852–853 balance sheet test, 844 | Georgia-Pacific factors, 508, 533 |
| cash flow forecasts, 843 | list of, 511–512 |
| discount rates, 846 | overview of, 511–513 |
| entity's debts, 844–845 | qualitative, 514 |
| fraud in fraudulent conveyance, 844 | quantitative, 513–514 |
| key financial ratios, 847–850 | similarity to relief from royalty method, 533–534 |
| liquidation value, 845–846 | Gittlitz, Ian, compensation forfeiture case, 875–877 |
| overview, 842–843 | Glejser test, 956 |
| property at fair valuation, 845 | Going concern appraisal, 277 |
| return on assets, 846 | |
| unreasonably small capital, 851–852 | Going concern value, 845–846 |
| when and why insolvency occurred, 844 | Gold, Alan S., 840 |
| overview of, 837 preferential period, 838 | Good Samaritan, 651 |
| reasonably equivalent value, 839–842 | Goodwill, as benefit, in unjust enrichment, 625 |
| identifying value, 839 | Google, 562, 563 |
| Friendly witness, 25 | pay-per-click rate, 573 |
| y | using Google analytics to defend against celebrity name/likeness, 571–572 |

| Government, patent infringement claims against, 516 | Historical information |
|---|--|
| Government contracts industry, 366–370 contract types cost reimbursable contracts, 369–370 fixed priced contracts, 368–369 | business information/history as evidence, 262–263 common techniques for comparing, 250–251 valuing business interruption, 831–832 when past growth is indicator of future, 251 |
| other, 370 overview, 367–368 | HITECH (Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act) Act, 362 |
| cost analysis | Holmes, Judge, 902 |
| effect of indirect costs reimbursed by government, 372 full absorption vs variable/avoided cost analysis, 371–372 | Home-office costs, construction claims, 389 |
| unallowable cost under FAR Part 31, 372 | Horne, Mark J., 344 |
| lost profit disputes and, 373–374 | Hostile witness, 25 |
| Grassgreen, Richard, compensation forfeiture case, 860–867 | Household services, personal injury economic damages, 738 |
| Gray, John Clinton, 426 | Housing bubble, 951 |
| Griesa, Judge, 877, 878, 879, 895 | Hurdle rates, 284 |
| Gross disgorgement, 692 cases of, 704–707 fraud, 704–706 | Hypothetical negotiation, 508–509 |
| outlaws, 706–707 | I |
| FTC claims for, 707–721 | ICD Publications Inc. (ICD), 875–877 |
| Gross profit margin, 850 | Idaho, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 147 |
| Growth rate arithmetic average, 251–253 | Illinois, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 147 |
| as evidence of lost profits, 262–263 | Illiquidity, 960 |
| factors in maintaining high levels of, 255–256 | Impartiality, forensic specialist and, 771–772 |
| factors to consider when averaging, 251 geometric average or compound annual growth rate (CAGR), 251–253 | Implied statutory jurisdiction gross disgorgement, 707–711 of unjust enrichment, 619–620 |
| law of large numbers, 255 | Implied waiver, 869 |
| median, 251–253 in physician damage calculations, 358–360 | Incidental damages, in post-acquisition disputes, 929 |
| stable growth period, 256 weighted averages, 251 | Income approach to purchase price valuation, 921 |
| Grupo analysis, 653–654, 655–656, 659, 682, 708–709 | Income taxes effect of, in lost business value vs. lost profit claims, 280–281 |
| Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, 735 | excluded from benefit in unjust enrichment, 625–626 |
| | Inconsistent statements, 28 |
| Н | Incremental income approach of unjust enrichment, 694 attributable expenses, 622–623 |
| Hand, Judge, 661, 662–666, 679, 700, 703 | breach of contract damages, 649 |
| Hawaii, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 146 | compared full-absorption approach, 678–682 |
| Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes, 352–353 | fixed costs, 621 Hamil case, 667 |
| HealthSouth Corp., 909–911 | paradox of offsetting allocated overhead, 616–618 patent infringement damages, 650–651 |
| Healthy Life Expectancy Tables, 738 | Indemnification provisions, 920 |
| Hearing on the merits, 24 | Independent adjuster, business interruption claim, 828–829 |
| Hearsay evidence, 322–323 | Indiana |
| Hearsay rule exceptions to | expert gatekeeping opinions of, 147–148 right of publicity infringement, 428 |
| business records, 29 | Indicator variable, 965 |
| commercial publications, 30 | Industry data, lost profit analyses and, 257 |
| declarant unavailable, 30–31 learned treatises, 30 | comparable experience of others, 268–269 |
| summary of business records, 31 | trade or industry association data, 269–270 |
| hearsay defined, 28–29 | Industry experience, as expert qualification, 174–176 |
| Heteroskedasticity, 956 | Industry indexes, market bubbles and, 951–952 |
| Hindsight, discounting future lost profits, 297–298 HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act), 362, 364 | Inference common error in, 949–950 in event studies, 948–949 |
| | Informational event confounding 945–946 |

| Information-seeking interviews, 781–782 | summary, 489 |
|---|--|
| Infringements, of personality's right of, 425–436 | valuing operating company's IP, 485–487 |
| Infringements of intellectual property, 199 | profit apportionment for infringement damage calculations, |
| Internet IP, and Internet media analytic tools for damage | 577–597 right of publicity infringements, 425–436 |
| calculations, 561–575 | survey-based research in damage estimation, 545–557 |
| unjust enrichment remedy, 613 | case studies of, 551–555 |
| Infringing compensation, 625 | federal judiciary guidelines, 545-549 |
| Infringing expenses | industry guidelines, 549–551 |
| disgorgement by default, 701–703 | overview, 545 |
| in unjust enrichment, 636–638 | special concerns for, 555–557 |
| Infringing sales, 536–537 | trademark damages, 531–539 |
| Initial allegation response, in internal investigation, 772–773 | calculating damages, 535–539 |
| | defendant's profits in, 534–535 plaintiff's actual damages |
| Initial disclosure, 21–22 | name recognition that increases product's prices, 532 |
| Initial period of restoration, 815–817 | name recognition that increases sales volume, 531 |
| Injunctions | saved time and costs, 532 |
| franchise litigation and, 460–462 | summary of, 539 |
| mandatory, 461–462 | types of actual damages, 532–534 |
| permanent, 461 | lost profits, 532–533 |
| under Petroleum Marketing Practices Act (PMPA), 464–465 | reasonable royalty, 533–534 |
| preliminary, 461 as remedy in equity, 603–604 | trade secret damages, 519–527 |
| | Intentional conduct, remedies for ESI spoliation, 54–55 |
| Injunctive relief, 620, 627, 629–630, 691, 709–710, 899, 902–903 in employment cases, 748 | Intentional torts, lost profit claims, 208 |
| franchise litigation and, 452–453 | Interest coverage ratio, 849 |
| <u> </u> | Interest rate |
| Insolvency, defining, 842–853 ability to pay debts, 852–853 | defined, 292 |
| balance sheet test, 844 | post-judgment interest, 292 |
| cash flow forecasts, 844 | prejudgment interest, 292 |
| discount rates, 846 | Internal controls, COSO Framework, 769 |
| entity's debts, 844-845 | Internal investigation |
| fraud in fraudulent conveyance, 844 | analytical techniques |
| key financial ratios, 847–850 | analytical review of financial statement balances, 793–795 |
| liquidation value, 845–846 | Benford's law, 793 |
| overview, 842–843 | cash sources and uses, 790 |
| property at fair valuation, 845 return on assets, 846 | chronology of events and facts sheet, 790 |
| unreasonably small capital, 851–852 | data-mining procedures, 792–793 |
| when and why insolvency occurred, 844 | journal entry/subledger reviews, 795 |
| Insurance, business interruption insurance coverage, 211, 799–833 | net worth method, 790 |
| | proof-of-cash method, 790 ratio analysis, 793–795 |
| Integrated delivery system, 357–358, 364 | reperformance, 792 |
| Integrated provider, 364 | reverse proof, 793 |
| Integrity, forensic specialist and, 771–772 | sampling techniques, 790–791 |
| Intellectual property. See also Patent infringement damages | areas of |
| copyright damages, 540–541 | analysis of financial transactions, 768 |
| defendant's profits, 541 | audit procedures compared to, 765–769 |
| example cases, 541 | laboratory analysis of physical and electronic evidence, 768 |
| overview of, 539–540 | physical and electronic surveillance, 768 |
| plaintiff's actual damages, 540–541 | common mistakes in, 787–789 common types of investigations, 770 |
| lost profits, 540 reasonable royalty, 540 | confidential sources, 768 |
| summary, 542 | defined, 763 |
| counter-restitution for monetary remedies in equity, 691 | engagement acceptance, 771–772 |
| Internet analytic tools for IP infringement and defamation, 559–575 | conflict of interest, 771 |
| lost profit claims, 208–210 | integrity and objectivity, 771-772 |
| overview, IP valuation/damages measurements | forensic specialist role in, 770–771 |
| discounted cash flow (DCF) model, 485 | initial allegation response in, 772–773 |
| disgorgement of offending party's profits, 488–489 | interview of knowledgeable persons, 768 |
| lost profits of injured party, 487–488 | narrower vs. broader scope of, 775–776 |
| reasonable royalties, 488 | phases/tasks of, 774–786 concluding investigation, 784 |
| residual income model, 486–487 | concluding investigation, 704 |

