

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

<i>Introduction</i>	<i>Intro-1</i>
---------------------	----------------

Chapter 1—Internal Revenue Code

<i>§ 409A. Inclusion in gross income of deferred compensation under nonqualified deferred compensation plans</i>	<i>1-1</i>
<i>§ 1060. Special allocation rules for certain asset acquisitions</i>	<i>1-10</i>
<i>§ 1374. Tax imposed on certain built-in gains</i>	<i>1-13</i>
<i>§ 2031. Definition of gross estate</i>	<i>1-19</i>
<i>§ 2032. Alternate valuation</i>	<i>1-25</i>
<i>§ 2036. Transfers with retained life estate</i>	<i>1-28</i>
<i>§ 2044. Certain property for which marital deduction was previously allowed</i>	<i>1-31</i>
<i>§ 2511. Transfers in general</i>	<i>1-32</i>
<i>§ 2512. Valuation of gifts</i>	<i>1-34</i>
<i>§ 2701. Special valuation rules in case of transfers of certain interests in corporations or partnerships</i>	<i>1-35</i>
<i>§ 2702. Special valuation rules in case of transfers of interests in trusts</i>	<i>1-44</i>
<i>§ 2703. Certain rights and restrictions disregarded</i>	<i>1-47</i>
<i>§ 2704. Treatment of certain lapsing rights and restrictions</i>	<i>1-48</i>
<i>§ 6501. Limitations on assessment and collection</i>	<i>1-50</i>
<i>§ 6662. Imposition of accuracy-related penalty on underpayments</i>	<i>1-69</i>

Chapter 2—Treasury Regulations

<i>Sec. 20.2031-1 Definition of gross estate; valuation of property</i>	<i>2-1</i>
<i>Sec. 20.2031-3 Valuation of interests in businesses</i>	<i>2-4</i>
<i>Sec. 25.2703-1 Property subject to restrictive arrangements</i>	<i>2-5</i>
<i>Sec. 25.2703-2 Effective date</i>	<i>2-8</i>
<i>Sec. 25.2704-1 Lapse of certain rights</i>	<i>2-9</i>
<i>Sec. 25.2704-2 Transfers subject to applicable restrictions</i>	<i>2-13</i>
<i>Sec. 25.2704-3 Effective date</i>	<i>2-15</i>
<i>Sec. 301.6501(c)-1 Exceptions to general period of limitations on assessment and collection</i>	<i>2-16</i>

Chapter 3—Revenue Rulings and Procedures

<i>59-60 Valuing Shares of Capital Stock of Closely Held Corporations for Estate and Gift Tax Purposes</i>	3-1
<i>65-192 Applying 59-60 to Income Tax Valuations</i>	3-7
<i>65-193 Modification of 59-60 Regarding Tangible and Intangible Assets</i>	3-11
<i>68-609 Excess Earnings Method</i>	3-12
<i>77-287 Valuation of Restricted Stock</i>	3-14
<i>83-120 Closely Held and Preferred Stock</i>	3-19
<i>85-75 Penalty for Valuation Overstatement</i>	3-22
<i>93-12 Closely Held Interests and Family Transfers</i>	3-24
<i>98-34 Compensatory Stock Options</i>	3-25
<i>Rev. Proc. 2003-51 Allocation of lump sum purchases of a business</i>	3-28
<i>2006-96 Guidance Regarding Appraisal Requirements for Noncash Charitable Contributions</i>	3-32

Chapter 4—Landmark Cases

<i>Index of Cases by Topic</i>	4-A
<i>Astleford v. Commissioner</i>	4-1
<i>Astleford Has it All: Latest Tax Court Case on FLP Discounts, Data, and More</i>	4-1
<i>Dallas v. Commissioner</i>	4-5
<i>Tax Court Declines to Tax-Affect S Corp In Gift Transfer Case</i>	4-5
<i>Tax Court Rejects Tax-Affecting of S Corporation</i>	4-7
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals</i>	4-9
<i>Comparing the Butler-Pinkerton Model to Traditional Methods Under Four Daubert Criteria</i>	4-9
<i>'Intrinsic Value' Doesn't Meet Daubert Criteria</i>	4-13
<i>Valuation Experts Required To Have Clear Credentials, Experience, and Methods</i>	4-14
<i>Experienced (but Uncertified) Expert Caught in Deposition</i>	4-15
<i>Gross v. Commissioner (II)</i>	4-18
<i>Daubert issue rears its ugly head in Gross case</i>	4-18
<i>Estate of Jelke v. Comm'r</i>	4-20
<i>Jelke Overruled: 11th Cir. Approves 100% Discount For Imbedded Capital Gains</i>	4-20
<i>Divorce Court Analyzes Built-In Capital Gains and Net Asset Value in Divorce</i>	4-23