| creating report, 784–786 | J |
|---|---|
| develop communication plan and line of reporting, 779–780 | Journal entry, review of, for internal investigation, 795 |
| establishing control of documents/files, 778–779 | Judgment as matter of law |
| establish team, 775 evidence gathering, 780 | choice of discount rate, 310–311 |
| fact-finding and analysis, 780–786 | discount rate as safe rate of return, 294 |
| interviewing, 781–784 | Judiciary Act, 654 |
| planning and communication, 774–780 | Jurisdiction |
| preliminary data gathering, 777 | choice of law, 26 |
| technology specialist tasks, 777–778 | diversity jurisdiction, 15 |
| work plan and tasks, 775–776 | of federal courts, 13, 15 |
| public document reviews and background investigation, 767–768 purposes of, 763–764 | of state courts, 13 |
| undercover operations, 768 | Jurisdiction in equity, of unjust enrichment, 619-620 |
| Internal Revenue Code, Section 104, 732, 755 | Jury verdict pricing method, for construction claims, 382–383 |
| Internet and social media analytic tools for damage calculations, 559–575 | Just and reasonable fees, 76–77 |
| key concepts | K |
| Internet use statistics, 561–562 | |
| optimization, 563–565 | Kentucky, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 149 |
| search, 562–563 | Key word searches, during internal investigations, 787 |
| social media platforms, 565 overview, 561, 574–575 | Kinder-Care Learning Centers Inc. (KCLC), 860–861 |
| valuation and damage analyses | Known or knowable concept, business valuation, 284 |
| identifying IP misuse, 566–568 | Kozlowski, Dennis, compensation forfeiture case, 877–879 |
| Internet damages matrix, 570 | Krogman factor, 943, 959 |
| supplementing accepted valuation methods, 569–570 | Krueger, Kurt V., 734, 735 |
| to support unjust enrichment calculations, 570–571 | Kull, Andrew, 691, 706 |
| using analytics to support celebrity endorsement relief from pay-per-click claim, 572–573 | Kuii, 1 Harew, 071, 700 |
| using Google analytics to defend against celebrity name/ likeness, 571–572 | L |
| using location-specific search statistics to determine confusion | Laboratory analysis of physical and electronic evidence, 768, 786 |
| in trademark infringement claim, 573–574 | Lanham Act, 209, 429, 434-435, 533, 551, 583, 643 |
| Internet bubble, venture-backed companies and, 344, 345 | franchise litigation |
| Internet IP infringement, Internet and social media analytic tools for damage calculations, 561–575 | attorneys' fees and injunction relief, 452–453 calculating damages, 450–451 |
| Interrogatories, 18 | counterfeit marks, 450 dilution, 450 |
| Interruption, as trigger for business interruption coverage, 800–802 | extending liability to corporate officer, 452 |
| Interviews, during internal investigation | false designation of origin, 450 |
| admission-seeking, 768 | recent and definitive ruling on interpretation, 449-450 |
| admission-seeking interviews, 782–783 | treble damages, 451 |
| determining order of interviews, 781 ethical concerns, 784 | Law of large numbers, 255 |
| fact-finding or information-seeking interviews, 781–782 | Lawsuits |
| key points to every interview, 783 | initiating, 14–15 |
| key steps of, 781–782 | scheduling order, 16–17 |
| of knowledgeable persons, 768, 781–784 | Lawyers, fiduciary claims against, 913–915 |
| memoranda of interviews, 784 structure of, 781 | Laycock, Douglas, 608 |
| Invention, patented technology in patent infringement damages, | Leading questions, 25–26 |
| 494–495 | Learned treatise, as admissible evidence, 30 |
| Inventory turnover, 849 | Legal malpractice, 913 |
| Investigative auditors, 317 | Leveraged buyout, 846, 847 |
| Investment rate of return, 294–295 | Leverage ratio, 848–849 |
| Iowa, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 148–149 | Lewis, W. Cris, 183 |
| IP misuse, identifying, 566–568. See also Internet and social media | Lien doctrine, 631–632 |
| analytic tools for damage calculations | Life care planners, personal injury economic damages, 739–740 |
| Irreparable injury, doctrine of, 894–895 | Limitation of Funds clause, 373, 374 |
| | Liquidated damages, franchise litigation, 454–455 |

| Liquidation value, defining insolvency, 845–846 | historical information uses, 250–253 |
|--|---|
| Liquidity ratios, 847–848 | industry and economic information considerations, 257 |
| Litigation hold, 49–52, 57 | lost revenue estimates, 224–226 |
| Logarithm transformation, 976–977 | before-and-after method, 225–227, 246–247 factors to consider, 224–226 |
| | market model, 232–233, 249–250 |
| Loss. See also Estimate of loss due to business interruption, 978–980 | other methods, 233–234 |
| • | sales projection method, 231-232, 249 |
| Loss of market, business interruption claims and, 833 | yardstick method, 227-231, 247-249 |
| Loss period | projections prepared in ordinary course of business, 254–255 |
| duration of, as assumption by expert, 195–197 economic damages in employment litigation, 755 | Lost profits claims |
| | compared to lost business value |
| Loss recovery period, in lost business value vs. lost profit claim, 281–283 | deductibility of expenses in, 279–280 discount rates, 283–284 |
| Lost asset value, 116 | effect of income tax, 280–281 |
| Lost business value, 115 | loss recovery period, 281–283 |
| for new businesses, 345–346 | mitigation in, 285–286 |
| Lost business value claim | overview, 278–279 |
| compared to lost profits claim | post-breach events, 284–285 |
| deductibility of expenses in, 279–280 | summary of differences, 287 wrongdoer rule, 278 |
| discount rates, 283–284 | consideration in making, 277–278 |
| effect of income tax, 280–281 | elements of cases |
| loss recovery period, 281–283 | foreseeability, 212–213 |
| mitigation in, 285–286 overview, 278–279 | mitigation, 215–216 |
| post-breach events, 284–285 | proximate cause, 211–212 |
| summary of differences, 287 | reasonable certainty, 214–215 establishing reliable foundation for, 261 |
| wrongdoer rule, 278 | recovering both lost profits and business value, 286 |
| consideration in making, 277–278 | types of cases, 207–211 |
| definition of value in, 276–277 | Lost revenue estimates, 224–226 |
| introduction to, 275 | before-and-after method, 225–227, 246–247 |
| recovering both lost profits and business value, 286 | factors to consider, 224–226 |
| Lost earnings | market share model, 232-233, 249-250 |
| economic damages in employment litigation, 754–755 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 730 | other methods, 233–234 |
| Lost enterprise value, breach of fiduciary duty, 885 | sales projection method, 231–232, 249 yardstick method, 227–231, 247–249 |
| 3 | |
| Lost future profits, franchise litigation, 445–446 | Lost volume sellers, 215 |
| Lost profits. See also Estimate of loss | Louisiana, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 149–150 |
| automobile dealerships and, 403–404 best available evidence of, 111–113 | Loyalty, fiduciary duty and, 895–898 |
| breach of fiduciary duty, 884–885 | Lump-sum royalty, 509 |
| copyright infringement, 540 | |
| defined, 207, 220–221 | M |
| eating establishments, 413–422 | Madoff, Bernie, 717 |
| government contracts and, 373–374 | |
| impairment on working capital and bonding capacity for contractors, 395–396 | Maine, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 150 |
| as net amount, 220 | Management interviews, for profit apportionment analysis for IP infringement, 588–589 |
| past and future defined, 291 | |
| in patent infringement cases, 495–502 | Mandatory injunctions, franchise litigation, 461–462 |
| sample of, model approaches, 238–239 | Manual for Complex Litigation, sampling/opinion surveys, 546 |
| social media analysis to support, 571 trademark infringement, 532–533 | Marginal tax rate, 732 |
| trade secret misappropriation, 523–524 | Maritime law, lost profit claims, 210 |
| | Market bubbles, industry indexes and, 951-952 |
| Lost profits analyses, 219–239 consideration of mitigation, 236–237 | Market demand, as evidence in lost profit, 267–268 |
| definition of lost profits, 220–221 | Market efficiency, five-factor test of, 943 |
| determining relevant damages period, 222–224 | - |
| elements to consider in planning, 221–222 | Marketing material, for profit apportionment analysis for IP infringement, 592, 593 |
| estimating avoided costs, 234–236 | Marketing plan, franchise litigations and, 456 |
| expense forecasting and, 258 | Market segment, in patent infringement cases, 499–500 |
| growth rate considerations, 255–257 | market segment, in patent ininigement cases, 477-500 |

| Market share analysis, as evidence for lost profit, 267 | in lost business value vs. lost profit claim, 285–286 |
|---|---|
| Market share damage, patent infringement cases, 502-503 | lost volume sellers exception, 215 |
| Market share model, lost revenue estimates, 232-233, 249-250 | negligence exception, 215–216 |
| Market valuation approach to purchase price valuation, 921 | Modified total cost pricing method, for construction claims, 381–382 |
| Martin, Judge, 666–668, 702 | Monetary remedies, remedies in equity as alternative to, 603 |
| Maryland, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 151 | Montana, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154–155 |
| Massachusetts, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 151–152 | Moral fault, reasonable certainty requirement and, 106–111 |
| Materiality, initial allegation response and, 772–773 | Motions to exclude expert testimony |
| Mathematical certainty, 214 | assumptions, 188–198 |
| McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition, 547 | data, 180–188 |
| McCorkle, C. R., 633 | expert qualifications, 172–176 failure to deal with inconsistent facts, 198–201 |
| McCormick, Charles T., 92, 95–96, 110–111 | methodology, 177–180 |
| Measured mile calculation, 390–391 | financial experts, 121–168 |
| Measuring Damages Involving Individuals: A CPA's Litigation Service Guide With Case Studies (Sharp), 729 | basic legal predicate, 121–123 Daubert case and, 81–82 |
| Median growth rate, 251–253 | expert gatekeeping by jurisdiction, 126–168 |
| Medical expenses | by Federal Circuit, 127–140 by state, 140–168 |
| economic damages in employment litigation, 755 | factors to consider in making motion, 123–124 |
| personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 737 | Federal standards for, 81–82 |
| Medical Group Management Association (MGMA), 351 | format for pretrial gatekeeping, 123 methodologies flaws in expert opinion, 124–126 |
| Medical records, value of, 362 | methodologies for expert gatekeeping, 123 |
| Medicare | success rate for exclusion, 82–83 |
| price per unit of service, 358–360 sustainable growth rate (SGR) formula, 359 | Motion to suppress, electronically stored information (ESI), 67 |
| Megra Partners, 861–867 | Multiple linear regression analysis, sales prices of housing, 974–975 |
| Mendel, Perry, 860–867 | |
| | N |
| Mere volunteer, 651 | NASDAQ Composite, 951 |
| Mergers, insights/observations in disputes of, 936 Metadata | National Automobile Dealers Association (NADA), 401, 408 |
| Metadata | |
| from ESL 66 | National Restaurant Association, 418 |
| from ESI, 66 Internet search and, 564 | National Restaurant Association, 418 Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 |
| | • |
| Internet search and, 564 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 Mitigating earnings economic damages in employment litigation, 755 as lost earning category, 738 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 lost profits vs. lost business value, 345–346 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 Mitigating earnings economic damages in employment litigation, 755 as lost earning category, 738 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 734–735 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 Mitigating earnings economic damages in employment litigation, 755 as lost earning category, 738 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 734–735 Mitigation | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 lost profits vs. lost business value, 345–346 overview, 336–337 venture-backed companies, 343–345 new business rule, 335–336 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 Mitigating earnings economic damages in employment litigation, 755 as lost earning category, 738 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 734–735 Mitigation addressing as factor in economic damages analyses, 236–237 | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 lost profits vs. lost business value, 345–346 overview, 336–337 venture-backed companies, 343–345 new business rule, 335–336 reasonable certainty requirement, 334, 335–336 |
| Internet search and, 564 Metal products manufacturer case, forensic evidence used in, 326–327 Methodology of experts, 177–180 failure to understand, 179–180 misuse of accepted methods, 178–179 Michigan, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152 Milken, Mike, 861, 862 Minnesota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 152–153 Misappropriation, laws governing trade secret misappropriation, 521 Misappropriation of website, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 Misrepresentation lost profit claims, 207–208 purchase or sale of securities, 940, 941–942 Mississippi, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 153 Missouri, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 154 Mitigating earnings economic damages in employment litigation, 755 as lost earning category, 738 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 734–735 Mitigation | Nebraska, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155 Negative information, misappropriation of, unjust enrichment remedy and, 613 Negligence duty to mitigate and, 215–216 lost profit claims, 208 Net discounting method, 736 Net opinion, 321 Net worth method, for internal investigation, 790 Nevada, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 155–156 New businesses, lost profits damages evidentiary standards, 333 legal requirements for, 334–336 methods for proving adjusting for survival rates, 337–338 collecting and analyzing data, 337–338 guidance from courts, 340–342 list of factors to assess, 338–340 lost profits vs. lost business value, 345–346 overview, 336–337 venture-backed companies, 343–345 new business rule, 335–336 |

| New business rule, 97, 254 | Opportunity cost adjustment, remedies of equity and, 606 |
|---|--|
| apparel manufacturing case and forensic evidence, 325–326 | Opportunity cost approach, personal injury economic damages, 738 |
| higher evidentiary burden, 215 historical perspective on, 335 | Optimization, search engine, 563–565 |
| moving away from, 335 | Oregon, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 159–160 |
| reasonable certainty requirement and, 94, 97, 214–215 | Outlaws, civil, 706–707, 717 |
| New Hampshire, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 156 | Outlier analysis, 955 |
| New Jersey, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 156–157 | Overestimation problems from high/low defect rates, 968–969 |
| New Mexico, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 157 | |
| New York expert gatekeeping opinions of, 157–158 | Overhead allocation in unjust enrichment remedy. See also Unjust enrichment remedy: defendant's overhead range of amount due to, 616 |
| right of publicity infringement, 428-429 | willfulness of defendant and, 669–671 |
| 9th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 136 | Overhead costs, damages for breach of contract, 649 |
| Nominal discounting method, 736 | |
| Nominal dollars, future lost profit damages in, 298 | P |
| Nonattributable fixed costs, 625 | Palcek, David, 875–876 |
| Noncompete agreement. See also Physician practice, noncompete | Palmer, George, 697–698 |
| violations | Panduit test |
| yardstick method of lost revenue, 228 Noneconomic damages in employment cases, 747 personal injury and wrongful death, 728 | acceptable noninfringing substitutes, 499 calculating amount of lost profit, 502 capacity, 501–502 defined, 496–497 |
| | demand for patented product, 497–499 |
| Nonexcusable delays, 383 | Partial damages, new businesses, 334 |
| Nonforfeiture clauses, in employment contracts, 909 | Party depositions, 19 |
| Nonparametric tests, 956 | |
| Nonparty witness depositions, 19 | Past lost profits, 291 |
| Nonphysician providers (NPP), 351 | Patented technology, 494–495 |
| Nontestifying experts, 75–76 | Patent infringement damages, 493–516 collateral sales, 505 |
| North Carolina, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 158 | damages against U.S. government, 516 |
| North Dakota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 158 | design patent infringement, 554–555 |
| Notice of Deposition, 78 | federal law governing, 493–494 |
| Notice pleadings, 14 | forensic evidence and, 319–320 |
| Notice provision, 820 | functional patent infringement, 552–554 historical perspective on, 627–629 |
| | incremental income approach, 650–651 |
| 0 | limitations on damages, 515–516 |
| Objectivity | lost profit claims, 208–209, 495–502 alternative action available to infringer, 501 |
| forensic specialist and, 771–772 | but-for causation, 496 |
| in survey-based research, 548 | entire market value rule, 497 |
| Observational data, 971–978 | market at issue, 499–500 |
| complications in computations, 977–978 | Panduit factor 1: demand, 497–499 Panduit factor 2: acceptable noninfringing substitutes, 499 |
| computation of damages, 976–977 determination of who is harmed and when, 975–976 | Panduit factor 3: capacity, 501–502 |
| diminution of value after negative event, 972 | Panduit factor 4: calculating amount of lost profits, 502 |
| measurement of alleged diminution and corresponding damages, | Panduit factors, 496–497 |
| 973–978 | patent owner's products, 500 |
| overview of, 971–972 | substitute products, 499 two-supplier market, 497 |
| Occupational fraud, 765 | market share damages, 502–503 |
| Ohio | market share model, 232–233 |
| expert gatekeeping opinions of, 159 right of publicity infringement, 429 | mitigation defense, 237 |
| | patented technology at issue, 494–495 |
| Oklahoma, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 159 | post-verdict royalties, 515 prejudgment interest, 516 |
| Operating profit, 850 | price erosion, 503–505 |
| Operational reporting, automobile dealerships and, 406–407, 408–409 | RAND royalties-standard essential patents, 514–515 |
| Operation of business, earnout disputes over post-closing, 927–928 | |

Opportunity cost, franchise litigation and, 473-474

| royalty damages, 506–514 | general measures of productivity, 351–353 |
|---|---|
| collateral sales, 511 | payer mix, 354–355 |
| entire market value rule, 510–511 | physician billing codes, 352–353 |
| established royalties, 506–507 | physician supply and demand, 353 |
| form of reasonable royalty, 509–510 | conclusion, 363 |
| Georgie-Pacific factors, 511–513 | glossary of terms for, 363–364 |
| hypothetical negotiation, 508–509 | special issues in identifying damages |
| qualitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 514 | general price per unit of service for physicians, 358–359 |
| quantitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 513–514 | growth rates in but-for and future earnings calculations, |
| reasonable royalties, value of what was taken, 507–508 | 358–360 |
| Patient medical records, value of, 362 | large practice and integrated providers, 357–358 |
| Patton-Nelson study of personal consumption, 735 | Medicare price per unit of service, 358–360 |
| | patient medical record value, 362 |
| Payer mix, 354–355, 364 | regulatory considerations, 360–362 |
| Pay-per-click claim, celebrity endorsement relief from, 572–573 | typical claims that do not fly, 362–363 |
| Pennsylvania, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 160–161 | unallocated overhead, 356–357 |
| PE ratio, 921 | Plaintiff restored, damages for breach of contract, 649 |
| | Planning phase of internal investigation, 774–780 |
| Performance, earnout disputes over measurement of, 925–926 | Population |
| Peril, sublimits of, 812 | defining, in survey-based research, 547 |
| Period of restoration, 800 | estimating size of, for damage calculations, 965–966 |
| extended period of indemnity, 817–818 | |
| initial period of restoration, 815–817 | Posner, Richard, 118, 179–180, 200, 311, 338, 342, 644 |
| overview of, 814–815 | Post-acquisition disputes and related damages, 919–936 |
| waiting period, 815 | breach of contract claims in, 928–931 |
| | determining damages in, 929 |
| Permanent injunctions, franchise litigation, 461 | measuring damages, 930–931 |
| Personal consumption, personal injury and wrongful death economic | pitfalls to avoid in assessing, 931 |
| damages, 735 | types of damages, 929–930 |
| Personal injury, economic damages from, 727–742 | case study of, 931–935 |
| components of economic damage analysis | general process for resolving, 931 |
| base earnings, 730–731 | insights for merger/acquisition disputes, 936 |
| earnings growth rate, 731-732 | introduction, 919 |
| fringe benefits, 733–734 | transaction process overview, 919–928 |
| lost earnings categories, 730 | deal negotiation, 919–922 |
| mitigating earnings, 734–735 | determining purchase price, 921–922 |
| personal consumption, 735 | purchase price valuation, 921–922 |
| tax rate, 732–733 | disputes over post-closing adjustments |
| work-life expectancy, 734 | earnout disputes, 924–928 |
| conclusion, 740 | working capital disputes, 924–925 |
| discount methods, 736–737 | post-closing adjustment to purchase price, 922–924 |
| discount rates, 736 | earnout payment, 922–924 |
| documentation, gathering information, 728-729 | working capital adjustments, 922 |
| household services, 738 | Post-breach events, in lost business value vs. lost profit claim, 284–285 |
| introduction, 727–728 | Post-closing adjustments, 922–924 |
| life care planners, 739–740 | disputes over, 924–928 |
| medical expenses, 737 | |
| references, 740–741 | Post-closing covenants, 920 |
| standards and burdens, 728 | Post-judgment interest, 292 |
| vocational experts, 739 | Post-loss duties, 819–820 |
| Personal injury tort, lost profit claims, 208 | Preclosing covenants, 920 |
| Petroleum Marketing Practices Act (PMPA) | |
| damages under, 465 | Prejudgment interest, 292, 516 |
| injunctions under, 464–465 | Preliminary injunctions, franchise litigation, 461 |
| overview of, 464 | Preservation failure, 52 |
| | |
| Physician practice, noncompete violations, 349–364 | Preservation letter, 63 |
| availability, affability, and ability, 355–356 | Pretest, in survey-based research, 555 |
| basic factors of | Price discrimination, forensic evidence and, 319–320 |
| ancillary testing income, 353 | |
| basic compensation analysis, 349–353 | Price erosion, patent infringement cases, 503–505 |
| collections for services, 354 | Price-to-earnings multiple, 921 |
| compensation, 354 competition, 355–356 | |
| compension, 555–550 | |

| Privilege | Property |
|--|---|
| expert deposition and issues of, 86–87 | access to damaged property, 820-822 |
| expert witnesses and, 21 | covered by business interruption, 804–807 |
| Procurement fraud, 765 | adjacent property, 807 |
| Productivity-based metrics for eating establishment, 415 | dependent property, 806–807 property by description, 805–806 |
| Professional component (PC or "26"), 364 | specified property, 804–805 |
| | direct physical damage, 802–804 |
| Professional standards for accountants, 7–10 | at fair valuation, 845 |
| for experts, 7–10 | sublimits of, 811–812 |
| Profit | Proximate cause, as element of lost profit case, 211–212 |
| accounting vs. economic, 850 | Proximate cause test, 449 |
| advantage vs., 692–694 | |
| defined in relation to unjust remedy, 623–627 | Public adjuster, business interruption claim, 828–829 |
| prelitigation projections of, as lost profit evidence, 264 | Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), audit standards, 766 |
| profit contributions in damage analysis for franchise litigation, | |
| 474–476 | Public document reviews, by forensic specialist, 767–768 |
| unjust enrichment, 640–646 | Publicity. See Right of publicity infringement |
| Profitability ratios, 850 | Pump and dump cases, 657 |
| Profit apportionment analysis for intellectual property infringement, | Punitive damages, 866 |
| 577–597 analytical tools for | breach of fiduciary duty, 882–884, 886 |
| combining tools, 592–593 | franchise litigation, 462–463 |
| financial analysis, 590–591 | in post-acquisition disputes, 930 |
| Internet and social media analytics, 591–592 | Purchase and Sale Agreement (PSA), 919 |
| management interviews, 588–589 | losses/damages definitions, 920 |
| marketing and communications language, 592, 593 | working capital disputes and, 924–925 |
| surveys, reviews and feedback, 589-590 | Purchase price |
| contribution questions for, 594–597 | determining, 921–922 |
| damage remedy statutes and apportionment, 581–583 | post-closing adjustments to |
| definitions for, 580–581 | disputes over, 924–928 |
| introduction, 579–580 | earnout payments, 922–924 |
| steps for | working capital adjustments, 922 |
| step 1-identify sources of revenue at subject business, 584 step 2-analyze financial performance of each revenue source, | valuation approaches to, 921–922 |
| 584–585 | |
| step 3-identify key assets business owns and uses, 585-586 | Q |
| step 4-assess relative importance and contribution of | Qualitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 514 |
| identified assets to revenue source, 586–587 | Quantitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 513–514 |
| Projection, financial | |
| compared to forecast, 244 | Quantum meruit, franchise litigation, 457 |
| defined, 243 | Quasi-trustee origin in unjust enrichment, 629–640 |
| expense forecasting and, 258 | anti-netting rule, 638–640 counter-restitution, 631–636, 695–697 |
| growth rate factors and, 255–256 historical information and, 250–253 | infringing expenses, 636–638 |
| industry and economic information considerations, 257 | quasi-trustee, 629–630, 695–697 |
| for lost business value or lost profits claims, 278–279 | Quick peek agreements, 67 |
| for lost revenue, 245–250 | |
| making credible projection, 245 | Quick ratio, 848 |
| new businesses and, 254 | |
| overview of factors to consider, 244–245 | R |
| prelitigation profit projections, as lost profit evidence, 264 | Racketeering, 765 |
| prepared in ordinary course of business, 254–255 | RAND (reasonable and nondiscriminatory) royalties, 514–515 |
| use of post-litigation projections, 255 | |
| Project schedule, construction claims, 384–388 | Rank test, 956 |
| Promissory estoppel, employment cases, 748 | Rate of return |
| Prompt reporting, 820 | defined, 292 |
| Proof-of-cash method, for internal investigation, 790 | discount rate |
| Proof of loss, business interruption claim, 825–826 | based on commensurate with risk receiving lost profits, 295–296 |
| 2202 02 2000, Dublicoo Interruption claim, 020 020 | from investing award, 294–295 |
| | as safe rate of return, as matter of law, 294 |