<i>Delaware Open MRI Radiology Associates, P.A. v. Kessler, et al</i>	4-24
<i>Must-read Opinion from Chancery Court on Tax Affecting, DCF Discounts, and More</i>	4-24
<i>Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael</i>	4-30
<i>Federal cases challenge financial experts on basis of Daubert/Kumho tests</i>	4-30
<i>State courts consider application of Daubert/Kumho tests to appraisal experts</i>	4-34
<i>Implications of Kumho for Business Appraisal Experts</i>	4-36
<i>Daubert/Kumho state challenges to appraisal experts</i>	4-41
<i>Mandelbaum v. Commissioner</i>	4-43
<i>Minority Share Gifts: Court Finds 30% Discount for Lack of Marketability</i>	4-43
<i>Tax Court provides list of factors to consider in determining the size of a marketability discount</i>	4-44
<i>McCord v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</i>	4-45
<i>5th Circuit Reverses McCord, Confirming Original Valuation Of Marketability Discounts</i>	4-45
<i>McCord Reversed: Fifth Circuit Affirms Use of Fixed Dollar Gifts of Limited Partnership Interests</i>	4-47
<i>After McCord: The Future Of FLP Valuations and Discount Determinations</i>	4-49
<i>In re Med Diversified, Inc.</i>	4-51
<i>BV on Trial in Bankruptcy? New York Court Excludes Appraisal as ‘Junk Science’</i>	4-51
<i>Where Perceived Bias Infects Entire Valuation, Expert Excluded as Unreliable under FRE 702(3)</i>	4-54
<i>NY Bankruptcy Court Confirms Valuation of Customer Lists, With High Praise for Valuator</i>	4-56
<i>Estate of Mirowski v. Commissioner</i>	4-60
<i>Good News on FLP and LLC Planning—Appraisals Have Value!</i>	4-60

Chapter 5—Additional Cases

<i>Estate of Abraham v. Commissioner</i>	5-1
<i>First Circuit Affirms FLP Case Against Taxpayer</i>	5-1
<i>Remainder Interest in FLP Units Argument Rejected</i>	5-2
<i>Patricia M. Adams, et al. v. United States of America</i>	5-3
<i>Assignee Interest Not Subject to Liquidation Rights</i>	5-3

<i>Estate of Baird v. Commissioner</i>	5-5
<i>Hypothetical Partition Discount is Insufficient for Fractionalized, Non-controlling Interests</i>	5-5
<i>Fully Documented and Supported Appraisal Reports Helped Put IRS on Notice That Its Litigation Position Was Unsupportable</i>	5-6
<i>Estate of Berg v. Commissioner</i>	5-7
<i>Estate of Berg v. Commissioner</i>	5-7
<i>Bergquist v. Commissioner</i>	5-8
<i>Tax Court Rejects Appraisal of Donated Stock for Incorrect Premise of Value</i>	5-8
<i>Bernier v. Bernier</i>	5-11
<i>Debate over Tax-Affecting Plays Out in New Divorce Case</i>	5-11
<i>Estate of Bigelow v. Commissioner</i>	5-13
<i>FLP Disregarded Where Decedent Retains Insufficient Funds</i>	5-13
<i>Section 2036(a) Applied to Recapture the Value of Real Property Transferred to FLP</i>	5-14
<i>Estate of Brocato v. Commissioner</i>	5-15
<i>11% Blockage Discount Applied in Apartment Valuations</i>	5-15
<i>Crescent/Mach I Partnership v. Turner</i>	5-17
<i>Del. Chancery's Preference For DCF Turns On Credible Projections</i>	5-17
<i>Estate of Deputy v. Commissioner</i>	5-22
<i>Tax Court Accepts Negative Industry Risk Premium and Unique Combined Discount Matrix</i>	5-22
<i>Income Approach Used to Value Boat Manufacturer</i>	5-27
<i>Derby v. Comm'r</i>	5-29
<i>Tax Court Examines Charitable Deduction</i>	5-29
<i>Estate of Dunn v. Commissioner (I)</i>	5-33
<i>Equipment Company Valuation Turns on Asset- and Earnings-based Values</i>	5-33
<i>Heavy Equipment Rental Company Valued Using Weighted Earnings and Asset Approaches</i>	5-35
<i>Estate of Weinberg v. Commissioner</i>	5-37
<i>Tax Court Rejects QMDM and Use of Single Comparable</i>	5-37
<i>Quantitative Marketability Discount Model Determined Unhelpful</i>	5-39
<i>Estate of Ray A. Ford v. CIR</i>	5-41
<i>Holding Company's Valued Using Net Asset Value</i>	5-41
<i>Richard S. Gesoff v. IIC Industries Inc.</i>	5-43
<i>Small-Stock and Company Specific Risk Premia Considered in Fair Value Analysis</i>	5-43