| Ratio analysis | Remedy in equity, 603-610 |
|--|---|
| avoided cost estimation, 236 | advantages, 603–604 |
| for internal investigation, 793–795 | basis of, 605–607 |
| Real dollars, future lost profit damages in, 298 | breach of fiduciary duty, 880, 886 |
| Reasonable certainty requirement, 91–118 | but-for profit causation and, 605–606 compensation forfeiture, 893–895 |
| construction claims, 378–379 | conclusion, 610 |
| as element of lost profit case, 214–215, 261 | Daubert challenge process, 607 |
| employment litigation, 753 | decline in familiarity, 609–610 |
| factors courts consider, 111–113 alternative method to compensate available, 115–118 | disadvantages, 604 |
| amount at stake, 113–115 | discretion and limited jurisdiction, 607–609 |
| certainty injured party has suffered damage, 101–106 | opportunity cost adjustment and, 606 |
| degree of blameworthiness/moral fault on defendant's part, | overview, 603 variation by jurisdiction, 607 |
| 106–111 | |
| estimate of loss accuracy, 95–101 | Rental value, as measure of compensation, 116 |
| fact and amount rule, 94, 101–106, 110 new business rule, 94, 97, 214–215 | Reperformance, 792 |
| wrongdoer rule, 94, 106–111 | Replacement cost approach, personal injury economic damages, 738 |
| mathematical certainty and, 214 | Report, of internal investigation, 784–786 |
| new businesses and, 334, 335–336 | Requests for admissions, 19 |
| overview | Requests for production of documents, 18 |
| balancing competing concerns, 93–94 | Rescission, 881, 901, 911 |
| decision-making vs. opinion-writing level of court, 92–93 | franchise litigation, 459–460 |
| historical perspective on, 91–92 personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 728 | in post-acquisition disputes, 930 |
| replacing rule with standard, 118 | as remedy in equity, 603–604 |
| Reasonable fee, 76–77 | Rescissory damages, 930 |
| Reasonable royalties | Residence, 427 |
| copyright infringement, 540 | Residual income model, intellectual property valuation, 486–487 |
| damages for trade secret misappropriation, 525–526 | Restatement of Agency, 630 |
| form of, 509–510 | <i>y</i> 6 - 3 |
| hypothetical negotiation, 508–509 | Restatement (Second) of Agency, 632 |
| similarity to relief from royalty method, 533–534 | Restatement of Restitution, 631–632 |
| trademark damages, 533–534 as value of what was taken, 507–508 | Restatement (Third) of Restitution and Unjust Enrichment, 608, 610, 618 |
| | benefit, 623–626 consequential gains, 623–624 |
| Reasonably equivalent value, in fraudulent transfer claims, 839–842 fair market value, 840–842 | incremental income vs. full-absorption method, 678–679 |
| identifying value, 840 | unjust enrichment defined, 619 |
| measuring reasonable equivalence, 840–842 | Restatement (Third) of the Law Governing Lawyers, 903 |
| Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence | Restatement of Torts, 608 |
| data gathering and analysis, 549 | Restatement of Trusts, 630 |
| defining population, 547 | |
| personnel qualifications and objectivity, 548 | Restatement (Second) of Unfair Competition, 678–679 |
| purpose and design of study, 546 sampling, 547–548 | Restaurant Industry Forecast, 418 |
| use of a control, 549 | Restaurant Industry Operations Report, 415, 418 |
| Regression analysis | Restaurant Performance Index, 418 |
| avoided cost estimation, 236 | Restaurants. See also Eating establishments lost profits analysis |
| cautionary note on, 971–972 | forensic evidence used in restaurant case, 323–325 |
| predicting sales prices of housing, 973–974 | Restitution |
| using to measure damages, 965 | equal to unjust enrichment, 619 |
| Regulation, physician practice noncompete violations and, 360–362 | term of, 609–610, 691–692 |
| Relationship state laws, 455–456 | Restoration of data, 52–53 |
| Relative value unit (RVU), 351, 364 | Return on assets, defining insolvency, 846 |
| Relevant evidence, 27 | Return on total assets (ROA), 850 |
| Reliance damages, 117, 277–278 | Return ratios, 850 |
| Remedy for ESI spoliation, 53–56 | Return to equity holders (ROE), 850 |
| remeas for Eoropoilation, 00-00 | Revenue-based metrics for eating establishment, 414 |
| | |
| | Revenue cycle, 364 |
| | Revenue source analysis in profit apportionment analysis, 584–585 |

Reverse proof, for internal investigation, 793 Reviewed financial statements, 182 Safe harbor rule, historical overview, 47-48 Reviews, for profit apportionment analysis for IP infringement, Safe rate of return, 294 589-590 Saffo, Paul, 245 Rhode Island, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 161 Sales projection method Ride the escalator, damage analysis for franchise litigation, 476 lost revenue estimates, 231-232, 249 strengths and weaknesses of, 231-232 Riggins, John, 432 Sales projections, reliability of data, 181 Right of privacy, 425-426 Sampling, in survey-based research, 547-548 Right of publicity infringement calculating compensatory damages, 431-433 Sampling process to measure losses, 967-970 compared to right of privacy, 426 construction defects, counting individual problems, 967 current state and determining domicile, 427 differences in designs for units in populations, 968 fair market value and, 431-433 double counting of repair costs, 969 forum shopping, 434-435 overestimation problems from high/low defect rates, 968-969 historical perspective, 425-427 subsets of items used for testing, 968 trademark law intersecting with, 434 variability of costs of repair, 970 types of damages available, 427-431 Sampling techniques, for internal investigation, 790-791 Risk-adjusted discount rate, 283, 295-296 attributes sampling, 790-791 cost of capital in determining, 306-308 stratified sampling, 792 reinvestment rate, 309 variable sampling, 791-792 Risk-free discount rate Sanctions cases allowing, 301-304 for ESI spoliation, 68-70 cases requiring, 304-309 for relying on improper data, 188 yield on Treasury securities as, 303-304 for spoliation of evidence, 41-43 Robinson-Putnam Act, 320 for unsupported assumptions, 197-198 Saved costs, damages for breach of contract, 649 Robustness tests for event studies alternate market indexes, 951 Schedule, project in construction claims, 384–388 alternative tests, 956 Schedules of values, 811-812 arithmetic vs continuously compounded returns, 954 Scheduling order, 16-17 autocorrelation, 955-956 estimation windows, 955 Scheindlin, Judge, 869, 871 heteroskedasticity, 956 Scheindlin, Shira A., 62 industry indexes and market bubbles, 951-952 Scienter, 838, 844, 887 multiple indexes, 952-953 outlier analysis, 955 Scrushy, Richard, compensation forfeiture case, 905, 909-911 Rounds, Charles, 690, 696, 720 Sealy case, franchise law and, 446-447 Royalty damages, 506-514 Search, Internet, 562-563 collateral sales, 511 Search engine optimization, 563-565 entire market value rule, 510-511 2nd Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 129-130 established royalties, 506-507 form of reasonable royalty, 509-510 Secret profit, as form of disloyalty, 902 Georgie-Pacific factors, 511–513 Securities and Exchange Commission, unjust enrichment claims, hypothetical negotiation, 508-509 654-660 increase in damage awards, 506 Securities fraud, unjust enrichment remedy, 613 lump-sum royalty, 509 Securities litigation. See Event studies in security litigation post-verdict royalties, 515 qualitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 514 Sedona Principles, 62, 67 quantitative Georgia-Pacific factors, 513-514 Self-dealing, as form of disloyalty, 901 RAND royalties-standard essential patents, 514–515 Seller assurances, 919-920 reasonable royalties, value of what was taken, 507-508 Semistrong form efficiency, 942-943 running royalties, 509–510 Royalty model, for intellectual property damage valuation, 488 Sensitivity, initial allegation response and, 772-773 Rule 30 Notice of Deposition, 78 7th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 132-135 Rule 45 subpoena, 78 Significance, in event studies, 948-949 Rule 436, 455-456 Site traffic source tools, 565 Rules of evidence. See Federal Rules of Evidence 6th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 131-132 Running royalties, 509-510 Skoog, Gary R., 734 Russell indexes, 951 Small Business Job Protection Act, 755

| Snepp, Frank, 660, 706–707 | Standard essential patents, 514–515 |
|---|--|
| Social business, 565 | Standard t-test, 956 |
| Social media analysis for damage calculations, 559–575 | Stark laws, 364 |
| key concepts | State courts |
| Internet analytics used, 565–566 | expert gatekeeping opinions, 140–168 |
| Internet use statistics, 561–562 | jurisdiction of, 13 |
| optimization, 563–565 | lawsuit initiation in, 14–15 |
| search, 562–563 social media platforms, 565 | organization of, 14 |
| overview, 561, 574–575 | Statement against interest, 30–31 |
| valuation and damage analyses | Statistical analysis for damage calculation, 963–980 |
| identifying IP misuse, 566–568 | questions of |
| Internet damages matrix, 570 | how different, 964–965 |
| supplementing accepted valuation methods, 569–570 | how many, 965–966 |
| to support lost profits, 571 | how much, 964 |
| using analytics to support celebrity endorsement relief from pay-per-click claim, 572–573 | scenarios for |
| using Google analytics to defend against celebrity name/ | loss due to business interruption, 978–980 observational data for, 971–978 |
| likeness, 571–572 | complications in computations, 977–978 |
| using location-specific search statistics to determine confusion | computation of damages, 976–977 |
| in trademark infringement claim, 573–574 | determination of who is harmed and when, 975-976 |
| Solvency ratios, 848–849 | diminution of value after negative event, 972 |
| South Carolina, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 161–162 | measurement of alleged diminution and corresponding damages, 973–978 |
| South Dakota, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 162 | sampling process to measure losses, 967–970 |
| S&P 500 index, robustness test and, 951 | construction defects, counting individual problems, 967 |
| Special damages | differences in designs for units in populations, 968 |
| foreseeability and, 213 | double counting of repair costs, 969 overestimation problems from high/low defect rates, |
| personal injury and wrongful death, 727 | 968–969 |
| Specific restitution, 865 | subsets of items used for testing, 968 |
| Specified-peril policy, 808 | variability of costs of repair, 970 |
| Specified property, 804–805 | Statutory jurisdiction, of unjust enrichment, 619-620 |
| Spoliation of evidence, 33-57 | Stefanchik, John, 711 |
| defined, 35, 36 | Stolen property, counter-restitution and, 633 |
| elements of | Stored Communications Act, 49 |
| bad faith, 40–41 | Story, Judge, 93, 98, 894 |
| culpability of spoliator, 40–41 destruction, alteration or suppression, 38 | |
| duty to preserve, 39–40 | Stratified sampling, 792 |
| evidence existence, 37–38 | Stream of events, 971 |
| prejudice resulting from, 38–39 | Strong form efficiency, 942 |
| relevant evidence, 38 | Subjectivity, of event studies, 958-959 |
| ESI related issues, 46–57 | Subledger review, for internal investigation, 795 |
| concerns/obligations of counsel, 56–57 | Sublimits |
| custody and control issues of, 49 | by peril, 812 |
| duty to preserve and written litigation hold, 49–52 failure to preserve evidence, 52 | by property, 811–812 |
| reasonable requirement, 48 | Sub rosa distributions of profit, 626 |
| recommendations, 57 | • |
| restoration of data, 52–53 | Subsampling plan, 968 |
| safe harbor rule, 47–48 | Substitute products, patent infringement cases, 499 |
| expert-related evidence, 43–46 | Surety bonds, 395 |
| expert's file, 44–46 | Surveillance, physical and electronic surveillance for internal |
| of physical evidence, 43–44 | investigation, 768, 786 |
| trial-preparation protection, 45 overview of, 35–36 | Survey-based research in damage estimation, 545-557 |
| purpose of doctrine, 37 | case studies, 551–555 |
| sanctions for, 41–43 | design patent infringement, 554–555 |
| Stable growth period, factors in, 256 | functional patent infringement, 552–554 |
| - | likelihood of confusion, 551–552 federal judiciary guidelines |
| Stacked remedies, for breach of fiduciary duty, 883–884, 915–916 | admissibility factors, 546 |
| Standard costs, avoided cost estimation, 236 | data gathering and analysis, 549 |

| defining population, 547 | Tort damages |
|--|---|
| Manual for Complex Litigation, 546 | employment cases, 748 |
| personnel qualifications and objectivity, 548 | in post-acquisition disputes, 929 |
| purpose and design of study, 546 | Tortious interference, 650 |
| Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence, 546–549 | lost profit claims, 208 |
| sampling, 547–548 | Torts |
| use of a control, 549 | damage claims, 277–278 |
| validity factors, 546 impact of <i>Daubert</i> , 545 | damages period determination, 223-224 |
| industry guidelines | lost profit claims, 207–208 |
| Advertising Research Foundation (ARF), 551 | Total cost pricing method, for construction claims, 380–381 |
| American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR), 550 | Total equity principle, 698 |
| Council of American Survey Research Organizations | Total offset method, 736 |
| (CASRO), 550 | Trade association data, as evidence in lost profit analyses, 269–270 |
| overview, 545 | Trademark damages, 531–539 |
| special concerns for | calculating damages, 535–539 |
| conjoint analysis, 555–556 external validity, 556 | defendant's profits in, 534–535 |
| pretests, 555 | plaintiff's actual damages, 531–532 |
| use of prior survey research in litigation, 556–557 | name recognition that increases product's prices, 532 |
| validation, 555 | name recognition that increases sales volume, 531 saved time and costs, 532 |
| Surveys, for profit apportionment analysis for IP infringement, 589–590 | summary of, 539 |
| Survival rates, adjusting for new businesses and, 337–338 | types of actual damages, 532–534 lost profits, 532–533 |
| | reasonable royalty, 533–534 |
| Т | using location-specific search statistics to determine confusion in |
| Taverns. See Eating establishments lost profits analysis | trademark infringement claim, 573–574 |
| Taxes | Trademark infringement |
| economic damages in employment litigation, 755 | identifying IP misuse, 566–568 |
| employment case damages, 748–750 | lost profit claims, 208–210 |
| income tax effect in lost business value vs. lost profit claims, | Trademark law, right of publicity infringement intersecting with, 434 |
| 280–281 | Trade secret damages, 519–527 |
| personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 732–733 | available damage remedies under DTSA and UTSA, 522–527 |
| Tax fraud, 765 | actual loss damages, loss profits, 523–524 |
| Tax shelter fraud, 765 | attorney's fees, 527 |
| Technical component (TC), 364 | exemplary damages, 526–527 |
| Technology, patented technology in patent infringement damages, | reasonable royalty damages, 525–526 |
| 494–495 | unjust enrichment damages, 524–525 |
| Technology-assisted review (TAR), 69-70 | introduction, 519–520 state and federal law governing misappropriations, 520–522 |
| Tennessee, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 163 | Trading delays, 958 |
| 10th Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 137 | Trading halts, 958 |
| Terminable contracts, duration of loss period assumptions, 195–197 | Transaction costs, counter-restitution, 634 |
| Terminal value calculation, 282 | Transaction process overview, 919–928 |
| Termination | deal negotiation, 919–922 |
| in breach of contract, 745–746 | determining purchase price, 921–922 |
| construction claim, 393–395 | purchase price valuation, 921–922 |
| for convenience clause, 373, 374 | disputes over post-closing adjustments |
| Testimony | earnout disputes over measurement of performance, 925–926 |
| computer forensic expert on ESI, 69–70 | over post-closing accounting methodologies, 926–927 |
| expert testimony, professional standards for experts, 7–10 | over post-closing operation of business, 927–928 |
| Texas, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 163–164 | working capital disputes, 924–925 |
| 3rd Circuit, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 130 | post-closing adjustment to purchase price, 922–924 |
| 30(b)(6) deposition, 19 | earnout payment, 922–924 |
| | working capital adjustments, 922 |
| Time element provision, in business interruption insurance coverage, 800–802 | Treble damages, franchise litigation, 451 |
| Times interest earned, 849 | Trespass, willful or intentional to timber/mineral detachable, 633 |
| | gross disgorgement, 704 |
| Time value of money, damage measurement and, 291 | Trial depositions, 79 |
| | |

| Trial system | Unjust enrichment remedy |
|---|--|
| discovery, 17–24 | counter-restitution in, 631-636, 687-721 |
| discovery depositions used in, 79 | defendant's overhead and |
| lawsuit initiation, 14–17 | Americanization of profit origin, 640–648 |
| privilege, 21 | apportionment, 646–648 |
| rules of evidence, 27–31 | but-for analysis, 643–646 |
| trial, 24–26 | conclusions, 682–684 |
| appeal, 26 | defendant as quasi-trustee origin, 629–640 |
| process overview, 24–26 rules and laws for, 26 | anti-netting rule, 638–640 counter-restitution, 631–636 |
| | infringing expenses, 636–638 |
| Triggers of coverage, business interruption | quasi-trustee, 629–630 |
| acts of civil authorities, 807–808 | full-absorption approach of unjust enrichment, 614–615 |
| covered property, 804–807 | incremental vs. full-absorption, 678–682 |
| direct physical damage, 802–804 | analysis, 680–681 |
| interruption, 800–802 | discretion, 682 |
| time element provision, 800–802 | Restatements, 678–679 |
| 20 Group report, 408 | introduction, 613–615 |
| Twitter, 565 | relevance |
| Two-supplier market, patent infringement cases, 497 | legal relevance, 616–618 |
| | Willie Sutton theory, 616 |
| Tyco International Ltd., 877–879 | remedy measurement relate areas of law, 648-660 |
| | contract breach measures, 649–650 |
| U | emergency assistance standard, 651–652 |
| Unallocated overhead, physician practice and noncompete violations, | federal agency claims, 652–660 |
| 356–357 | patent damages, 650–651 |
| Unclean hands, 457 | 2nd Circuit opinions |
| | faulty baseline, 661–666 |
| Undercover operations, for internal investigation, 768 | jurisprudence by adjective, 666–669 |
| Unfair competition, lost profit claims, 207–208 | substance over form, 618, 623 |
| Uniform Trade Secrets Act (UTSA) | survey by circuit, 671–677 |
| available damage remedies under, 522–527 | terms for |
| overview of, 520–522 | attributable, 622–623 benefit, 623–626 |
| United States Life Tables, 737 | fixed costs, 620–622 |
| | profit, 623–627 |
| United States Treasury rates, 736 | unjust enrichment, 619–620 |
| United Way, 867–871 | willfulness and overhead, 669–671 |
| Universe, defining, in survey-based research, 547 | Unreasonably small capital, 851–852 |
| Unjust enrichment | Update impact method, 388 |
| compensation forfeiture, 882–883 | |
| equal to restitution, 619 | Upjohn warning, 768, 783 |
| sources of jurisdiction, 619–620 | Use value of asset, as measure of compensation, 116 |
| Unjust enrichment claims, 224, 344 | Utah, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 164 |
| franchise litigation, 456–457 | |
| Internet analytics to support, 570–571 | V |
| remedies in equity, 603–610 | V |
| advantages, 603–604 | Validation, in survey-based research, 555 |
| basis of, 605–607 | Validity |
| but-for profit causation and, 605–606 | survey-based research, 546 |
| conclusion, 610 | in survey-based research, 556 |
| Daubert challenge process, 607 | Valuation information request (VIR) checklist, eating establishments |
| decline in familiarity, 609–610 | lost profits analysis, 420–422 |
| disadvantages, 604 discretion and limited jurisdiction, 607–609 | Value/valuation |
| opportunity cost adjustment and, 606 | definition of, in loss of business value claim, 276–277 |
| overview, 603 | fair market value standard, 276–277 |
| variation by jurisdiction, 607 | identifying in reasonable equivalent value, 840 |
| trademark damages, 536 | using Internet or social media data for, 569–570 |
| trade secret misappropriation, 524–525 | Variable cost allocation, 371–372 |
| Unjust enrichment in equity, defined, 613, 619–620 | unjust enrichment claim, 621–622 |
| , | Variable costs |
| | avoided cost estimation, 235–236 |
| | construction projects and, 378 |