<i>Estate of Gimbel v. Commissioner</i>	5-45
<i>Tax Court Determines Methodology, Discounts for Large Block of Public Shares</i>	5-45
<i>Gow v. Commissioner (II)</i>	5-47
<i>Fourth Circuit Affirms Gow v. Commissioner</i>	5-47
<i>Voting Trust Not a Factor in Determining Fair Market Value</i>	5-48
<i>Estate of Green v. Commissioner</i>	5-49
<i>DLOM major issue; Tax Court ‘splits the baby’</i>	5-49
<i>Fair Market Value of Minority Interest in a Closely Held Bank Determined</i>	5-52
<i>Gross v. Commissioner</i>	5-54
<i>IRS and Taxpayer Agree to 35% DLOM— if FLP Transfers Pass ‘Indirect Gift’ Tests</i>	5-54
<i>Hackl v. Commissioner</i>	5-56
<i>Seventh Circuit Affirms Tax Court; Gifts Were of Future Interests</i>	5-56
<i>Seventh Circuit Affirms Decision Denying Application of Sec. 2503 Exclusion Where the Restrictions on Transferability Attached to LLC Units Are Severe</i>	5-56
<i>Hansen v. 75 Ranch Company</i>	5-57
<i>Montana Dissenter Awarded Double Amount Originally Offered</i>	5-57
<i>Harmon v. Harmon</i>	5-59
<i>Buy-sell Agreement One Factor in Value of Medical Practice</i>	5-59
<i>Value of an Interest in a Medical Practice Is Not Set by the Buy-Sell Provision</i>	5-60
<i>Estate of Heck v. Commissioner</i>	5-61
<i>Tax Court Rejects Use of Single ‘Similar’ Comparable S Corp. Valued Using DCF Method</i>	5-61
<i>Highfields Capital, Ltd. v. AXA Financial, Inc.</i>	5-66
<i>Del. Chancery Rejects DCF Analysis in Insurance Co. Appraisal</i>	5-66
<i>Estate of Hillgren v. Commissioner</i>	5-70
<i>Tax Court Disregards FLP That is Disregarded by Taxpayer Sec. 2036(a) Applied to Look Through an FLP</i>	5-70
<i>Holman v. Commissioner</i>	5-73
<i>Tax Court Takes Novel Approach to DLOM Holding Period in Holman</i>	5-73
<i>Huber v. Commissioner</i>	5-78
<i>‘Friends and Family’ Gifts of Private Stock Support 50% DLOM</i>	5-78
<i>‘Arm’s-Length’ Determined Using Morrissey Test</i>	5-79

<i>Estate of Hurford v. Comm’r</i>	5-80
<i>Latest FLP Case Lists ‘Bad Facts’ to Avoid</i>	5-80
<i>Estate of Jameson v. Commissioner</i>	5-83
<i>Fifth Circuit Acknowledges Substantial Built-in Tax Liability on Timber Property</i>	5-83
<i>Janda v. Commissioner</i>	5-85
<i>Tax Court Considers QMDM and Restricted Stock Studies in Determining Discount for Lack of Marketability</i>	5-85
<i>Quantitative Marketability Discount Model Rejected</i>	5-88
<i>Kimberlin v. Comm’r.</i>	5-89
<i>IRS Stumbles on Characterization and Valuation of Stock Warrants</i>	5-89
<i>Kohler et al. v. Commissioner</i>	5-91
<i>Kohler: Textbook Valuation of Large Closely-Held Leads to Total Victory for Taxpayer</i>	5-91
<i>Tax Court Emphasizes Importance of Valuation Credentials and Familiarity with the Subject Company</i>	5-94
<i>LabelGraphics, Inc. v. Commissioner (II)</i>	5-96
<i>Ninth Circuit Affirms Tax Court Reasonable Compensation Ruling</i>	5-96
<i>Litman v. United States</i>	5-97
<i>Litman a New Landmark Among Cases Considering Restricted Stock Discounts</i>	5-97
<i>Mad Auto Wrecking v. CIR</i>	5-101
<i>Tax Court Provides List of Factors to Consider in Determining the Appropriate Amount of Owners’ Compensation</i>	5-101
<i>Martin Ice Cream Company y. CIR</i>	5-102
<i>Sale of Subsidiary after Split-off Does Not Establish Value of that Subsidiary</i>	5-102
<i>Estate of Mellinger v. Commissioner</i>	5-103
<i>Frederick’s of Hollywood Stock Not Aggregated for Valuation; 25% Blockage Discount Applied</i>	5-103
<i>Aggregation and Blockage Considered</i>	5-104
<i>Estate of Mitchell v. Commissioner (II)</i>	5-105
<i>Ninth Circuit Vacates and Remands Tax Court’s Valuation in Mitchell</i>	5-105
<i>Normandie Metal Fabricators, Inc. v. CIR</i>	5-107
<i>Hypothetical Investor Test Used to Determine Officers’ Reasonable Compensation</i>	5-107
<i>Peracchio v. Commissioner</i>	5-110
<i>Tax Court Displeased With All Experts’ DLOC and DLOM Analyses</i>	5-110
<i>Limited Partnership Units Valued</i>	5-112