| Variable sampling, 791–792 | Worklife expectancy personal injury and wrongful death economic damages, 734 tables for, 734 |
|---|---|
| Venture-backed companies (VBCs) characteristics of, 343–345 | |
| Internet bubble and, 344, 345 | Work plan, internal investigation, 775–776 |
| proving lost profit damages, 343–345 | Wrongdoer rule, 94, 106–111, 278 risk-free discount rate and, 303 |
| Vermont, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 165 | |
| Veterans Administration BCA (VABCA), 385 | Wrongful death, economic damages from, 727–742 components of economic damage analysis base earnings, 730–731 earnings growth rate, 731–732 fringe benefits, 733–734 |
| Virginia, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 165 | |
| Vocational experts, personal injury economic damages, 739 | |
| W | lost earnings categories, 730 |
| | mitigating earnings, 734–735 |
| Waiting period, in period of restoration, 815 | personal consumption, 735 |
| Warren, Samuel D., 425–426 | tax rate, 732–733 |
| Washington, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 166 | work-life expectancy, 734 conclusion, 740 |
| Washington's Personality Rights Act (WPRA), 435 | discount methods, 736–737 |
| Weak form efficiency, 942 | discount rates, 736 |
| Weighted average cost of capital (WACC), 284 | documentation, gathering information, 728-729 |
| Weighted averages, of growth rate, 251 | funeral expenses, 739 introduction, 727–728 medical expenses, 737 references, 740–741 |
| West Virgina, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 166–167 | |
| White-collar crimes, categories of, and statutes pertaining to, 765 | |
| Whole damages | standards and burdens, 728 |
| loss of new business, 345–346 new businesses, 334 | Wyoming, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 168 |
| Wiese, Judge, 307 | Υ |
| Willfulness of defendant, offset credit for overhead, 669–671 | Yardstick method |
| Williams, John Burr, 485 | advantages/disadvantages of, 248 |
| Williston, Professor, 102 | apparel manufacturing case and forensic evidence, 326 |
| Wisconsin, expert gatekeeping opinions of, 167–168 | comparability and choosing guideline company, 227–229 example of, 248–249 |
| Witnesses | lost revenue estimates, 227–231, 247–249 |
| deposition and conduct during, 20-21 | possible benchmarks for, 247 |
| friendly or hostile, 25 who must provide written report, 22 | for proving lost profits, reasonable certainty requirement and, 96–97 |
| Working capital | YouTube, 565 |
| adjustments to purchase price characteristics of, 922 | analyzing video views, 574 |
| disputes over, 924–925 lost profits impairment on, 395–396 | Z |
| Worklife Estimator: Effects of Page and Education, 724 | Zone of interest test, 449 |

Index of Cases in Volume One

Note: The list of cases in this index exceeds the number of cases that are covered in the second volume of this guide. The cases that are covered in the second volume of this guide are pared down to include those that are more recent and/or relevant to the subject matter covered throughout the guide.

Α

ADC Fairways Corp v. Johnmark Construction, 165

Advanced Bodycare Solutions, LLC v. Thione International, Inc., 231

AGF v. Great Lakes Heat Treating, 159

Alaska v. Coon, 141

Albert v. Warner-Lambert Co., 127

Allen-Myland, Inc. v. International Business Machines Corp., 670

Alphamed Pharmaceuticals Corp. v. Arriva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 310

Ambrosini v. Labarraque, 81

American Aerial Services, Inc., v. Terex USA, LLC, 127, 185

American Federal Bank, FSB v. United States, 307-308

American Int'l Group, Inc. Sec. Litigation, In re, 952

American List Corp. v. U.S. News & World Report, Inc., 303

American Southern Ins. Co. v. Goldstein, 146

American Suzuki Motor Corp., 403

Ancar, et al. v. Brown, et al., 739

Appeal of Eichleay Corp, 389

Appeal of Grumman Aerospace Corp., 381-382

Aramony v. United Way of America, 867, 869, 892-893, 916

Aramony v. United Way Replacement Benefit Plan, 916

Ashland Pipeline Co. v. Indiana Bell Telephone Co., 148

Astra USA, Inc. v. Bildman, 883, 916

ATA Airlines v. Federal Express Corp., 179–180

ATM Exch., Inc. v. Visa Int'l Serv. Ass'n., 341

В

Baldwin Cooke Co. v. Keith Clark, Inc., 617

Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi, Ltd. v. Malhotra, 906

Barto v. Shore Construction, LLC, et al., 734

Basic Inc. v. Levinson, 940, 942, 943

Beiner Enters., Inc. v. Adam Caldwell, Inc., 310

Bell Atlantic Network Services v. PM Video Corp., 156-157

Belleville Toyota, Inc. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc., 403

Bennett v. Sprint Nextel Corp., In re, 959

Bergstrom v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 616, 617

Bero Motors, Inc. v. General Motors Corporation, 269, 403

Berry v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 901

Best Payphones, Inc. v. City of New York, 53

BGB Pet Supply, Inc. v. Nutro Products, Inc., 302

BIC Leisure Prods., Inc. v. Windsurfing Int'l, Inc., 500

Bigelow v. RKO Radio Pictures, Inc., 198

Big O Tire Dealers v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co., 433

Blinderman Construction Co. v. United States, 386

Blythe v. Bell, 310

BMW of N. Am., Inc. v Gore, 462

Borden v. Howard Trucking Co., 150

Bourns, Inc. v. Raychem Corp., 525

Brennan's Inc., et al. v. Dickie Brennan & Company, Inc., 419

Brooks v. Conston, 636

Bundles v. Baker, 842

Bunting v. Jamieson, 168

Burger King Corp. v. Barnes, 310

Burger King Corp. v. Pilgrim's Pride Corp., 617

Burrow v. Arce, 913, 914-915

Business Machines Sales and Services, Inc. v. Murphy, 153

Busy Bee, Inc. v. Corestates Bank, N.A., 160

С

CADCO Inc. v. Fleetwood Enterprises, 320

Cadco v. Fleetwood Enterprises, 154

Callaghan v. Myers, 625, 637, 701

Callery v. United States Insurance Co., 715

Camar Corp. v. Preston Trucking Co., Inc., 268

Cambridge Plating Company v. Napco, Inc., 265

Cameco, Inc. v. Gedicke, 908

Cammer v. Bloom, 943, 944, 950, 959, 960

Cardinal Consulting v. Circo Resorts, 152–153

Carter Products, Inc. v. Colgate-Palmolive Co., 674

Casco v. John Deere, 128

Cashman v. Allied Products Corp., 135

Catoe v. Helms Construction & Concrete Co., 158

Cayuga Indian Nation v. Pataki, 192

C.B.C. Enterprises, Inc. v. United States, 389

Celebrity Cruises, Inc. v. Essef Corp., 129, 248, 311

Cell, Inc. v. Ranson Investors, 167

Century Distilling Co.v. Continental Drilling Corp, 673, 674

CFTC v. American Metals Exchange Corp.4, 674

CFTC v. Co Petro Mktg. Group, Inc., 658

Chaney & James Construction, 385

Charles R. Combs Trucking, Inc. v. Int'l Harvester Co., 159

Chemipal, Inc. v. Slim-Fast Nutritional Foods International, Inc., 174

Cher v. Forum Int'l, Ltd., 430, 433

Children's Broadcast Corp. v. Walt Disney Co., 136

Christensen v. Nat'l Brake & Elec. Co., 614, 617, 639-640, 646, 672, 673, 681

Christoff v. Nestlé, 432

Chung v. Kaonohi Center Co., 146

CIT Group/Business Credit, Inc. v. Graco Fishing & Rental Tools, Inc., 185 City Line Joint Venture v. United States, 307 Eastern Auto Distributors, Inc. v. Peugeot Motors of America, Inc., 200 City Welding v. Gidley-Eschenheimer, 151-152 eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, 515 Claar v. Burlington N.R.R., 81 Eckard Brandes, Inc. v. Riley, 900 Clark v. Celeb Pub., Inc., 430-431 Edell v Edell, 321 Client Funding Solutions Corp. v. Crim, 893 Edgewater Services, Inc. v. Epic Logistics, Inc., 158 Club Car, Inc. v. Club Car (Quebec) Import, Inc., 138 Edwards v. Hauff, 636 Cobalt Operating, LLC v. James Crystal Enterprises, LLC, 929 E.E. Wooden-Ware Co. v. United States, 701 Cole v. Homier Distributing Co., Inc., 135-136 E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. C.R. Robinson, 163 El Aquila Food Products v. Gruma Corp., 265 Collins Holding Corp. v. Landrum, 162 Commonwealth v. Lanigan, 151 Ellipsis, Inc. v. The Color Works, Inc., 132 Contemporary Mission, Inc. v. Famous Music Corp., 112-113 Energy Capital Corp. v. United States, 283, 304 Coonis v. Rogers, 154 Engineered Products Co. v. Donaldson Co., Inc., 135 Cooper v. Ashley Commc'ns, Inc., 841 Enstar Group, Inc. v. Grassgreen, 883, 916 Cooper v. Travelers Indemnity Co. of Illinois, 184 E. Qualcom v. Global Commerce, 144 Cortes v. 3A N. Park Ave Rest Corp., 419 Equity Analytics, LLC v. Lundin, 62 Crawford v. Washington, 67 ERI Consulting Engineers, Inc. v. Swinnea, 912-913 Credit Managers Ass'n of Southern Cal. v. Fed. Co., 851-852 Ericsson Inc. v. D-Link Systems, Inc., et al., 514-515 Creditors' Comm. of Jumer's Castle Lodge, Inc. v. Jumer, 842 Ericsson v. Harris, 269 Crystal Semiconductor Corp. v. TriTech Microelectronics Internat'l, Inc., 267, EX Dock, Inc. v. Shafer Systems, Inc., 135 Experience Hendrix L.L.C. v. Hendrixlicensing.com Ltd., 434-435 Culver v. Slater Boat Company, 737, 740 D Fabrication & Truck Equipment, Inc. v. Powell, 403 Daimler Chrysler Motors Co. vs. Manuel, 403 Fail-Safe, L.L.C. v. A.O. Smith Corp., 305, 308 Dairy Queen, Inc. v. Wood, 640 Fairmont Supply Company v. Hooks Industrial, Inc., 305 Da Silva Moore v. Publicis Groupe, 62, 70 Farm Bureau Ins. Co. v. Foote, 141 Data General Corp. v. Grumman Sys., 617 Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp. (Freddie Mac) Securities Opinion Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 62, 69, 81-82, 83, 121, 171, 172, Litigation, In re, 954, 959 177, 178, 545, 607 Federal Trade Commission v. Febre, 716 Davis v. Forest River, Inc., 403 Federal Trade Commission v. Figgie International, Inc., 712 De Jager Construction, Inc. v. Schleininger, 198 Federal Trade Commission v. Stefanchik, 711-712, 719 Delaney & Co. v. City of Bozeman, 155 Fed. Trade Comm'n v. H.N. Singer, Inc., 713 Delores Skinner and Delvia Skinner v. Robert Thomas, 419 Fed. Trade Comm'n v. Neovi, Inc., 718 Denny Construction, Inc. v. City and County of Denver, 396 Feesers Inc. v. Michael Foods Inc., 320 DePalma v. Westland Software House, 280 Ferriel v. Podgursky, 149 Dering v. Service Experts Alliance LLC, 187 Fidelity-Phenix Fire Ins. Co. v. Benedict Coal Corp., 818 Dernick Resources, Inc. v. Wilstein, 913 Fifth Third Bank of Western Ohio v. United States, 285 Design Strategy, Inc. v. Davis, 906 Fishman v. Estate of Wirtz, 305-306 Diabetes Centers of America, Inc. v. Healthpia America, Inc., 131 FiTeq Inc. v. Venture Corp., 53 Dictiomatic, Inc. v. U.S. Fidelity & Guar. Co., 831 Flood Mobile Homes, Inc. v. Liberty Homes, Inc., 403 Douglas Dynamics LLC v. Buyers Products Co., 509 Flourine on Call, Ltd. v. Fluorogas Ltd., 28647 Dowd & Dowd, Ltd. v. Gleason, 916 Flying J, Inc. v. Dept. of Trans., 228-229 DSU Medical Corp. v. JMS Co., Ltd., 136 FMS, Inc. v. Volvo Construction Equipment North America, Inc., 403 DSU Medical Corp. v. Medisystems Corporation, 140 Food Lion, Inc. v. Capital Cities, 897 Duane Reade, Inc. v. St. Paul Fire and Marine Ins. Co., 816 Fortress Systems, L.L.C. v. Bank of the West, 135 Duplate Corp. v. Triplex Safety Glass Co., 666 Foti Fuels, Inc. v. Kurrle Corp., 165 Duro Co. (of Ohio) v. Duro Co. (of New Jersey), 617 Franconia Associates v. United States, 305

Durrett v. Washington Nat'l Ins. Co., 842

Frank Music Corp. v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc., 645, 669, 670, 677

Freesen, Inc. v. Boart Longyear Co., 133

F.T.C. v. First Universal Lending, LLC, 49

F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Contemporary Arts, Inc., 678

G

Gallagher v. Southern Source Packaging, LLC, 130-131

Garcia v. Llerena, 144

Garretson v. Clark, 627, 646, 647, 891

Gary's Implement, Inc. v. Bridgeport Tractor Parts, 228

Gemini Networks v. Nofs, 904

General Dynafab, Inc. v. Chelsea Industries, Inc., 161

 $General\ Electric\ Co.\ v.\ Joiner,\ 81,\ 121-122$

General Ins. Co. v. Pathfinder Petroleum Co., 818

Genetically Modified Rice Litigation, In re, 179

George Haiss Manufacturing Co. v. Link Belt Co., 617, 673

Georgia-Pacific Corp. v. United States Plywood Corp., 488, 508, 526

Gesoff v. IIC Industries Inc., 255

Given v. Field, 167

Glattly v. Air Starter Components, Inc., 234

GN Netcom, Inc. v. Plantronics, 52-53, 56

Goldman Sachs Group Inc, In re, 941

Goodstein Construction Corp. v. City of New York, 278

Gordon Form Lathe Co. v. Ford Motor Co., 676

Goya De Puerto Rico Inc. v. Rowland Coffee Roasters, 128

Grain Processing Corp. v. American Maize, 501

Great-West Life & Annuity Ins. Co. v. Knudson, 708

Green v. Polyester Fibers, LLC., 727

Groupon Securities Litigation, In ew, 959

Grupo Mexicano de Desarollo v. Alliance Bond Fund, Inc., 708, 709, 713

G.T. Laboratories, Inc. v. The Cooper Companies, Inc., 134

Guidance Endodontics, LLC v. Dentsply Int'l, Inc., 137

н

Hadley v. Baxendale, 91-92, 116-117, 213

Haelean Laboratories, Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc., 426

Haemonetics Corp. v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 127-128

Haff v. Augeson, 336

Haiss Mfg. Co. v. Link-Belt Co., 664

Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc. (Halliburton II), 939, 940, 941, 942, 960

Hallmark v. Eldridge, 155–156

Hamill America, Inc. v. G.F.I., 623, 667, 669, 679, 702

Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Wolf Bros. & Co., 682

Hamilton Shoe and Sheldon, 676

Hanover Shoe, Inc. v. United Shoe Machinery Corp., 280

Hardman Trucking v. Poling Trucking, 167

Harper House, Inc. v. Thomas Nelson, Inc., 670

Harris Wayside Furniture v. Idearc Media, 128

HealthSouth Corp. Securities Litigation, In re, 959

Henry Hanger & Display Fixture Corp. of America v. Sel-O-Rak Corp., 675

Holt Atherton Industries, Inc. v. Heine, 106, 244

Home Placement Service v. The Providence Journal Co., 270

Honeywell International, Inc. v. Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., 231, 310

Hopper v. All Pet, 168

Horne v. World Publications, Inc. et al., 344-345

Hsueh v. New York State Dep't of Fin. Servs., 55

HTS, Inc. v. Boley, 916

Hubbard v. BankAtlantic Bankcorp, Inc., 953

Hynix Semiconductor Inc. v. Rambus, Inc., 55

I.B. of T. Grocery & Food Emps. Welfare Fund v. Regions Fin. Corp., 943

ICD Publ'ns., Inc. v. Gittlitz, 916

Indiana & Michigan Electric Company v. Terre Haute Industries, Inc., 148

Interim Healthcare, Inc. v. Spherion Corporation, 929

In the Matter of OSF Healthcare System v. Rockford Health System, 51

Irvine v. Murad Skin Research Laboratories, 127

Isaksen v. Vermont Castings, Inc., 246

J

James v. MacDonald, 264

Jarvis v. A & M Records, 667

J&B Entertainment v. City of Jackson, Miss., 372–373305

Jim's Hot Shot v. Continental Western, 158

Johnson v. Alaska State Dept. of Fish & Game, 141

Jonatzke, In re, 310

Jose M. Terrones, et al. v. Abel J. Tapia, P.E., 419

K

Kairos Scientific, Inc. v. Fish & Richardson, P.C., 305

Kamar Int'l, Inc. v. Russ Berrie & Co., 669-670, 677

KAR Printing, Inc. v. Pierce, 145-146

Kay v. First Continental Trading, Inc., 311-312

Kenford Co. v. County of Erie, 113, 157

Kipperman v. Onex Corporation, 139

Klapmeier v. Cirrus Indus., Inc., 310

Kleen Products LLC v. Packaging Corp. of Am., 70

Knox v. Taylor, 309

Konica Minolta Bus. Solutions, U.S.A., Inc. v. Lowery Corp., 53

Krogman v. Sterritt, 943, 9959

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 81, 82, 122

L

Lambert, In re, 305

Lamoureux v. Anazaohealth Corp., 129

Larsen v. Walton Plywood Co., 166

Larson Co. v. Wrigley Co., 676

Leisure Time Entertainment v. Global Videos, Inc., 200

Lestigao v. M. R. Mansfield Realty, 635

Levin Bros. v. Davis Mfg. Co., 623, 664, 670, 674, 676

Levy v. Markal Sales Corp., 916

Lewis Jorge Construction Management, Inc. v. Pomona Unified School District, 395–396

Lexmark Intern., Inc. v Static Control Component, Inc., 449

Libman Co. v. Vining Indus., 617

Lifewise Master Funding v. Telebank, 179

Lindevig v. Dairy Equipment Co., 167-168

Linton v. Shell Oil Company, et al., 739

Lithuanian Commerce Corp. v. Sara Lee Hosiery, 192

Little Rock Wastewater Utility v. Larry Moyer Trucking, 142

Living Color Enters. v. New Era Aquaculture Ltd., 52, 53

Local 703 v. Regions Financial Corp., 941, 943

Loeffel Steel Products, Inc. v. Delta Brands, Inc., 133, 134, 270

L. P. Larson, Jr., Co. v. Wm. Wrigley, Jr., Co., 666, 671

Lucarell v. Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co., 159

M

Macbeth-Evans Glass Co. v. L. E. Smith, 617

Madrid v. Lawyers Title Ins. Co., 842

Maiz v. Virani, 137-138

Maltina Corp. v. Cawy Bottling Co., 673, 677, 679, 680-681

Mann v. United States, 305

Manufacturers Technologies, Inc. v. Cams, Inc., 670

Marriage of Pagano, In re, 908

Marten Transp., Ltd. v. Plattform Advers., Inc., 50

Marzoll v. Marine Harvest US, Inc., 81

Matrix Group Ltd., Inc. v. Rawlings Sporting Goods Co., 282

Matsushita Elec. Corp. v. Sonus Corp., 151

Matthew Enter. v. Chrysler Grp. LLC, 52, 54

Max Sugarman Funeral Home, Inc. v. A.D.B. Investors, 838

McCullough v. Scarbrough, Medlin & Associates., Inc., 913

McDaniel v. CSX Transportation, Inc., 163

Meineke Car Care Centers, Inc. v RLB Holdings, LLC., 447-448

Mellon Bank, N.A. v. Metro Commc'ns, Inc., 842

Mentor Graphics Corporation v. Eve-USE, Inc. et al., 582

Metro Tech v. TUV Rheinland, 128

M.G. Bancorporation, Inc. v. Le Beau, 143

M&G Polymers, USA, LLC v. Carestream Health, Inc., 185, 309

Micron Technology, Inc. v. Rambus, Inc., 55

Miller v. Bike Athletic Co., 159

Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering, Inc., 504

Mindgames, Inc. v. Western Publishing Co., 99, 343

Minemyer v. B-Roc Representatives, Inc., 134–135

Mississippi Transport Commission v. McLemore, 153

Mitchell v. Robert DeMario Jewelry, Inc., 652, 708, 709

Monessen Southwestern Railway Co. v. Morgan, 737

Montage Group Ltd. v. Athle-Tech Computer Systems, Inc., 267

Moore v. Ashland Chemical, Inc., 82

Mowry v. Whitney, 641, 642, 692-693

Multimatic, Inc. v. Faurecia Interior Systems USA, Inc., 131-132

Multimedia Patent Trust v. Apple, Inc., 510-511

MyGallons LLC v. U.S. Bankcorp, 337

N

National Envelope Corp. v. American Pad & Paper Co. of Delaware, Inc., 129

National Railroad Passenger Corp. v. Veolia Transportation Services, 889

Navigato v. SJ Restaurants, LLC, 419

NCRIC, Inc. v. Columbia Hosp. v. Women Med. Ctr., Inc., 310

Nebraska Nutrients, Inc. v. Shepherd, 155

Nebraska Plastics, Inc. v. Holland Colors Americas, Inc., 319

Nebula Glass International, Inc. v Reichold, Inc., 262, 263

New England Dairies v. Dairy Mart, 129-130

Nike, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 647, 669, 678, 681

Northern Helex Co. v. United States, 304

Novatel Wireless Securities Litigation, In re, 948

0

Oakland California Towel Co. v. Sivils, 279

O'Berry v. Turner, 52

Olympia Equipment Leasing Co. v. Western Union Telegraph Co., 124

Omnicom Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 958

Oslon v. Sax, 47

Otis v. Doctor's Associates, Inc., 134

Ρ

Panduit Corp. v. Stahlin Bros. Fibre Works, Inc., 496

Parlour Enterprises Inc. v. The Kirin Group, 269

Pavesich v. New England Life Insurance Co., 426

Penn Mart Supermarkets, Inc. v. New Castle Shopping, LLC, 265, 266

Pennzoil v. Texaco, 93

Pension Committee of the University of Montreal Pension Plan v. Banc of America Securities., 51, 54–55, 56