<i>Estate of Lillie Rosen v. Internal Revenue Service</i>	5-113
‘Classic’ FLP Case by Judge Laro Finds No §2036 Estate Tax Exception	5-113
<i>Salt Lake Tribune Publishing Co., LLC v. Management Planning, Inc.</i>	5-115
Appraisal ≠ Arbitration; a Court May Review Buy-Sell Valuation for Certain Errors	5-115
<i>Estate of Simplot v. Commissioner (I)</i>	5-117
Tax Court Accords Superpremium to Small Voting Block; Allows Deduction of 100% of Trapped-in Capital Gains Tax	5-117
Voting Privileges Valued as a Percentage of the Company’s Total Equity Value	5-120
Comments on the Reversal of Simplot	5-123
<i>Stone v. United States</i>	5-126
Do BV Methods Apply to Determining Discount for Fractional Interest in Art?	5-126
<i>Strangi v. Commissioner</i>	5-129
Fifth Circuit Affirms Tax Court’s Application of § 2036 to FLP Assets	5-129
Bona Fide Sale Exception to Sec. 2036 Analyzed	5-130
<i>Temple v. United States</i>	5-132
Latest Court Looks at DLOM: Assumptions Are Everything	5-132
Standard Valuation Discounts Considered	5-134
<i>Estate of Thompson v. Comm’r</i>	5-135
IRS Burden of Proof Does Not Obviate Errors In Taxpayer Valuation	5-135
FLPs Disregarded—2036(a)(1) Applied Once Again!	5-137
Third Circuit Addresses Sec. 2036(a) Exception in FLP Case	5-138
<i>Estate of True v. Commissioner</i>	5-140
Buy-sell Agreements are Testamentary Devices	5-140
<i>Univ. of Pittsburgh v. Townsend</i>	5-144
Federal Court Clarifies Rule on Discovery of Draft Valuation Reports	5-144
<i>Wechsler v. Wechsler</i>	5-146
Appeals Court Affirms Dollar-for-Dollar Discount in Divorce	5-146

Chapter 6—Standards

<i>AICPA Valuation of a Business, Business Ownership Interest, Security, or Intangible Asset</i>	6-1
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: Section 101—Independence</i>	6-75

<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: Section 102—Integrity and Objectivity</i>	6-117
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: ET Section 201—General Standards</i>	6-121
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: ET Section 202—Compliance with Standards</i>	6-123
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: Section 301—Confidential Client Information</i>	6-124
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: Section 302—Contingent Fees</i>	6-126
<i>AICPA Code of Professional Conduct: Section 501—Arts Discreditable</i>	6-129
<i>ASA Principles of Appraisal Practice and Code of Ethics</i>	6-134
<i>ASA Business Valuation Standards</i>	6-155
<i>IBA Business Appraisal Standards</i>	6-178
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 123 (revised 2004)</i>	6-212
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 141 (revised 2007)</i>	6-216
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 142</i>	6-220
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 144</i>	6-222
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 157</i>	6-225
<i>FASB Summary of Statement No. 159</i>	6-228
<i>NACVA Professional Standards</i>	6-230

Chapter 7—Appendix of Key Valuation Articles and Other Material

<i>4th Quarter 2008 Economic Outlook Update™</i>	7-1
<i>Reporting Standards Update: What all BV Analysts Must Know</i>	7-14
<i>Courtesy of the IRS: 22 Ways to Avoid Appraisal Missteps</i>	7-20
<i>Summary of FAS 157, Fair Value Measurements</i>	7-23
<i>Federal and Regional Reporter’s List</i>	7-26
<i>Goodwill Hunting in Divorce</i>	7-32
<i>BVR’s Professional Association Directory 2009 Edition</i>	7-39