People v. Kelly, 142

Pepe & Hazard v. Jones, 143

Petrobras Securities, In re, 941, 944

Phansalkar v. Andersen Weinroth & Co., 904-905

Pharmanetics, Inc. v. Aventis Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 130

Pharmathene, Inc. v. SIGA Technologies, Inc., 308-309

Phillip M. Adams & Associates, LLC v. Dell, Inc., 47

Platypus Wear, Inc. v. Clarke Modet & Co., 138, 184-185

Plumbers & Pipefitters, Nat. Pension Fund v. Burns, 955, 959, 960

Polaroid Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co., 280

Polo Fashions, Inc. v. Craftex, Inc., 674

Porter v. Warner Holding Co., 628-629, 707, 709, 718

Postal Instant Press v. Sealy, 446-447

Progressive Casualty v. All Care, 153

Propellex Corp. v. Brownlee, 381

PSKS, Inc. v. Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc., 282

Purina Mills, LLC v. Less, 302, 303-304 SEC v. Commonwealth Chem. Sec., Inc., 716 Purolator Prod., Inc. v. Torite Indus., Inc., 916 SEC v. First City Fin. Corp., 658-659 SEC v. First Pac. Bancorp, 658 SEC v. Global Express Capital Real Estate, 658 SEC v. Great Lakes Equities Co., 654 Q Sales and Leasing, LLC v. Quilt Protection, Inc., 187 SEC v. Hughes Capital Corp., 656-657, 658 SEC v. JT Wallenbrock & Associates, 699, 718 SEC v. Poirier, 658 Radisson Hotels Int'l, Inc. v. Majestic Towers, Inc., 447, 448 RAF Enterprises LLC, et al. v. Trident LLC, et al., 419 SEC v. Texas Gulf Sulphur Co., 708, 710, 715 SEC v. Thomas James Associates, 657 R & A Inc. v. Kozy Korner, 144 SEC v. United Energy Partners, Inc., 658 Reading Radio, Inc. v. Fink, 160 SEC v. World Gambling Corp., 716 Rebelwood Apartments RP, LP, et al. v. English, et al., 734, 740 Segal v. Rochelle, 840 Recreational Data Services, Inc. v. Trimble Navigation, Ltd., 342 Sereboff v. Mid Atlantic Medical Services, Inc., 708 Reeder-Simco GMC, Inc. v. Volvo GM Heavy Truck Corp., 403 Servidone Constr. Corp. v. United States, 380 Reed v. Maryland, 151 Sheehan v. Daily Racing Form, Inc., 82 Reinforced Molding Corp. v. General Electric Co., 617 Sheldon v. Metro-Goldwyn Pictures Corp., 615, 642, 661, 666-667, 668, 669, REMEC Incorporated Securities Litigation, In re, 953 678, 679, 682, 683, 695, 700, 702, 703 Resenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. v. Baxter, 136 Sherf v. Antoniak, 136 R.F.M.A.S., Inc. v. Mimi So, 310 SIHLE v. Right Way Hauling, 145 Rimkus Consulting Group, Inc. v. Cammarata, 50, 55 Snepp v. United States, 905, 912 RMD v. Nitto Americas, 310 Southern National Corp. v. United States, 265 Roberson v. Rochester Folding Box Co., 426 Southern Pacific Communications Co. v. American Telephone & Telegraph Co., Rockefeller v. Grabow, 147 Rolls-Royce PLC v. United Technologies, 319–320 Spencer v. Commonwealth, 165 Root v. Railway Co., 707 State v. Brown, 159-160 Rossi v. Standard Roofing, Inc., 189 State v. Crosby, 164 Roulo v. Russ Berrie & Co., 617 State v. Jones, 161-162 Rowe v. DPI, 320-321 State v. Moore, 154 Russell v. Allianze Life Insurance Co. of North America, 321 State v. O'Key, 160 State v. Porter, 143 S Stensvad v. Miners & Merchants Bank, 154-155 Saden v. Smith, 913 Sterling v. Velsicol Chem. Corp., 82 Sammons v. Colonial Press, Inc., 617, 671 Stevens v. Gladding, 628, 652-653, 708 Sargon Enterprises, Inc. (Sargon) v. University of Southern California, 142, St. Jude Medical Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 941 249-250, 333 Story Parchment Co. v. Paterson Parchment Paper Co., et al., 108, 278 Sargon Enters., Inc. v. University of S. Cal., 233 Strougo v. Barclays Bank PLC, 941 SAS Inst. Inc. v. World Programming Ltd., 310 Structural Polymer Group, Ltd v. Zoltek Corp., 320 Scenicland v. St. Francis Medical Center, 150 Sunnyland Farms, Inc. v. Central New Mexico Electric Cooperative, Inc., 157 Schmid v. Milwaukee Tool Corp., 44 SuperValu Inc. v. Associated Grocers, 196 Schnadig Corp. v. Gaines Manufacturing Co., 646, 676 Super Valu Stores v. Peterson, 140-141 Schonfeld v. Hilliard, 115, 116, 283 Swierczynski v. Arnold Foods Co., 303, 312 Scientific Atlanta, Inc. Securities Litigation, In re, 958 Swinnea v. ERI Consulting Eng'rs, Inc., 916 Scrushy v. Tucker, 905 Sys. Development Integration, LLC v. Computer Sciences Corp., 310 Sealed Party v. Sealed Party, 903 Sebastian Intern., Inc. v. Russolillo, 136 SEC v. Benson, 656 Tate Access Floors, Inc. v. Maxcess Techs., Inc., 500 SEC v. Blatt, 658, 716

Teamsters Local 445 Freight Division Pension Fund v. Bombardier, Inc.,

Telecomm Technical Services, Inc. v. Siemens Rolm Communications Inc., 199

SEC v. Blavin, 654, 699

SEC v. Cavanagh, 655, 673, 684, 709, 713

Thakore v. Universal Machine Co. of Pottstown, Inc., 133-134

360 Mortg. Grp., LLC v. Homebridge Fin. Servs., Inc., 310

Tilghman v. Proctor, 643-644

To-Am Equipment Co. v. Mitsubishi Caterpillar Forklift America Inc., 403

Total Clean, LLC v. Cox Smith Matthews Inc., 310

TOUSA, Inc., In re, 839

Town & Country Properties, Inc. v. Riggins, 432

Tractebel Energy Marketing, Inc. v. AEP Power Marketing, Inc., 99-100

Tremaine v. Hitchcock, 672, 678

Tull v. United States, 655-656, 709, 713

Tyco International, Ltd. v. Kozlowski, 895

U

Unger v. Amedisys, Inc., 944

United Phosphorous, Ltd. v. Midland Fumigant, Inc., 199

United States v. 14.38 Acres of Land Situated in Leflore County,

Mississippi, 83

United States v. Lane Labs-USA, Inc., 674

United States v. O'Keefe, 62

United States v. Raymond, 81, 82

United States v. Snepp, 637

United States v. Spearin, 392

United States v. Universal Management Services, Inc, 716, 717

 $\label{thm:condition} \textit{University Computing Co. v. Lykes-Youngstown Corp., 524, 526}$

The University of Colorado Foundation, Inc. v. American Cyanamid Company,

677

U.S. Salt, Inc. v. Broken Arrow, Inc., 249

UST v. General Road Trucking, 161

Utah Med. Prods. Inc. v. Graphic Controls Corp., 500

٧

Vendo Co. v. Stoner, 916

Ventura v. Titan Sports, Inc., 181–182, 435

 $Venture\ Corp.\ v.\ Wherify\ Wireless,\ Inc.,\ 136$

Vibra-Tech Eng'rs, Inc. v. Kavalek, 916

Vickers v. Wichita State University, 149

Victor Stanley, Inc. v. Creative Pipe, Inc., 56-57, 62

Victory Fireworks & Specialty Co., 617

W

Waggoner Motors, Inc. v. Waverly Church of Christ, 163

Waits v. Frito-Lay, Inc., 429-430, 434

Wallace's Bookstores, Inc., v. Wells, In re, 132

Ward v. Taggert, 635

Warford v. Industrial Power Systems, 128

Washington v. Kellwood, 321-322

Watts et al. v. Waddle et al., 628

Wayne Oakland v. Garden City, 152

Weaver v. Lentz, 162

Webb v. Braswell, 153

Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co. v. Wagner Electric & Manufacturing Co., 646, 647–648, 661–662, 669, 678

Whitby v. Infinity Radio, 144-145

Wilko of Nashua, Inc. v. TAP Realty, Inc., 156

William Floyd Union Free School District v. Wright, 908

Williams Electronics Games, Inc. v. Garrity, 676

Wilt v. Buracker, 166

Winstar Communications, In re, 943

World Radio Labs. v. Coopers & Lybrand, 155

Wyndham International, Inc. v. Ace Am. Ins. Co., 164

X

Xcelera.com Securities Litigation, In re, 943, 951

Υ

Yarway Corp. v. Eur-Control USA, Inc., 500

Z

Zacchini v. Scripps-Howard Broadcasting Co., 426

ZixIt Corp. v. Visa USA Inc., 337

Zubulake v. UBS Warburg, LLC, 47, 49, 51, 54, 62, 65



Trustworthy business valuations start here.

About Business Valuation Resources

Every informed stakeholder in business valuation, performance benchmarking, or risk assessment turns to Business Valuation Resources (BVR) for authoritative deal and market data, news and research, training, and expert opinion. Trust BVR for unimpeachable business valuation intelligence. BVR's data, publications, and analysis have won in the boardroom and the courtroom for over two decades.

Deal & Market Data

- DealStats
- BIZCOMPS
- PitchBook Guideline Public Company Comps Tool
- Economic Outlook Update
- FactSet Mergerstat/BVR Control Premium Study
- Stout Restricted Stock Study™
- Valuation Advisors Discount for Lack of Marketability Study
- ktMINE Royalty Rate Data & License Agreements
- First Research Industry, State & Province Profiles
- BizMiner Industry Financial Reports
- Mergerstat Review & Mergerstat Review Monthly
- Duff & Phelps Cost of Capital Navigator
- Valuation Handbook U.S. Industry Cost of Capital
- Valuation Handbook International Guide to Cost of Capital
- Valuation Handbook International Industry Cost of Capital
- Butler Pinkerton Calculator Total Cost of Equity and Public Company Specific Risk Calculator

News & Research

- BVResearch Pro
- Business Valuation Update
- BVLaw
- Economic Outlook Update
- Business Reference Guide Online

Training & CPE

- Webinars
- Web Workshops & Special Series
- Desktop Learning Centers
- Self-study CPE
- eLearning

Guides, Books & Reports

- Digital Library
- Guides & Books
- Special Reports
- Legal Compendiums
- Yearbooks

Learn more about all of our offerings at: **bvresources.com**